Certification Report

BSI-DSZ-CC-0973-2016

for

NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software

from

NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH

BSI - Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Postfach 20 03 63, D-53133 Bonn Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0, Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477, Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111

Certification Report V1.0 CC-Zert-327 V5.14





BSI-DSZ-CC-0973-2016 (*)

Smartcard Controller

NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software

from NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH

PP Conformance: Security IC Platform Protection Profile with

Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January

2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014

Functionality: PP conformant plus product specific extensions

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

P6022P/X VB: EAL6 augmented by ALC FLR.1,

ASE_TSS.2

P6022M/D/J VB: EAL 5 augmented by AVA_VAN.5,

ALC DVS.2, ASE TSS.2

The IT Product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations, by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents as listed in the Certification Report for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1. CC and CEM are also published as ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045.

(*) This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification Report and Notification. For details on the validity see Certification Report part A chapter 4

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the certification scheme of the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced.

This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

Bonn, 17 June 2016

For the Federal Office for Information Security

Bernd Kowalski Head of Department L.S.



SOGIS Recognition Agreement





Common Criteria
Recognition Arrangement
for components up to
EAL 2



This page is intentionally left blank.

Preliminary Remarks

Under the BSIG¹ Act, the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) has the task of issuing certificates for information technology products.

Certification of a product is carried out on the instigation of the vendor or a distributor, hereinafter called the sponsor.

A part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product according to the security criteria published by the BSI or generally recognised security criteria.

The evaluation is normally carried out by an evaluation facility recognised by the BSI or by BSI itself.

The result of the certification procedure is the present Certification Report. This report contains among others the certificate (summarised assessment) and the detailed Certification Results.

The Certification Results contain the technical description of the security functionality of the certified product, the details of the evaluation (strength and weaknesses) and instructions for the user.

-

Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

Contents

A. Certification	7
Specifications of the Certification Procedure	
B. Certification Results	11
1. Executive Summary 2. Identification of the TOE 3. Security Policy 4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope 5. Architectural Information 6. Documentation 7. IT Product Testing 8. Evaluated Configuration 9. Provide of the Finduction	
9. Results of the Evaluation	25 25 26
C. Excerpts from the Criteria	31
CC Part 1:CC Part 3:	
Ο Δημένος	30

A. Certification

1. Specifications of the Certification Procedure

The certification body conducts the procedure according to the criteria laid down in the following:

- Act on the Federal Office for Information Security²
- BSI Certification and Approval Ordinance³
- BSI Schedule of Costs⁴
- Special decrees issued by the Bundesministerium des Innern (Federal Ministry of the Interior)
- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17065 standard
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) [3]
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, its approval and licencing process (CC-Stellen) [3]
- Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1⁵ [1] also published as ISO/IEC 15408.
- Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 [2] also published as ISO/IEC 18045.
- BSI certification: Application Notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (AIS) [4]

2. Recognition Agreements

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

2.1. European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

Ordinance on the Procedure for Issuance of Security Certificates and approval by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Zertifizierungs- und -Anerkennungsverordnung - BSIZertV) of 17 December 2014, Bundesgesetzblatt 2014, part I, no. 61, p. 2231

Schedule of Cost for Official Procedures of the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI-Kostenverordnung, BSI-KostV) of 03 March 2005, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 519

⁵ Proclamation of the Bundesministerium des Innern of 12 February 2007 in the Bundesanzeiger dated 23 February 2007, p. 3730

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4 and ITSEC Evaluation Assurance Levels E1 to E3 (basic). For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The new agreement has been signed by the national bodies of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at https://www.sogisportal.eu.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

This certificate is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

2.2. International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The CCRA-2014 replaces the old CCRA signed in May 2000 (CCRA-2000). Certificates based on CCRA-2000, issued before 08 September 2014 are still under recognition according to the rules of CCRA-2000. For on 08 September 2014 ongoing certification procedures and for Assurance Continuity (maintenance and re-certification) of old certificates a transition period on the recognition of certificates according to the rules of CCRA-2000 (i.e. assurance components up to and including EAL 4 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC_FLR)) is defined until 08 September 2017.

As of September 2014 the signatories of the new CCRA-2014 are government representatives from the following nations: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

This certificate is recognized according to the rules of CCRA-2014, i.e. up to and including CC part 3 EAL 2 components. The evaluation contained components that are not mutually recognised in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA-2014:

P6022P/X VB: ADV_FSP.5, ADV_IMP.2, ADV_INT.3, ADV_SPM.1, ADV_TDS.5, ALC_CMC.5, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_FLR.1, ALC_TAT.3, ATE_COV.3, ATE_DPT.3, ATE_FUN.2 and AVA_VAN.5

P6022M/D/J VB: ADV_FSP.5, ADV_INT.2, ADV_TDS.4, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_TAT.2, ATE_DPT.3 and AVA_VAN.5.

For mutual recognition the EAL 2 components of these assurance families are relevant.

3. Performance of Evaluation and Certification

The certification body monitors each individual evaluation to ensure a uniform procedure, a uniform interpretation of the criteria and uniform ratings.

The product NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software has undergone the certification procedure at BSI.

The evaluation of the product NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software was conducted by TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH. The evaluation was completed on 14 June 2016. TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH is an evaluation facility (ITSEF)⁶ recognised by the certification body of BSI.

For this certification procedure the sponsor and applicant is: NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH.

The product was developed by: NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH.

The certification is concluded with the comparability check and the production of this Certification Report. This work was completed by the BSI.

4. Validity of the Certification Result

This Certification Report only applies to the version of the product as indicated. The confirmed assurance package is only valid on the condition that

- all stipulations regarding generation, configuration and operation, as given in the following report, are observed,
- the product is operated in the environment described, as specified in the following report and in the Security Target.

