Certification Report

BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019

for

TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145

from

T-Systems International GmbH

BSI - Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Postfach 20 03 63, D-53133 Bonn Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0, Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477, Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111

Certification Report V1.0 CC-Zert-327 V5.23





BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019 (*)

Security IC with MRTD Applications (ePassport, eID, eSign)

TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145

from T-Systems International GmbH

PP Conformance: Common Criteria Protection Profile Machine

Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application",

Basic Access Control, Version 1.10, 25 March 2009,

BSI-PP-0055-2009

Functionality: PP conformant

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

Common Criteria Part 3 conformant Assurance:

EAL 4 augmented by ALC DVS.2



SOGIS Recognition Agreement



The IT Product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations, by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents as listed in the Certification Report for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1. CC and CEM are also published as ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045.

(*) This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification Report and Notification. For details on the validity see Certification Report part A chapter 5.

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the certification scheme of the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced.

This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.



Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement recognition for components up to EAL 2 only

Bonn, 7 October 2019

For the Federal Office for Information Security

Joachim Weber Head of Branch

L.S.



This page is intentionally left blank.

Contents

A. Certification	6
 Preliminary Remarks Specifications of the Certification Procedure Recognition Agreements Performance of Evaluation and Certification Validity of the Certification Result. Publication 	
B. Certification Results	10
Executive Summary	13 15 16
Architectural information	17 17 18
10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE	20 21 21
C. Excerpts from the Criteria	27
D Annexes	28

A. Certification

1. Preliminary Remarks

Under the BSIG¹ Act, the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) has the task of issuing certificates for information technology products.

Certification of a product is carried out on the instigation of the vendor or a distributor, hereinafter called the sponsor.

A part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product according to the security criteria published by the BSI or generally recognised security criteria.

The evaluation is normally carried out by an evaluation facility recognised by the BSI or by BSI itself.

The result of the certification procedure is the present Certification Report. This report contains among others the certificate (summarised assessment) and the detailed Certification Results.

The Certification Results contain the technical description of the security functionality of the certified product, the details of the evaluation (strength and weaknesses) and instructions for the user.

2. Specifications of the Certification Procedure

The certification body conducts the procedure according to the criteria laid down in the following:

- Act on the Federal Office for Information Security¹
- BSI Certification and Approval Ordinance²
- BSI Schedule of Costs³
- Special decrees issued by the Bundesministerium des Innern (Federal Ministry of the Interior)
- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17065 standard
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) [3]
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, its approval and licencing process (CC-Stellen) [3]

Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

Ordinance on the Procedure for Issuance of Security Certificates and approval by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Zertifizierungs- und -Anerkennungsverordnung - BSIZertV) of 17 December 2014, Bundesgesetzblatt 2014, part I, no. 61, p. 2231

Schedule of Cost for Official Procedures of the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI-Kostenverordnung, BSI-KostV) of 03 March 2005, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 519

 Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1⁴[1] also published as ISO/IEC 15408.

- Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 [2] also published as ISO/IEC 18045
- BSI certification: Application Notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (AIS) [4]

3. Recognition Agreements

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

3.1. European Recognition of CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4. For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at https://www.sogisportal.eu.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the related bodies of the signatory nations. A disclaimer beneath the logo indicates the specific scope of recognition.

This certificate is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

3.2. International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the related bodies of the signatory nations. A disclaimer beneath the logo indicates the specific scope of recognition.

Proclamation of the Bundesministerium des Innern of 12 February 2007 in the Bundesanzeiger dated 23 February 2007, p. 3730

This certificate is recognized according to the rules of CCRA-2014, i. e. up to and including CC part 3 EAL 2 components.

4. Performance of Evaluation and Certification

The certification body monitors each individual evaluation to ensure a uniform procedure, a uniform interpretation of the criteria and uniform ratings.

The product TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 has undergone the certification procedure at BSI.

The evaluation of the product TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 was conducted by SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH. The evaluation was completed on 09 September 2019. SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH is an evaluation facility (ITSEF)⁵ recognised by the certification body of BSI.

For this certification procedure the sponsor and applicant is: T-Systems International GmbH.

The product was developed by: T-Systems International GmbH.

The certification is concluded with the comparability check and the production of this Certification Report. This work was completed by the BSI.

5. Validity of the Certification Result

This Certification Report applies only to the version of the product as indicated. The confirmed assurance package is valid on the condition that

- all stipulations regarding generation, configuration and operation, as given in the following report, are observed,
- the product is operated in the environment described, as specified in the following report and in the Security Target.

If the product certified is being used as National ID-Card or National Document the operational instructions and limitations as outlined in 'Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-03116, Kryptographische Vorgaben für Projekte der Bundesregierung, Teil 2: eID-Karten und hoheitliche Dokumente' (TR-03116-2) have to be followed when issuing and using the product. This includes the restrictions related to cryptographic algorithms and related parameters. Cryptographic algorithms and related parameters not covered by the certificate (see Security Target and this certification report) must not be used. The latest published version of TR-03116-2 has to be followed (see https://www.bsi.bund.de/).

For the meaning of the assurance components and assurance levels please refer to CC itself. Detailed references are listed in part C of this report.