For the meaning of the assurance levels please refer to the excerpts from the criteria at the end of the Certification Report or in the CC itself.

The Certificate issued confirms the assurance of the product claimed in the Security Target at the date of certification. As attack methods evolve over time, the resistance of the certified version of the product against new attack methods needs to be re-assessed. Therefore, the sponsor should apply for the certified product being monitored within the assurance continuity program of the BSI Certification Scheme (e.g. by a re-certification). Specifically, if results of the certification are used in subsequent evaluation and certification procedures, in a system integration process or if a user's risk management needs regularly updated results, it is recommended to perform a re-assessment on a regular e.g. annual basis.

In order to avoid an indefinite usage of the certificate when evolved attack methods require a re-assessment of the products resistance to state of the art attack methods, the maximum validity of the certificate has been limited. The certificate issued on 17 June 2016 is valid until 16 June 2021. Validity can be re-newed by re-certification.

The owner of the certificate is obliged:

•

⁶ Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

 when advertising the certificate or the fact of the product's certification, to refer to the Certification Report as well as to provide the Certification Report, the Security Target and user guidance documentation mentioned herein to any customer of the product for the application and usage of the certified product,

- 2. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately about vulnerabilities of the product that have been identified by the developer or any third party after issuance of the certificate.
- 3. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately in the case that security relevant changes in the evaluated life cycle, e.g. related to development and production sites or processes, occur, or the confidentiality of documentation and information related to the Target of Evaluation (TOE) or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure where the certification of the product has assumed this confidentiality being maintained, is not given any longer. In particular, prior to the dissemination of confidential documentation and information related to the TOE or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure that do not belong to the deliverables according to the Certification Report part B, or for those where no dissemination rules have been agreed on, to third parties, the Certification Body at BSI has to be informed.

In case of changes to the certified version of the product, the validity can be extended to the new versions and releases, provided the sponsor applies for assurance continuity (i.e. re-certification or maintenance) of the modified product, in accordance with the procedural requirements, and the evaluation does not reveal any security deficiencies.

5. Publication

The product NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software has been included in the BSI list of certified products, which is published regularly (see also Internet: https://www.bsi.bund.de and [5]). Further information can be obtained from BSI-Infoline +49 228 9582-111.

Further copies of this Certification Report can be requested from the developer⁷ of the product. The Certification Report may also be obtained in electronic form at the internet address stated above.

NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH Stresemannallee 101 22529 Hamburg

B. Certification Results

The following results represent a summary of

• the Security Target of the sponsor for the Target of Evaluation,

- the relevant evaluation results from the evaluation facility, and
- complementary notes and stipulations of the certification body.

1. Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the IC hardware platform NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB with IC Dedicated Software and documentation describing the Instruction Set and the usage with "y" as placeholder for

- P: Plain, no emulation.
- X: Plain, no emulation, extended memory (ROM)
- M: MIFARE Plus/Classic implementation.
- D: MIFARE DESFire EV1 implementation.
- J: joint MIFARE Plus/Classic/DESFire EV1 implementation.

The IC hardware platform NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB is a microcontroller incorporating a central processing unit, memories accessible via a Memory Management Unit, cryptographic co-processors, other security components and two communication interfaces. The central processing unit supports a 32-/24-/16-/8-bit instruction set optimized for smart card applications, which is a super set of the 80C51 family instruction set. On-chip memories are ROM, RAM and EEPROM. The non-volatile EEPROM can be used as data or program memory.

The IC Dedicated Software comprises IC Dedicated Test Software for test purposes and IC Dedicated Support Software. The IC Dedicated Support Software consists of Boot-ROM Software controlling the boot process of the hardware platform and Firmware Operating System which can be called by the Security IC Embedded Software.

Except for the y=P and y=X configurations the P6022y VB includes Emulation Software MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 and/or MIFARE DESFire EV1. In case of y=X, the TOE provides extended EEPROM memory (optimized memory to free-up additional ROM for application OS).

The P6022y VB can be used to assure authorized conditional access in a wide range of applications. Examples are identity cards, Banking Cards, Pay-TV, Portable communication SIM cards, Health cards and Transportation cards.

The Security Target [6] is the basis for this certification. It is based on the certified Protection Profile Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014 [8].

The TOE Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) are based entirely on the assurance components defined in Part 3 of the Common Criteria (see part C or [1], Part 3 for details). The TOE meets the assurance requirements as defined by the Evaluation Assurance Level depending on the configuration of the TOE:

P6022P/X VB

EAL6 augmented by ALC_FLR.1, ASE_TSS.2

P6022M/D/J VB

EAL 5 augmented by AVA_VAN.5, ALC_DVS.2, ASE_TSS.2

The TOE Security Functional Requirements (SFR) relevant for the TOE are outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 6. They are selected from Common Criteria Part 2 and some of them are newly defined. Thus the TOE is CC Part 2 extended.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functionality:

TOE Security Functionality	Addressed issue
P6022P/X VB	
SS.RNG	Random Number Generator
SS.TDES	Triple-DES coprocessor
SS.AES	AES coprocessor
SS.RECONFIG	Post Delivery Configuration
SF.OPC	Control of Operating Conditions
SF.PHY	Protection against Physical Manipulation
SF.PUF	User Data Protection using PUF
SF.LOG	Logical Protection
SF.COMP	Protection of Mode Control
SF.MEM_ACC	Memory Access Control
SF.SFR_ACC	Special Function Register Access Control
SF.FFW	Firmware Firewall
SF.FIRMWARE	Firmware Support
additional for P6022M VB / P6022D VB	/ P6022J VB
SS.MFP_AUTH	MIFARE Plus Authentication
SS.MFP_ACC_CTRL	Access Control to MIFARE Plus data
SS.MFP_ENC	MIFARE Plus Encryption
SS.MFP_MAC	MIFARE Plus Message Authentication Code
SS.DF_AUTH	DESFire Authentication
SS.DF_ACC_CTRL	Access Control to DESFire Data
SS.DF_ENC	DESFire Communication Encryption
SS.DF_MAC	DESFire Message Authentication Code
SS.DF_TRANS	DESFire Transaction Protection

Table 1: TOE Security Functionalities

For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 7.