The Certificate issued confirms the assurance of the product claimed in the Security Target at the date of certification. As attack methods evolve over time, the resistance of the certified version of the product against new attack methods needs to be re-assessed. Therefore, the sponsor should apply for the certified product being monitored within the assurance continuity program of the BSI Certification Scheme (e.g. by a re-assessment or re-certification). Specifically, if results of the certification are used in subsequent evaluation

⁵ Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

and certification procedures, in a system integration process or if a user's risk management needs regularly updated results, it is recommended to perform a reassessment on a regular e.g. annual basis.

In order to avoid an indefinite usage of the certificate when evolved attack methods would require a re-assessment of the product's resistance to state-of-the-art attack methods, the maximum validity of the certificate has been limited. The certificate issued on 7 October 2019 is valid until 6 October 2024. Validity can be re-newed by re-certification.

The owner of the certificate is obliged:

- 1. when advertising the certificate or the fact of the product's certification, to refer to the Certification Report as well as to provide the Certification Report, the Security Target and user guidance documentation mentioned herein to any customer of the product for the application and usage of the certified product,
- 2. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately about vulnerabilities of the product that have been identified by the developer or any third party after issuance of the certificate,
- 3. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately in the case that security relevant changes in the evaluated life cycle, e.g. related to development and production sites or processes, occur, or the confidentiality of documentation and information related to the Target of Evaluation (TOE) or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure where the certification of the product has assumed this confidentiality being maintained, is not given any longer. In particular, prior to the dissemination of confidential documentation and information related to the TOE or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure that do not belong to the deliverables according to the Certification Report part B, or for those where no dissemination rules have been agreed on, to third parties, the Certification Body at BSI has to be informed.

In case of changes to the certified version of the product, the validity can be extended to the new versions and releases, provided the sponsor applies for assurance continuity (i.e. re-certification or maintenance) of the modified product, in accordance with the procedural requirements, and the evaluation does not reveal any security deficiencies.

6. Publication

The product TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 has been included in the BSI list of certified products, which is published regularly (see also Internet: https://www.bsi.bund.de and [5]). Further information can be obtained from BSI-Infoline +49 228 9582-111.

Further copies of this Certification Report can be requested from the developer⁶ of the product. The Certification Report may also be obtained in electronic form at the internet address stated above.

T-Systems International GmbH
 Untere Industriestraße 20
 57250 Netphen
 Germany

B. Certification Results

The following results represent a summary of

• the Security Target of the sponsor for the Target of Evaluation,

- the relevant evaluation results from the evaluation facility, and
- complementary notes and stipulations of the certification body.

1. Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the product TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 provided by T-Systems International GmbH and based on the hardware platform P6022y (P60D145) by NXP Semiconductors GmbH. It is an electronic Identity Card (ID_Card) representing a smart card with contact based and contactless interface programmed according to the Technical Guideline BSI TR-03110 [19] and the ICAO specifications [21], [22] and [23]. The smart card provides (amongst others) the following authentication mechanism:

Basic Access Control (BAC)

Additionally, the TOE meets the requirements of the Technical Guideline BSI TR-03116-2 [20] as part of the qualification for the use within electronic ID card projects of the Federal Republic of Germany.

Please note that the security mechanism Basic Access Control (BAC) is in focus of this evaluation process. The further security mechanisms Password Authenticated Connection Establishment (PACE) and Extended Access Control (EAC) that are implemented in the corresponding product containing the TOE are subject of a separate evaluation process (refer to BSI-DSZ-CC-1078).

The smart card contains at least the following application that is subject of the TOE's evaluation:

ePassport Application:
 With this application the TOE is intended to be used as a machine readable
 travel document (MRTD). The application contains the related user data
 (including biometric data) as well as the data needed for authentication
 (including MRZ).

Depending on the chosen major configuration (see below) also an eID and eSign Application can be installed in the product containing the TOE. For the present CC evaluation, only the ePassport Application of the product is considered because only this application uses the stand-alone BAC protocol.

Two different major configurations of the TOE or corresponding product respectively exist, that only differ in the installed file system or applications:

- Passport: ePassport Application compliant to ICAO ([21], [22]).
- Residence Permit:
 ePassport Application compliant to ICAO ([21], [22]). In addition, an eID
 Application and eSign Application (optional) is installed in the corresponding
 product containing the TOE. However, these additional applications are not in
 scope of the present evaluation, but are considered in a separate evaluation
 process where the EAC aspects related to these applications are evaluated
 (refer to BSI-DSZ-CC-1078).

The Security Target [6] is the basis for this certification. It is based on the following certified PP and claims strict conformance to it:

 Common Criteria Protection Profile Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application", Basic Access Control, Version 1.10, 25 March 2009, BSI-PP-0055-2009 [7]

Please note that in consistency to the claimed Protection Profile the security mechanism Basic Access Control (BAC) is in focus of this evaluation process. The further security mechanisms Password Authenticated Connection Establishment (PACE) and Extended Access Control (EAC) implemented in the corresponding product containing the TOE are subject of a separate evaluation process (refer to BSI-DSZ-CC-1078).