The assets to be protected by the TOE are defined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 3.1. Based on these assets the TOE Security Problem is defined in terms of Assumptions, Threats and Organisational Security Policies. This is outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapters 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.

This certification covers the configurations of the TOE as outlined in chapter 8.

The vulnerability assessment results as stated within this certificate do not include a rating for those cryptographic algorithms and their implementation suitable for encryption and decryption (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

The certification results only apply to the version of the product indicated in the certificate and on the condition that all the stipulations are kept as detailed in this Certification Report. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by the Federal Office for

Information Security (BSI) or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by BSI or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

2. Identification of the TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called:

NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software

The following table outlines the TOE deliverables:

No	Туре	Identifier	Release, Date	Form of Delivery	P6022P VB	P6022X VB	P6022M VB	P6022D VB	P6022J VB
1	HW	NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022P VB/P6022X VB	nameplate 9072B, 2016-01-18	wafer, module, inlay or package	Х	х	х	х	х
2a	SW	Test ROM Software (part of IC the Dedicated Test Software), Test-ROM on the chip acc. to 9072A_LK097_TESTROM_v1_btos_10v1 D_fos_Cv21rc5.hex	Release 10.1D, 2015-04-25	stored in ROM on the chip	X		x	x	x
2b	SW	Test ROM Software (part of the IC Dedicated Test Software), Test-ROM on the chip acc. to 9072A_LV041_TESTROM_v1_btos_101D _fos_Cv31.hex	Release 10.1D, 2015-04-25	stored in ROM on the chip		x			
3a	SW	Boot ROM Software (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Boot-ROM on the chip acc. to 9072A_LK097_TESTROM_v1_btos_10v1 D_fos_Cv21rc5.hex	Release 10.1D, 2015-04-25	stored in ROM on the chip	Х		x	х	х
3b	sw	Boot ROM Software (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Boot-ROM on the chip acc. to 9072A_LV041_TESTROM_v1_btos_101D _fos_Cv31.hex	Release 10.1D, 2015-04-25	stored in ROM on the chip		х			
4a	SW	Plain Firmware Operating System (FOS-Plain) (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Firmware Operating System on the chip acc. to 9072A_LK097_TESTROM_v1_btos_10v1 D_fos_Cv21rc5.hex	Release 0C.22, 2016-01	stored in ROM on the chip	х		x	x	х
4b	SW	Plain Firmware Operating System (FOS-Plain) (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Firmware Operating System on the chip acc. to 9072A_LV041_TESTROM_v1_btos_101D_fos_Cv31.hex	Release 0C.32, 2016-01	stored in ROM on the chip		x			

No	Туре	Identifier	Release, Date	Form of Delivery	P6022P VB	P6022X VB	P6022M VB	P6022D VB	P6022J VB
5a	SW	Emulation Firmware Operating System (FOS-Emu) with MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 configuration (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Firmware Operating System on the chip acc. to 9072A_LK097_TESTROM_v1_btos_10v1 D_fos_Cv21rc5.hex	Release 0C.22, 2016-01	stored in ROM on the chip			x		x
5b	SW	Emulation Firmware Operating System (FOS-Emu) with MIFARE DESFire EV1 configuration (part of the IC Dedicated Support Software), Firmware Operating System on the chip acc. to 9072A_LK097_TESTROM_v1_btos_10v1 D_fos_Cv21rc5.hex	Release 0C.22, 2016-01	stored in ROM on the chip				x	x
6	DOC	Product Data Sheet - SmartMX2 family P6022y VB, Secure high-performance smart card controller, NXP Semiconductors	Release 2.0, 2016-01-15	Electronic Document	х	x	х	х	x
7	DOC	Instruction Set for the SmartMX2 family, Secure smart card controller, NXP Semiconductors	Release 3.1, 2012-02-02	Electronic Document	х	х	х	х	х
8	DOC	Information on Guidance and Operation, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB, NXP Semiconductors	Release 1.2, 2016-06-14	Electronic Document	х	х	х	х	х
9	DOC	Product data sheet addendum - SmartMX2 P6022y VB, Wafer and delivery specification, NXP Semiconductors	Release 2.2, 2016-03-08	Electronic Document	х	х	х	х	х
10	DOC	Product Data Sheet Addendum – SmartMX2 P602xy VB family, Firmware Interface Specification, NXP Semiconductors	Release 3.3, 2016-03-23	Electronic Document	х	х	х	х	х
11	DOC	Product Data Sheet - MIFARE Plus Functionality of implementations on smart card controllers, NXP Semiconductors	Release 3.4, 2015-02-10	Electronic Document			х		x
12	DOC	MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 Guidance and Operation Manual, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P602xy, NXP Semiconductors	Release 2.2, 2015-09-18	Electronic Document			х		x
13	DOC	Product Data Sheet – MIFARE DESFire EV1 Functionality of implementations on smart card controllers, NXP Semiconductors	Release 3.4, 2014-07-04	Electronic Document				х	х
14	DOC	MIFARE DESFire EV1 Guidance and Operation Manual, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P602xy, NXP Semiconductors	Release 2.2, 2015-09-18	electronic form				х	х

Table 2: Deliverables of the TOE

The requirements for the delivery of TOE are described in the "Product Data Sheet" [11] chapter 31 and the requirements for the delivery itself are given in the "Wafer and delivery

specification" [14] chapter 4. For each delivery form of the hardware platform NXP offers two ways of delivery of the TOE:

- 1. The customer collects the product himself at the NXP site, or
- 2. the product is sent to the customer by NXP. The delivery is protected by special measures.

The commercial type name is the identification used to order the TOE P6022y VB in the respective major configuration and with the evaluated package type. In consequence this means that a full commercial product name that fits in the variable forms described in [6] and [9] Table 7 determines that the hardware platform is an evaluated product. In addition the hardware version can be identified by the coded nameplate "9072A" (cf. [20] section 3.1) on the surface of the hardware platform as described in chapters 4.2 and 3.9.3 of the Wafer and delivery specification [14]. The nameplate is the same for all configurations. In addition each major configuration has a different device coding described in [11] chapter 31.2. The device coding is reproduced in the following table below.

Identification is also possible using the Chip Health Mode. The identification string provided by the command 00h of the Chip Health Mode comprises also the device coding and the firmware version. Each major configuration has a dedicated device coding as listed in the following table:

3. Security Policy

The Security Policy is expressed by the set of Security Functional Requirements and implemented by the TOE. It covers the following issues:

The Security Policy of the TOE is to provide basic security functionalities to be used by the smart card operating system and the smart card application thus providing an overall smart card system security. Therefore, the TOE will implement the symmetric cryptographic block cipher algorithm to ensure the confidentiality of plain text data by encryption and to support secure authentication protocols and it will provide a True Random Number Generator (TRNG).

As the TOE is a hardware security platform, the security policy of the TOE is also to provide protection against leakage of information (e.g. to ensure the confidentiality of cryptographic keys during cryptographic functions performed by the TOE), against physical probing, against malfunctions, against physical manipulations and against abuse of functionality. Hence the TOE shall

- maintain the integrity and the confidentiality of data stored in the memory of the TOE and
- maintain the integrity, the correct operation and the confidentiality of security functionalities (security mechanisms and associated functions) provided by the TOE.

Specific details concerning the above mentioned security policies can be found in chapter 7 of the Security Target [6] and [9].

4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

The Assumptions defined in the Security Target and some aspects of Threats and Organisational Security Policies are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to

specific security objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. The following topics are of relevance:

Name Assumption Title					
P6022P/X VB					
OE.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during composite product manufacturing				
OE.Check-Init Check of initialisation data by the Security IC Embedded Software					
OE.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User Data				
Additional for P6022M V	/B / P6022D VB / P6022J VB				
OE.Check-OriginalityKey	Check of the Originality Key of the MIFARE Software				
OE.Secure-Values	Generation of secure values				
OE.Terminal-Support	Terminal support to ensure integrity, confidentiality and use of random numbers				

Table 3: Objectives for the TOE-Environment

Details can be found in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapters 4.2 and 4.3.

5. Architectural Information

The product is a single chip micro-controller unit designed by NXP and built in 90 nm CMOS optical shrink technology.

The TOE consists of the following hardware

- CPU / co-processors:
 - a CPU implementation supporting a 32-/24-/16-/8 bit instruction set which is a superset of the 80C51 family instruction set and distinguishes five CPU modes,
 - a Triple-DES co-processor, supporting single DES and Triple-DES operations (in 2-key or 3-key operation, with two/three 56 bit keys (112-/168 bit)), where only Triple-DES operations are evaluated and considered as security functionality,
 - an Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) co-processor with key lengths of 128,
 192 and 256 bits,
 - an arithmetic co-processor, called Fame2 co-processor, whose availability is subject to specific choice of Customer Reconfiguration Options. It supplies basic arithmetic functions to support implementation of asymmetric cryptographic algorithms by the Security IC Embedded Software; the Security IC Embedded Software is not part of the TOE,
 - a CRC co-processor, providing the CRC generation polynomials CRC-16 and CRC-32 for hardware cyclic redundancy check calculations,
- Memory / Memory Controller:
 - Read-Only Memory (ROM): the TOE incorporates 512 kBytes of ROM, where 1 kByte = 1024 Bytes. The ROM is partitioned by a Memory Management Unit (MMU) into 384 kBytes Application-ROM for the Security IC Embedded Software. 128 kBytes are reserved for the Test-ROM, Boot-ROM, and Firmware including emulations.

Random Access Memory (RAM): 9.125 kBytes of RAM, which is parted into RAM available to the Firmware Operating System only (576 Bytes). The remainder, which is available to the Security IC Embedded Software, is split into 2.688 kBytes for the Fame2 co-processor, called FXRAM and 6.656 kBytes general purpose RAM, called CXRAM,

- Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory (EEPROM): An overall maximum of 80 kBytes of EEPROM, where 1024 Bytes are always reserved for IC Dedicated Support Software, 512 Bytes for the manufacturer area and whose actual size is subject to specific choice of Major Configuration and Customer Reconfiguration Options,
- Memory Controller: A Memory Management Unit (MMU) controls access to all of the three above mentioned memory types,

Internal Peripherals:

- a True Random Number generator,
- reset generator,
- watch-dog timer, configurable by the Security IC Embedded Software to protect program execution,
- 16 bit timers (T0 and T1),

Physical protection:

- secure shielding,
- security sensors with reset generator,

Electrical interfaces:

- ISO/IEC 14443 A contactless interface with pads LA and LB, whose availability is subject to a minor configuration option,
- ISO/IEC 7816 contact interface with serial communication pad I/O1,
- single external power supply of 1.8 V, 3 V or 5 V nominal by the lines VDD and VSS, or supply by inductive coupling via the ISO/IEC 14443 A contactless interface.
- clock input CLK with a clock filter and clock generator,
- reset input RST N.