The TOE Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) are based entirely on the assurance components defined in Part 3 of the Common Criteria (see part C or [1], Part 3 for details). The TOE meets the assurance requirements of the Evaluation Assurance Level EAL 4 augmented by ALC DVS.2.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements (SFR) relevant for the TOE are outlined in the Security Target [6], chapter 6.1. They are selected from Common Criteria Part 2 and some of them are newly defined. Thus the TOE is CC Part 2 extended.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functionality:

TOE Security Functionality / Addressed Issue
Access control to the User Data stored in the TOE
Secure data exchange
Identification and authentication of users and components
Audit
Management of and access to TSF and TSF-data
Reliability of the TOE security functionality

Table 1: TOE Security Functionalities

The following TOE security features are the most significant for the TOE's operational use. The TOE ensures

- that the terminals gets the authorization to read the logical MRTD under the Basic Access Control only by optical reading the MRTD or other parts of the passport book providing this information,
- verifying authenticity and integrity as well as securing confidentiality of user data in the communication channel between the TOE and the service provider connected.
- averting of inconspicuous tracing of the MRTD,
- self-protection of the TOE security functionality and the data stored inside.

For more details please refer to the Security Target [6], chapter 7, in particular 7.1 to 7.6.

The assets to be protected by the TOE are defined in the Security Target [6], chapter 3.1. Based on these assets the TOE Security Problem is defined in terms of Assumptions, Threats and Organisational Security Policies. This is outlined in the Security Target [6], chapter 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.

This certification covers the configurations of the TOE as outlined in chapter 8.

The vulnerability assessment results as stated within this certificate do not include a rating for those cryptographic algorithms and their implementation suitable for encryption and decryption (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

The certification results only apply to the version of the product indicated in the certificate and on the condition that all the stipulations are kept as detailed in this Certification Report. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by BSI or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

2. Identification of the TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called:

TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145

The following table outlines the TOE deliverables:

No	Туре	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
1	HW/SW	NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including its IC Dedicated Support Software embedded into modules ⁷	Hardware platform: P6022y (P60D145)	Delivery type: Module: MOB6, U52 Inlay: Inlay SOM 20, SOM 21 (only for 'Residence Permit') and Inlay SOM 30 and SOM 31 (only for 'Passport')
2	SW	TOE Embedded Software / Operating System and File System: TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 in the two different major configurations Passport and Residence Permit (containing at least the ePassport Application with its dedicated files in a file system)	ROM mask: Id- Card_01BD_ID2.0_RO M_Daten_02.hex OS Version: '01 BD' Completion Code Version: '01' File System Version: '81'	Implemented in ROM/EEPROM of the IC
3	DOC	TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Guidance Document - Common Part, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/ SAC and EAC/PSA protocol	Version 1.0.1 29 July 2019 [10]	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)
4	DOC	TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Operational Guidance - Residence Permit, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with ePassport, eID and eSign Application	Version 1.0.1 14 May 2019 [11]	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)

⁷For details on the MRTD chip and the IC Dedicated Software see Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2-2019.

No	Туре	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
5	DOC	TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Operational Guidance - Passport, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/ SAC and EAC protocol	Version 1.0.1 14 May 2019 [12]	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)
6	DOC	TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Administrator's Guidance - Residence Permit, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/SAC and EAC/PSA protocol	Version 1.0.1 14 May 2019 [13]	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)
7	DOC	TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Administrator's Guidance - Passport, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/ SAC and EAC/PSA protocol	Version 1.0.1 14 May 2019 [14]	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)
8	Text Files	Activation command APDUs and authentication key	Activation command to open life-cycle phase 5, 6 or 7 and corresponding authentication keys	Document in electronic form (encrypted and signed)

Table 2: Deliverables of the TOE

The customer specific ROM mask for the TOE is labelled and identified by NXP Semiconductors GmbH as IdentityCard 01BD ID2.0.

The name of the ROM file transferred from T-Systems International GmbH to NXP Semiconductors GmbH is IdCard_01BD_ID2.0_ROM_Daten_02.hex.

The initialization of the TOE based on the NXP hardware platform P6022y (P60D145) takes place by using the following scripts:

- Patch-Code: PatchCodeROM-ID_HW04_M9B39M01BD_PV01.txt
- File systems / TOE major configurations:
 - Passport:
 FileSystemcode-ID ePass HW04 M9B39M01BD PV01 FSV81 PKV02.txt
 - Residence Permit:
 FileSystemcode-ID_eAT_HW04_M9B39M01BD_PV01_FSV81_PKV02.txt

The certified file systems for the TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 have the file system version '81'.

The TOE Embedded Software consists of the operating system TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 (including the related completion data) and the different file systems for its two major configurations (Passport, Residence Permit). The Initialization Agent and Personalization Agent can use the FORMAT command (CLA = 'B0' / INS = '50') as described in the user guidance [10], chapter 8.4.1.1 to read out the chip information and identify the chip and the TOE Embedded Software embedded in the chip (only available during life-cycle phases 4 to 6). To open production phases for personalization a mutual authentication via the FORMAT command as described in the user guidance [10], chapter

8.4.1.4 is necessary, therefore the authenticity of the TOE is verified before further usage of the TOE.

The following identification data can be retrieved within a 16 Byte string responded by the FORMAT command:

Byte #	Product Information
1	Chip Manufacturer
2	Chip Type
3 - 8	Unique identification number for the chip
9	Card Type
10-11	OS version (ROM mask version)
12	(Pre-) completion code version
13	File System Version
14	'00' (RFU)
15	'00' (RFU)
16	Authentication key identifier
17-32	Production logistic data

Table 3: TOE Identification

Note that Bytes 3-8 (unique identification number for the chip) are chip specific data which differ for each chip used in the TOE.