The TOE consists of the following firmware:

- Security IC Dedicated Test Software, which is stored to the Test-ROM and used by the manufacturer of the Security IC during production test; it includes the test operating system, test routines for the various blocks of the circuitry, control flags for the status of the EEPROM's manufacturer area and shutdown functions,
- Security IC Dedicated Support Software, according to
 - Boot-ROM Software, executed during start-up,
 - the Firmware Operating System (FOS) provides an interface for the Security IC Embedded Software. This interface is called FVEC. There are several FVECs defined, namely FVEC0.x, FVEC1.x, FVEC2.x, FVEC3.x and FVEC7.x. The

letter "x" is a placeholder for the sub functions of the FVECs. "x" can be a number between 1 and 255. Please note not all sub numbers are valid.

- FVEC0.x: This interface establishes the contactless communication according to ISO/IEC 14443 for the Security IC Embedded Software. Furthermore it provides sub functions to enable MIFARE Software.
- FVEC1.x: This interface is used to access the EEPROM owned by MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 when in security level 1 or security level 2. MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 in security level 1 or security level 2 does not implement any Security Functional Requirement and therefore FVEC1.x is not in the scope of the evaluation.
- FVEC2.x: This interface provides the service to seal/unseal user data in a defined memory area using the Physical Unclonable Function (PUF) block. This interface is also used to read/clear the attack detector status and to read the error counter value.
- FVEC3.x: This interface is used to access the EEPROM owned by MIFARE DESFire EV1 or MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 (MIFARE Software). It only handles MIFARE Software commands specified for ISO14443-4. For MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0, this includes all security level 0 and security level 3 commands and the security level switch commands in security level 1 and security level 2.
- FVEC7.x: This interface implements programming of the internal EEPROM memory, which is mandatory for use by the Security IC Embedded Software when programming the EEPROM memory.
- the MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 provides the following functionality:
 - a data storage system that contains blocks grouped in sectors which can store data (including so-called values which are blocks in a specific format representing a number).
 - authentication on sector level with fine-grained access conditions blocks.
 - message authentication to support replay attack protection.
 - data encryption for confidentiality of the contact-less communication.
 - unique serial number for each device (UID) with optional random UID.
- the MIFARE DESFire provides the following functionality:
 - flexible file system that can contain up to 28 applications with up to 32 files in each application.
 - support for different file types like values or data records.
 - mutual three pass authentication, also according to ISO 7816-4.
 - authentication on application level with fine-grained access conditions for files.
 - multi-application support that allows distributed management of applications and ensures application segregation.
 - data encryption for contactless communication with replay attack protection.

 transaction system with rollback that ensures consistency for complex transactions.

unique serial number for each device (UID) with optional random UID.

6. Documentation

The evaluated documentation as outlined in table 2 is being provided with the product to the customer. This documentation contains the required information for secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the Security Target.

Additional obligations and notes for secure usage of the TOE as outlined in chapter 10 of this report have to be followed.

7. IT Product Testing

Developer's Test according to ATE_FUN

TOE test configuration and developer's testing approach:

- The tests are performed with the TOE in different test environments and configurations depending on the test categories.
- All TSF and related security mechanisms, subsystems and modules are tested in order to assure complete coverage of all SFR.
- Test categories:
 - Production testing on wafers using test functions implemented in the IC Dedicated Software. These test functions are accessed via test commands, which are issued by the production tests. Test functions respond signatures to the production tests. Production tests also apply signals to and/or measures signals at any contact of the device. Final test or module test therefore is limited to a verification of electrical connections like checking the pins of the package for shorts and opens.
 - Simulation tests (design verification): Simulation tests are performed to verify functionality, which is not visible at the accessible interfaces of the TOE. These simulation tests are a subset of those, which were performed during development of the device to ensure a proper design of its modules. During run-time of a simulation an automated regression test continuously
 - During run-time of a simulation an automated regression test continuously compares pre-defined internal signals (probe list) like data and address buses, control signals, register contents and microcode information against a "golden reference". Test results are automatically listed in log files and a summary, i.e. discrepancies occurred (yes/no), is output to the user interface.
 - Manual simulation tests are performed in case an automated result comparison based on executable code is not possible.
 - Characterization tests:
 - Characterization tests verify the electrical properties of the device, which are specified with regard to limiting values, thresholds and timings of several electrical parameters like voltages, currents, frequencies, capacitors, resistances and latches. For this purpose a number of devices for test are taken from production.

- Verification tests:
 - Verification tests are performed on single samples of the device to verify specific security functionality, which is not testable for each device during production test or within the scope of characterization testing. Such tests include standard tests of the Random Number Generator and Triple-DES co-processor.
- Test of configurations:
 - Configuration data are stored to EEPROM based on the customer's choices in the Order Entry Form at later stages of the production test. For this purpose production test implements special test steps relying on an according test strategy to verify the required configuration. Special parts of verification tests explicitly test the configuration options of the device.
- Functional verification and validation (MIFARE MF1PLUSx0 and MIFARE DESFire EV1) including firmware tests, MIFARE Plus Acceptance/Regression Tests, MIFARE Plus Backwards Compatibility Tests, Anti-Tearing Test,
- System Stability Verification (MIFARE MF1PLUSx0 and MIFARE DESFire EV1) including Robot Arm test and Multiple PICC Test,
- Performance Verification (MIFARE MF1PLUSx0 and MIFARE DESFire EV1) of each individual command and of standard transactions,
- External test (MIFARE MF1PLUSx0 and MIFARE DESFire EV1), consisting of a "Test plan for MIFARE® Compatibility for Certification of Terminals and Qualification of Contactless Readers".

Independent Testing according to ATE_IND

The independent testing was partly performed in the developer's testing environment and partly at TÜViT GmbH, information security department, in Essen. The same platforms and tools as for the developer tests were used.

The evaluators' testing effort can be summarised in the following aspects.

Testing approach:

- The evaluator's objective regarding this aspect was to test the functionality of the TOE and to verify the developer's test results by repeating developer's tests and additionally add independent tests
- In the course of the evaluation of the TOE the following classes of tests were carried out:
 - Module tests.
 - Simulation tests.
 - Emulation tests,
 - Tests in system mode,
 - Tests in test mode,
 - Hardware tests.
- With this kind of tests the entire security functionality of the TOE was tested.

Penetration Testing according to AVA VAN

• The penetration testing was partially performed using the developer's testing environment, partially using the test environment of the evaluation body.

- All configurations of the TOE being intended to be covered by the current evaluation were tested.
- The overall test result is that no deviations were found between the expected and the actual test results; moreover, no attack scenario with the attack potential high was actually successful.

Penetration testing approach:

- Systematic search for potential vulnerabilities and known attacks in public domain sources, use of a list of vulnerabilities, and from a methodical analysis of the evaluation documents.
- Analysis why these vulnerabilities are unexploitable in the intended environment of the TOE.
- If the rationale is suspect in the opinion of the evaluator penetration tests are devised.
- Even if the rational is convincing in the opinion of the evaluator penetration tests are devised for some vulnerabilities, especially to support the argument of nonpracticability of exploiting time in case of SPA, DPA and FI attacks.

8. Evaluated Configuration

This certification covers the following configurations of the TOE:

It has to be noted that in the underlying Security Target different TOE configurations (major configurations) are to be evaluated according to different EAL packages:

- P6022P VB EAL6,
- P6022X VB EAL6,
- P6022M VB EAL5,
- P6022D VB EAL5.
- P6022J VB EAL5.

The P6022y VB hardware platform was tested including all minor configuration options that can be selected based on Table 5, 6, and 7 in chapter 1.4.2.2 of the Security Target. All minor configurations are available to the evaluator. The major configuration does not have dependencies to security features. All minor configuration options that are part of the evaluation were tested. The minor configuration options behave as specified and described in [11] and [13]. Therefore the results described in this document are applicable for all minor configurations described in [6] and [9].

These minor configuration options (and all others) for NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB can be selected by the customer via Order Entry Form (see [22]). The Order Entry Form identifies all the minor configuration options, which are supported by the major configuration. However, only those minor configurations mentioned above correspond to different commercial product identifiers.

MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 in security level 1 is also referred to as MIFARE Classic (MCx). It can be selected in two different EEPROM size configurations 1k Bytes (MC1) and 4k

Bytes (MC4). These configurations do not implement any Security Functional Requirement of MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 and they do not interfere with the security functionality provided by the IC hardware platform.

9. Results of the Evaluation

9.1. CC specific results

The Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [7] was provided by the ITSEF according to the Common Criteria [1], the Methodology [2], the requirements of the Scheme [3] and all interpretations and guidelines of the Scheme (AIS) [4] as relevant for the TOE.

The Evaluation Methodology CEM [2] was used for those components up to EAL 5 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product [4] (AIS 34).

The following guidance specific for the technology was used:

- (i) The Application of CC to Integrated Circuits
- (ii) Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards
- (iii) Guidance, Smartcard Evaluation

(see [4], AIS 25, AIS 37).

For RNG assessment the scheme interpretations AIS 31 was used (see [4]).

To support composite evaluations according to AIS 36 the document ETR for composite evaluation [10] was provided and approved. This document provides details of this platform evaluation that have to be considered in the course of a composite evaluation on top.

As a result of the evaluation the verdict PASS is confirmed for the following assurance components:

For P6022P/X VB:

- All components of the EAL 6 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report)
- The components ALC FLR.1 and ASE TSS.2 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

For P6022M/D/J VB:

- All components of the EAL 5 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report)
- The components AVA_VAN.5, ALC_DVS.2 and ASE_TSS.2 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

The evaluation has confirmed:

• PP Conformance: Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation

Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014

[8]

• for the Functionality: PP conformant plus product specific extensions

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

• for the Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

P6022P/X VB: EAL6 augmented by ALC_FLR.1, ASE_TSS.2 P6022M/D/J VB: EAL 5 augmented by AVA_VAN.5, ALC_DVS.2,

ASE TSS.2

For specific evaluation results regarding the development and production environment see annex B in part D of this report.

The results of the evaluation are only applicable to the TOE as defined in chapter 2 and the configuration as outlined in chapter 8 above.

9.2. Results of cryptographic assessment

he strength of the cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of this certification procedure (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2). But Cryptographic Functionalities with a security level of lower than 100 bits can no longer be regarded as secure without considering the application context. Therefore, for these functionalities it shall be checked whether the related crypto operations are appropriate for the intended system. Some further hints and guidelines can be derived from the 'Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-02102' (https://www.bsi.bund.de).

Any Cryptographic Functionality that is marked in column 'Security Level above 100 Bits' of the following table with 'no' achieves a security level of lower than 100 Bits (in general context).