The TOE is finalized after the initialization phase or pre-personalization phase respectively. Delivery is performed from the initialization facility to the personalization facility by a secured transport to a specific person of contact at the personalization site. Furthermore, the Personalization Agent receives information about the personalization commands and process requirements. To ensure that the Personalization Agent receives this evaluated version, the procedures to start the personalization process as described in the Administrator's guidance documents [13] and [14] have to be followed.

3. Security Policy

The Security Policy is expressed by the set of Security Functional Requirements and implemented by the TOE. It covers the following issues:

The Security Policy of the TOE is defined according to the Protection Profile [7] by the Security Objectives and Requirements for the chip of machine readable travel documents (MRTD) based on the requirements and recommendations of the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO). The Security Policy addresses the security methods for authentication and secure communication, which are described in detail in the Security Target [6].

The TOE implements physical and logical security functionality in order to protect user data stored and operated on the smart card when used in a hostile environment. Hence, the TOE maintains integrity and confidentiality of code and data stored in its memories and the different CPU modes with the related capabilities for configuration and memory access and for integrity, the correct operation and the confidentiality of security functionality provided by the TOE. Therefore, the TOE's overall policy is to protect against malfunction, leakage, physical manipulation and probing. Besides, the TOE's life-cycle is supported as

well as the user identification whereas the abuse of functionality is prevented. Furthermore, specific cryptographic services including crypto routines, random number generation and key management functionality are being provided to be securely used by the smart card embedded software.

Specific details concerning the above mentioned security policies can be found in the Security Target [6], chapter 6.1.

4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

The Assumptions defined in the Security Target and some aspects of Threats and Organisational Security Policies are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific security objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. The following topics are of relevance:

- OE.MRTD_Manufact: Protection of the MRTD Manufacturing
- OE.MRTD Delivery: Protection of the MRTD Delivery
- OE.Personalization: Personalization of the logical MRTD
- OE.Pass_Auth_Sign: Authentication of the logical MRTD by Signature
- OE.BAC-Keys: Chip Authentication Key
- OE.Exam MRTD: Authentication of rightful terminals
- OE.Passive Auth Verif: Terminal operating
- OE.Prot Logical MRTD: Protection of data from the logical MRTD

Details can be found in the Security Target [6], chapter 4.2 as well as in the Protection Profile [7].

5. Architectural Information

The TOE is a composite product. It is composed from an Integrated Circuit (IC) and the TOE Embedded Software that contains the operating system TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 (including the related completion data) and the different file systems for its two major configurations (Passport, Residence Permit). Hereby, the TOE Embedded Software includes at least the ePassport Application.

For details concerning the underlying IC and its certification refer to the certification report BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2-2019 [15].

The Security Functions of the TOE are:

- Access control to the User Data stored in the TOE
- Secure data exchange
- Identification and authentication of users and components
- Audit
- Management of and access to TSF and TSF-data
- Reliability of the TOE security functionality

According to the TOE Design these Security Functions are enforced by the following subsystems:

- Hardware (COMP_CH): Hardware Platform
- Kernel (COMP_KL): manages the interfaces between all components
- Crypto Component (COMP_CR): processes the cryptographic functions
- Admin Component (COMP AD): processes administrative base functions
- IO Component (COMP IO): controls the input and output
- ROM TCOS-Type Task (COMP_TT): APDU processing (system, applications)

6. Documentation

The evaluated documentation as outlined in Table 2 is being provided with the product to the customer. This documentation contains the required information for secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the Security Target.

Additional obligations and notes for secure usage of the TOE as outlined in chapter 10 of this report have to be followed.

7. IT Product Testing

The developer tested all TOE Security Functions either on real cards or with simulator tests. For all commands and functionality tests, test cases are specified in order to demonstrate the expected behaviour including error cases. Hereby, a representative sample including all boundary values of the parameter set was tested, e.g. all command APDUs with valid and invalid inputs were tested and all functions were tested with valid and invalid inputs. Repetition of developer tests was performed during the independent evaluator tests.

Since many Security Functions can be tested by TR-03110 [19] APDU command sequences, the evaluators performed these tests with real cards. This is considered to be a reasonable approach because the developer tests include a full coverage of all security functionality. Furthermore, penetration tests were chosen by the evaluators for those Security Functions where internal secrets of the card could maybe be modified or observed during testing. During their independent testing, the evaluators covered:

- testing APDU commands related to Access Control.
- testing APDU commands related to Identification and Authentication.
- testing APDU commands related to Secure Messaging Channel,
- penetration testing related to verify the Reliability of the TOE,
- source code analysis performed by the evaluators,
- testing the commands which are used to execute the BAC protocol,
- side channel analysis for SHA,
- using machine learning and deep learning methods for side channel analysis,
- fault injection attacks (laser attacks and EM Glitches),
- testing APDU commands for the initialization, personalization and usage phase,
- testing APDU commands for the commands using cryptographic mechanisms.

The evaluators have tested the TOE systematically against enhanced basic attack potential during their penetration testing.