No.	Purpose	Purpose Cryptographic Mechanism		Key Size in Bits	Security Level above 100 Bits
1. Cryptographic		Cryptographic Primitives 2-key Triple DES (ECB) NIST SP 800		k = 112	No
	Pililliuves	3-key Triple DES (ECB)	NIST SP 800-67	k = 168	No
		AES (ECB)	FIPS-197	k = 128 / 192 / 256	No
		Physical RNG PTG.2	[AIS31]	N/A	N/A
2.	Authentication (MFP)	AES (CBC)	FIPS-197 (AES),SP 800- 38A (CBC)	K = 128	Yes
3. Authentication (DF)				K = 128	Yes
		3-key TDES (CBC)	NIST SP 800-67 (DES), SP 800- 38A (CBC)	K = 168	Yes
4.	Key Agreement (MFP)	FTP_TRP.1[MFP]	N/A	N/A	No
5.	Key Agreement (DF)	FTP_TRP.1[DF]	N/A	N/A	No
6.	Confidentiality (MFP)			FIPS-197 (AES),SP K = 128 800- 38A (CBC)	
7.	Confidentiality (DF)	AES (CBC)	FIPS-197 (AES),SP 800- 38A (CBC)	K = 128	Yes
8.	Integrity (MFP)	AES in CMAC mode	FIPS-197 (AES),	K = 128	Yes

No.	Purpose Cryptographic Mechanism		Standard of Implementation	Key Size in Bits	Security Level above 100 Bits
			SP 800- 38B (CMAC)		
9.	Integrity (DF)	AES in CMAC mode	FIPS-197 (AES), SP 800- 38B (CMAC)	K = 128	Yes
10.	Trusted Channel (MFP)	Mifare Plus MF1PLUSx0	N/A	N/A	No
11.	Trusted Channel (DF)	DESFire EV1	N/A	N/A	No

Table 4: TOE cryptographic functionality

10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE

The documents as outlined in table 2 contain necessary information about the usage of the TOE and all security hints therein have to be considered. In addition all aspects of Assumptions, Threats and OSPs as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment of the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

Some security measures are partly implemented in the hardware and require additional configuration or control or measures to be implemented by the IC Dedicated Support Software or Embedded Software.

For this reason the TOE includes guidance documentation (see table 2) which contains guidelines for the developer of the IC Dedicated Support Software and Embedded Software on how to securely use the microcontroller chip and which measures have to be implemented in the software in order to fulfil the security requirements of the Security Target of the TOE.

In the course of the evaluation of the composite product or system it must be examined if the required measures have been correctly and effectively implemented by the software. Additionally, the evaluation of the composite product or system must also consider the evaluation results as outlined in the document ETR for composite evaluation [10].

In addition, the following aspect needs to be fulfilled when using the TOE:

The implementation of the encryption/decryption operation of the MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 may expose some bits of the XOR difference between pairs of plaintext using the principles of a watermark attack.

11. Security Target

For the purpose of publishing, the Security Target [9] of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is provided within a separate document as Annex A of this report. It is a sanitised version of

the complete Security Target [6] used for the evaluation performed. Sanitisation was performed according to the rules as outlined in the relevant CCRA policy (see AIS 35 [4]).

12. Definitions

12.1. Acronyms

AES Advanced Encryption Standard

AIS Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme

BSI Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik / Federal Office for

Information Security, Bonn, Germany

BSIG BSI-Gesetz / Act on the Federal Office for Information Security

CBC Cipher Block Chaining

CCRA Common Criteria Recognition ArrangementCC Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation

CEM Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation

CMAC Cipher-based MAC

DES Data Encryption Standard

DF Desfire

CPP Collaborative Protection Profile **EAL** Evaluation Assurance Level

EEPROM Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory

ETR Evaluation Technical Report

FIPS Federal Information Processing Standard

FOS Firmware Operating System

ISO International Organization for Standardization

IT Information Technology

ITSEF Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

MFP Mifare Plus

PP Protection Profile

RAM Random Access Memory

ROM Read Only Memory

SAR Security Assurance Requirement

SFP Security Function Policy

SFR Security Functional Requirement

ST Security Target

TDES Triple-DES

TOE Target of Evaluation

12.2. Glossary

Augmentation - The addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package.

Collaborative Protection Profile - A Protection Profile collaboratively developed by an International Technical Community endorsed by the Management Committee.

Extension - The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in CC part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in CC part 3.

Formal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics based on well-established mathematical concepts.

Informal - Expressed in natural language.

Object - A passive entity in the TOE, that contains or receives information, and upon which subjects perform operations.

Package - named set of either security functional or security assurance requirements

Protection Profile - A formal document defined in CC, expressing an implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of IT Products that meet specific consumer needs.

Security Target - An implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE.

Semiformal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics.

Subject - An active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects.

Target of Evaluation - An IT Product and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an Evaluation.

TOE Security Functionality - Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs.

13. Bibliography

[1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Part 1: Introduction and general model, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 2: Security functional components, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 3: Security assurance components, Revision 4, September 2012 http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org

- [2] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1, Rev. 4, September 2012, http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org
- [3] BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) and Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, approval and licencing (CC-Stellen), https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierung
- [4] Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme (AIS) as relevant for the TOE⁸ https://www.bsi.bund.de/AIS
- [5] German IT Security Certificates (BSI 7148), periodically updated list published also on the BSI Website, https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierungsreporte
- [6] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0973-2016, Version 1.11, 2016-06-14, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB Security Target, NXP Semiconductors (confidential document)
- [7] Evaluation Technical Report, Version 2, 2016-06-14, EVALUATION TECHNICAL REPORT SUMMARY (ETR SUMMARY), TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH, (confidential document)
- [8] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014
- [9] Security Target Lite BSI-DSZ-CC-0973-2016, Version 1.11, 2016-06-14, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB Security Target Lite, NXP Semiconductors (sanitised public document)
- [10] Evaluation Technical for Composite Evaluation (ETR COMP) for the P6022y VB, version 2, 2016-06-14, TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH (confidential document)
- [11] Product Data Sheet SmartMX2 family P6022y VB, Secure high-performance smart card controller, Version 2.0, 2016-01-15, NXP Semiconductors