The achieved test results correspond to the expected test results.

8. Evaluated Configuration

This certification covers the following TOE:

The TOE TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 under certification is composed from:

- Integrated Circuit (IC) NXP P6022y (P60D145) including its IC Dedicated Support Software
- TOE Embedded Software that contains the operating system TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 (including the related completion data) and the different file systems for its two major configurations
- Guidance documentation (see Table 2, rows 3 to 7, i.e. [10] to [14])
- Authentication key material (see Table 2, row 8)

Hereby, this certification covers the following two major configurations of the TOE:

- Passport:
 ePassport Application compliant to ICAO ([21], [22])
- Residence Permit:
 ePassport Application compliant to ICAO ([21], [22]). Additional eID Application
 and optional eSign Application (refer to BSI-DSZ-CC-1078).
 Note: The additional two applications are not in scope of the present evaluation,
 but are covered by BSI-DSZ-CC-1078.

The Initialization Agent and Personalization Agent can use the FORMAT command as described in chapter 2 above to read out the chip information and identify the chip and the TOE Embedded Software embedded in the chip during life-cycle phases 4 to 6. The following table describes the evaluated TOE configurations with their respective identifiers:

Byte #	Data type	Data
1	Chip Manufacturer (NXP)	'04'
2	Chip Type	'30'
9	Card Type	'02' or '0D'
		(corresponding to the respective TOE major configuration, i.e. Passport, Residence Permit)
10-11	OS / ROM Mask Version	'01 BD'
12	(Pre-) completion code version	'01'
13	File System Version	'81'
16	Authentication key identifier	'01'

Table 4: Evaluated TOE configurations and identifier

The FORMAT command and related parameters are described in the user guidance [10], chapter 8.4.1.1.

The identification data as outlined in Table 4 and retrieved from the product must comply with the data given in Annex B of the Administrator's user guidances [13] and [14] in order for the TOE to be verified as a certified version.

9. Results of the Evaluation

9.1. CC specific results

The Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [7] was provided by the ITSEF according to the Common Criteria [1], the Methodology [2], the requirements of the Scheme [3] and all interpretations and guidelines of the Scheme (AIS) [4] as relevant for the TOE.

The Evaluation Methodology CEM [2] was used for those components up to EAL 5 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product [4] (AIS 34).

The following guidance specific for the technology was used:

- (i) Composite product evaluation for Smart Cards and similar devices according to AIS 36 (see [4]). On base of this concept the relevant guidance documents of the underlying IC platform (refer to [15]) and the document ETR for composite evaluation from the IC's evaluation ([17]) have been applied in the TOE evaluation.
- (ii) Guidance for Smartcard Evaluation (AIS 37, see [4]).
- (iii) Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices (AIS 26, see [4]).
- (iv) Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards (AIS 26, see [4]).
- (v) Application of CC to Integrated Circuits (AIS 25, see [4]).
- (vi) Security Architecture requirements (ADV_ARC) for smart cards and similar devices (AIS 25, see [4]).
- (vii) Evaluation Methodology for CC Assurance Classes for EAL5+ and EAL6 (AIS 34, see [4])
- (viii) Functionality classes and evaluation methodology of physical and deterministic random number generators (AIS 20 and AIS 31, see [4]).
- (ix) Informationen zur Evaluierung von kryptographischen Algorithmen (AIS 46, see [4]).

For smart card specific methodology the scheme interpretations AIS 25, AIS 26, AIS 34, AIS 36, AIS 37 and AIS 46 (see [4]) were used. For RNG assessment the scheme interpretations AIS 20 and AIS 31 were used (see [4]).

The assurance refinements outlined in the Security Target were followed in the course of the evaluation of the TOE.

As a result of the evaluation the verdict PASS is confirmed for the following assurance components:

- All components of the EAL 4 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report).
- The component ALC DVS.2 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

The evaluation has confirmed:

PP Conformance: Common Criteria Protection Profile Machine Readable Travel

Document with "ICAO Application", Basic Access Control, Version 1.10, 25 March 2009, BSI-PP-0055-2009 [7]

• for the Functionality: PP conformant

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

• for the Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

EAL 4 augmented by ALC_DVS.2

Additionally, the requirements of the Technical Guideline BSI TR-03116-2 [20] are met by the TOE. This is part of the qualification of TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 for the use within electronic passport card projects of the Federal Republic of Germany.

For specific evaluation results regarding the development and production environment see annex B in part D of this report.

The results of the evaluation are only applicable to the TOE as defined in chapter 2 and the configuration as outlined in chapter 8 above.

9.2. Results of cryptographic assessment

The table in annex C of part D of this report gives an overview of the cryptographic functionalities inside the TOE to enforce the security policy. For the TOE's cryptographic functionalities, this table outlines the standard of application where their specific appropriateness is stated.

According to [19], [20], [21], [22] and [23] the algorithms are suitable for authentication, key agreement, authenticity, integrity, confidentiality and trusted channel. An explicit validity period is not given.

The strength of the these cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of this certification procedure (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE

The documents as outlined in Table 2 contain necessary information about the usage of the TOE and all security hints therein have to be considered. In addition all aspects of Assumptions, Threats and OSPs as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product, in particular the card issuing organisation and the national organisation responsible for the risk management, shall consider the results of the certification within the system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment of the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

In addition, the following aspects need to be fulfilled when using the TOE:

If the product certified is being used as National ID-Card or National Document the operational instructions and limitations as outlined in 'Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-03116, Kryptographische Vorgaben für Projekte der Bundesregierung, Teil 2: eID-Karten und hoheitliche Dokumente' [20] (TR-03116-2) have to be followed when issuing and using the product. This includes the restrictions related to cryptographic algorithms and related

parameters. Cryptographic algorithms and related parameters not covered by the certificate (see ST [6] and this certification report) must not be used. The latest published version of TR-03116-2 has to be followed (see https://www.bsi.bund.de/).

11. Security Target

For the purpose of publishing, the Security Target [6] of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is provided within a separate document as Annex A of this report.

12. Regulation specific aspects (elDAS, QES)

Not applicable.

13. Definitions

13.1. Acronyms

AES Advanced Encryption Standard

AIS Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme

APDU Application Protocol Data Unit

BAC Basic Access Control

BSI Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik / Federal Office for

Information Security, Bonn, Germany

BSIG BSI-Gesetz / Act on the Federal Office for Information Security

CA Chip Authentication

CAM Chip Authentication Mapping

CCRA Common Criteria Recognition ArrangementCC Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation

CEM Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation

CMAC Cipher-Based Message Authentication Code

cPP Collaborative Protection Profile

DES Data Encryption Standard

EAC Extended Access Control

EAL Evaluation Assurance Level

ECC Elliptic Curve Cryptography

ECDH Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman

EEPROM Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory

elD electronic Identity Card

elDAS electronic IDentification, Authentication and trust Services

EM Electromagnetic

ETR Evaluation Technical Report

IC Integrated Circuit

ICAO International Civil Aviation Organisation

ID_Card electronic Identity Card
IT Information Technology

ITSEF Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

MAC Message Authentication Code

MRTD Machine Readable Travel Document

MRZ Machine Readable Zone

PACE Password Authenticated Connection Establishment

PP Protection Profile

QES Qualified Electronic Signature

QSCD Qualified Signature Creation Device

ROM Read Only Memory

RFU Reserved for Future Use

SAR Security Assurance Requirement
SCA Signature Creation Application

SFP Security Function Policy

SFR Security Functional Requirement

SHA Secure Hash Algorithm

SSCD Secure Signature Creation Device

ST Security Target

SVD Signature Verification Data

TA Terminal Authentication

TOE Target of Evaluation

TSF TOE Security Functionality

VAD Verification Authentication Data

13.2. Glossary

Augmentation - The addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package.

Collaborative Protection Profile - A Protection Profile collaboratively developed by an International Technical Community endorsed by the Management Committee.

Extension - The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in CC part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in CC part 3.

Formal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics based on well-established mathematical concepts.

Informal - Expressed in natural language.

Object - A passive entity in the TOE, that contains or receives information, and upon which subjects perform operations.

Package - Named set of either security functional or security assurance requirements.

Protection Profile - A formal document defined in CC, expressing an implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of IT Products that meet specific consumer needs.

Security Target - An implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE.

Semiformal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics.

Subject - An active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects.

Target of Evaluation - An IT Product and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an Evaluation.

TOE Security Functionality - Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs.

14. Bibliography

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1,
 - Part 1: Introduction and general model, Revision 5, April 2017
 - Part 2: Security functional components, Revision 5, April 2017
 - Part 3: Security assurance components, Revision 5, April 2017 https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org
- [2] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017 https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org
- [3] BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) and Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, approval and licencing (CC-Stellen) https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierung
- [4] Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme (AIS) as relevant for the TOE⁸ https://www.bsi.bund.de/AIS
- [5] German IT Security Certificates (BSI 7148), periodically updated list published also on the BSI Website https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierungsreporte
- [6] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019, Specification of the Security Target TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145, Version 2.0.1, 17 January 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [7] Common Criteria Protection Profile Machine Readable Travel Document with "ICAO Application", Basic Access Control, Version 1.10, 25 March 2009, BSI-PP-0055-2009

8specifically

- AIS 1, Version 14, Durchführung der Ortsbesichtigung in der Entwicklungsumgebung
- AIS 20, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für deterministische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 25, Version 9, Anwendung der CC auf Integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 26, Version 10, Evaluationsmethodologie für in Hardware integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 31, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 32, Version 7, CC-Interpretationen im deutschen Zertifizierungsschema
- AIS 34, Version 3, Evaluierungsmethodologie für die Vertrauenswürdigkeitsklasse EAL5+
- AIS 36, Version 5, ETR-Zusatz zur Unterstützung von Smartcard Kompositionszertifizierungen (ETR for composition) including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 37, Version 3, Terminologie und Vorbereitung von Smartcard-Evaluierungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- · AIS 38, Version 2.9, Reuse of evaluation results
- AIS 46, Version 3, Informationen zur Evaluierung von kryptographischen Algorithmen und ergänzende Hinweise für die Evaluierung von Zufallszahlengeneratoren

[8] Evaluation Technical Report BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019, Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) - TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145, Version 1.2, 09 September 2019, SRC Security Research & Consulting GmbH (confidential document)

- [9] Configuration List BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019, Konfigurationsliste von TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145, Version 1.2, 29 July 2019, T-Systems International GmbH (confidential document)
- [10] TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Guidance Document Common Part, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/SAC and EAC/ PSA protocol, Version 1.0.1, 29 July 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [11] TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Operational Guidance Residence Permit, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with ePassport, eID and eSign Application, Version 1.0.1, 14 May 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [12] TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Operational Guidance Passport, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/SAC and EAC protocol, Version 1.0.1, 14 May 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [13] TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Administrator's Guidance Residence Permit, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/SAC and EAC/PSA protocol, Version 1.0.1, 14 May 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [14] TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1, Administrator's Guidance Passport, Guidance Documentation of TCOS ID Version 2.0 Release 1 with BAC, PACE/SAC and EAC/PSA protocol, Version 1.0.1, 14 May 2019, T-Systems International GmbH
- [15] Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2-2019 for NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software from NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH, 24 June 2019, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
- [16] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2-2019, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB – Security Target Lite, Rev. 2.2, 27 November 2018, NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH (sanitised public document)
- [17] Evaluation Technical Report for Composite Evaluation Addendum (ETR-COMP-ADD), BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2, Version 2, 29 April 2019, TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH (confidential document)
- [18] Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0099-2018 for Bundesdruckerei GmbH manufacturing site for ePassport, eCover, eID card, RP card, -inlay of Bundesdruckerei GmbH, 20 April 2018, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
- [19] Technical Guideline BSI TR-03110: Advanced Security Mechanisms for Machine Readable Travel Documents, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
 - Part 1 eMRTDs with BAC/PACEv2 and EACv1, Version 2.20, February 2015
 - Part 2 Protocols for electronic IDentification, Authentication and Trust Services (eIDAS), Version 2.21, December 2016
 - Part 3 Common Specifications, Version 2.21, December 2016
 - Part 4 Applications and Document Profiles, Version 2.21, December 2016

[20] Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-03116 – Kryptographische Vorgaben für Projekte der Bundesregierung, Teil 2: eID-Karten und hoheitliche Dokumente, 28 February 2019, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)

- [21] ICAO Doc 9303-1, Specifications for electronically enabled passports with biometric identification capabilities. In Machine Readable Travel Documents Part 1: Machine Readable Passport, Volume 2, 6th edition, 2006, ICAO
- [22] ICAO Doc 9303-3, Specifications for electronically enabled official travel documents with biometric identification capabilities. In Machine Readable Travel Documents Part 3: Machine Readable Official Travel Documents, Volume 2, 3rd edition, 2008, ICAO
- [23] ICAO Machine Readable Travel Documents, Technical Report, Supplemental Access Control for Machine Readable Travel Documents, Version 1.01, November 2010, ICAO
- [24] SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme Agreed Cryptographic Mechanisms, Version 1.1, June 2018

C. Excerpts from the Criteria

For the meaning of the assurance components and levels the following references to the Common Criteria can be followed:

- On conformance claim definitions and descriptions refer to CC Part 1 chapter 10.5.
- On the concept of assurance classes, families and components refer to CC Part 3 chapter 7.1.
- On the concept and definition of pre-defined assurance packages (EAL) refer to CC Part 3 chapters 7.2 and 8.
- On the assurance class ASE for Security Target evaluation refer to CC Part 3 chapter 12.
- On the detailed definitions of the assurance components for the TOE evaluation refer to CC Part 3 chapters 13 to 17.
- The table in CC Part 3, Annex E summarizes the relationship between the evaluation assurance levels (EAL) and the assurance classes, families and components.

The CC are published at https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/cc/

D. Annexes

List of annexes of this certification report

Annex A: Security Target provided within a separate document ([6])

Annex B: Evaluation results regarding development and production environment

Annex C: Overview and rating of cryptographic functionalities implemented in the TOE

Annex B of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019

Evaluation results regarding development and production environment



The IT product TCOS ID 2.0 Release 1-BAC/P60D145 (Target of Evaluation, TOE) has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations, by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1.

As a result of the TOE certification, dated 7 October 2019, the following results regarding the development and production environment apply. The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC_CMC.4, ALC_CMS.4, ALC_DEL.1, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_LCD.1, ALC_TAT.1) are fulfilled for the development and production sites of the TOE listed below:

- a) T-Systems International GmbH, Untere Industriestraße 20, 57250 Netphen-Dreis-Tiefenbach, Germany (Development).
- b) Bundesdruckerei GmbH, Kommandantenstraße 18, 10969 Berlin, Germany, BSI-DSZ-CC-S-0099-2018 [18] (Initialization, Inlay Embedding and Card Production).
- c) For development and production sites of NXP Semiconductors GmbH regarding the underlying IC platform (including Inlay Embedding) please refer to the certification report BSI-DSZ-CC-1059-V2-2019 [15].

For the sites listed above, the requirements have been specifically applied in accordance with the Security Target [6]. The evaluators verified, that the threats, security objectives and requirements for the TOE life cycle phases up to delivery (as stated in the Security Target [6]) are fulfilled by the procedures of these sites.

Annex C of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-1103-2019

Overview and rating of cryptographic functionalities implemented in the TOE

The Table 8 presented in the Appendix 'Results of Cryptographic Assessment' of the Security Target [6] gives an overview of the cryptographic functionalities inside the TOE to enforce the security policy and outlines the standard of application where its specific appropriateness is stated. The following table takes this Table 8 of the ST as basis and provides additional information on the cryptographic functionalities implemented in the TOE.

No	Purpose	Cryptographic Mechanism	Standard of Implementation	Key Size in Bits	Standard of Application	Comments
1	Authenticated Key Agreement / Authentication	BAC, symmetric authentication, based on 3DES in CBC mode	[EACTR], Part 1 [ICAO9303] [FIPS46-3] (3DES) [SP800-38A], sec. 6.2 (CBC) also cf. lines 3, 4	k =112	[EACTR], Part 1 [ICAO9303]	FIA_UAU.4 FIA_UAU.6 FIA_AFL.1
2	Authenticated Key Agreement / Authentication	BAC, symmetric authentication, based on AES in CBC mode, symmetric authentication of the Personalisation Agent	[ICAO9303] [ISO18013], Part 3, Annex B [FIPS197] (AES) [SP800-38A], sec. 6.2 (CBC) also cf. lines 3, 4	k =128	[EACTR] [ISO18013], Part 3, Annex B	FCSO_COP.1/AUTH
3	Key Derivation	BAC Key Derivation, SHA-1	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303] [FIPS180], sec. 6	-	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303]	FCS_COP.1/SHA
4	Key Derivation	Document Basic Access Key Derivation Algorithm	[EACTR], Part 1 [ICAO9303], Part 1, Appendix 5	-	[EACTR]	FCS_CKM.1
5	Confidentiality	TDES in CBC mode for Secure Messaging	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303] [FIPS46-3] (3DES) [SP800-38A], sec. 6.2 (CBC)	k =112	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303]	FCS_COP.1/ENC
6	Integrity	TDES in Retail- MAC mode for Secure Messaging	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303] [FIPS46-3] (3DES)	k =112	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303]	FCS_COP.1/MAC

No	Purpose	Cryptographic Mechanism	Standard of Implementation	Key Size in Bits	Standard of Application	Comments
			[ISO9797], sec. 7.4, MAC algorithm 3 (Retail-MAC)			
7	Trusted Channel	ICAO BAC Secure Messaging in ENC_MAC mode	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303], sec. 4 refer cf. lines 3, 5, 6	-	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303]	FCS_COP.1/SHA FCS_COP.1/ENC FCS_COP.1/MAC FDP_UIT.1 FDP_UCT.1
8	Cryptographic Primitive	SHA-1 (hash for key derivation)	[FIPS180], sec. 6	n.a.	[EACTR], Part 1 [EACTR], Part 3 [ICAO9303]	FCS_COP.1/SHA
9	Cryptographic Primitive	PTG.2 RNG	[AIS31]	n.a.	[ECARDTR]	FCS_RND.1
10	Cryptographic Primitive	Hybrid deterministic RNG DRG.4	[AIS31] [AIS20] [TCOS RNG], sec. 3.1 and 3.2	n.a.	[ECARDTR]	FCS_RND.1

Table 5: TOE cryptographic functionality

Bibliography for Table 5:

[AIS20]	Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für deterministische Zufallszahlengeneratoren, Version 3, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
[AIS31]	Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren, Version 3, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)
[EACTR]	Technical Guideline BSI TR-03110: Advanced Security Mechanisms for Machine Readable Travel Documents, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)

Part 1 – eMRTDs with BAC/PACEv2 and EACv1, Version 2.20, February 2015

Part 2 – Protocols for electronic IDentification, Authentication and Trust Services (eIDAS), Version 2.21, December 2016

Part 3 – Common Specifications, Version 2.21, December 2016

Part 4 – Applications and Document Profiles, Version 2.21, December 2016

[ECARDTR] Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-03116 – Kryptographische Vorgaben für Projekte der Bundesregierung, Teil 2: eID-Karten und hoheitliche Dokumente, 28 February 2019, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI)

31 / 32

[FIPS46-3]	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication FIPS PUB 46-3, Data Encryption Standard (DES), Reaffirmed October 25 1999, U.S. Department of Commerce/National Institute of Standards and Technology
[FIPS180]	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication FIPS PUB 180-4, Specifications for the Secure Hash Standard (SHS), March 2012, U.S. Department of Commerce/National Institute of Standards and Technology
[FIPS197]	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), November 2001, U.S. Department of Commerce/National Institute of Standards and Technology
[ICAO9303]	ICAO Doc 9303, Machine Readable Travel Documents, Seventh Edition, 2015, ICAO
[ISO9797]	ISO 9797-1:1999, Information technology – Security techniques – Message Authentication Codes (MACs) – Part 1: Mechanisms using a block cipher, January 2005, ISO
[ISO18013]	ISO/IEC 18013-3:2017, Personal Identification – ISO Compliant Driving License – Part 3: Access Control, Authentication and Integrity Validation, April 2017, ISO/IEC
[SP800-38A]	Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Methods and Techniques, NIST Special Publication 800-38A, December 2001, National Institute of Standards and Technology
[TCOS RNG]	Zufallszahlengenerierung in TCOS, Version 0.8, 15 January 2019, T-Systems International GmbH (confidential document)

Note: End of report