 AIS 25, Version 8, Anwendung der CC auf Integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document

- AIS 26, Version 9, Evaluationsmethodologie für in Hardware integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 31, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 32, Version 7, CC-Interpretationen im deutschen Zertifizierungsschema
- AIS 34, Version 3, Evaluation Methodology for CC Assurance Classes for EAL 5+ (CCv2.3 & CCv3.1) and EAL 6 (CCv3.1)
- AIS 35, Version 2, Öffentliche Fassung des Security Targets (ST-Lite) including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document and CCRA policies

⁸specifically

[12] Instruction Set for the SmartMX2 family, Secure smart card controller, Version 3.1, 2012-02-02, NXP Semiconductors

- [13] Information on Guidance and Operation, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB, Version 1.2, 2016-06-14, NXP Semiconductors
- [14] Product data sheet addendum SmartMX2 P6022y VB, Wafer and delivery specification, Version 2.2, 2016-03-08, NXP Semiconductors
- [15] Product data sheet addendum SmartMX2 P602xy VB family, Version 3.3, 2016-03-23 Firmware Interface Specification, NXP Semiconductors
- [16] Product Data Sheet MIFARE Plus Functionality of implementations on smart card controllers, Version 3.4, 2015-02-10, NXP Semiconductors
- [17] MIFARE Plus MF1PLUSx0 Guidance, Delivery and Operation Manual, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P602xy, Version 2.2, 2015-09-18, NXP Semiconductors
- [18] Product Data Sheet MIFARE DESFire EV1 Functionality of implementations on smart card controllers, Version 3.4, 2014-07-04, NXP Semiconductors
- [19] MIFARE DESFire EV1 Guidance, Delivery and Operation Manual, NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P602xy, Version 2.2, 2015-09-18, NXP Semiconductors
- [20] NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB Configuration List Hardware IC, Version 1.1, 2016-03-11, NXP Semiconductors
- [21] NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB Evaluation Reference List, Version 1.2, 2016-06-14, NXP Semiconductors
- [22] Order Entry Form, OEF ID: 5080, OEF Template P6022y VB Family, Version 1.8, 2016-02-10, NXP Semiconductors

This page is intentionally left blank.

C. Excerpts from the Criteria

CC Part 1:

Conformance Claim (chapter 10.4)

"The conformance claim indicates the source of the collection of requirements that is met by a PP or ST that passes its evaluation. This conformance claim contains a CC conformance claim that:

- describes the version of the CC to which the PP or ST claims conformance.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 2 (security functional requirements) as either:
 - CC Part 2 conformant A PP or ST is CC Part 2 conformant if all SFRs in that PP or ST are based only upon functional components in CC Part 2, or
 - CC Part 2 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 2 extended if at least one SFR in that PP or ST is not based upon functional components in CC Part 2.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 3 (security assurance requirements) as either:
 - CC Part 3 conformant A PP or ST is CC Part 3 conformant if all SARs in that PP or ST are based only upon assurance components in CC Part 3, or
 - CC Part 3 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 3 extended if at least one SAR in that PP or ST is not based upon assurance components in CC Part 3.

Additionally, the conformance claim may include a statement made with respect to packages, in which case it consists of one of the following:

- Package name Conformant A PP or ST is conformant to a pre-defined package (e.g. EAL) if:
 - the SFRs of that PP or ST are identical to the SFRs in the package, or
 - the SARs of that PP or ST are identical to the SARs in the package.
- Package name Augmented A PP or ST is an augmentation of a predefined package if:
 - the SFRs of that PP or ST contain all SFRs in the package, but have at least one additional SFR or one SFR that is hierarchically higher than an SFR in the package.
 - the SARs of that PP or ST contain all SARs in the package, but have at least one additional SAR or one SAR that is hierarchically higher than an SAR in the package.

Note that when a TOE is successfully evaluated to a given ST, any conformance claims of the ST also hold for the TOE. A TOE can therefore also be e.g. CC Part 2 conformant.

Finally, the conformance claim may also include two statements with respect to Protection Profiles:

- PP Conformant A PP or TOE meets specific PP(s), which are listed as part of the conformance result.
- Conformance Statement (Only for PPs) This statement describes the manner in which PPs or STs must conform to this PP: strict or demonstrable. For more information on this Conformance Statement, see Annex D."

CC Part 3:

Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation (chapter 10)

"Evaluating a PP is required to demonstrate that the PP is sound and internally consistent, and, if the PP is based on one or more other PPs or on packages, that the PP is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the PP to be suitable for use as the basis for writing an ST or another PP.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components		
	APE_INT.1 PP introduction		
	APE_CCL.1 Conformance claims		
Class APE: Protection	APE_SPD.1 Security problem definition		
Profile evaluation	APE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment APE_OBJ.2 Security objectives		
	APE_ECD.1 Extended components definition		
	APE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements APE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements		

APE: Protection Profile evaluation class decomposition"

Class ASE: Security Target evaluation (chapter 11)

"Evaluating an ST is required to demonstrate that the ST is sound and internally consistent, and, if the ST is based on one or more PPs or packages, that the ST is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the ST to be suitable for use as the basis for a TOE evaluation."

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction
Class ASE: Security Target evaluation	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
	ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition
	ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives
	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification ASE_TSS.2 TOE summary specification with architectural design summary

ASE: Security Target evaluation class decomposition

Security assurance components (chapter 7)

"The following Sections describe the constructs used in representing the assurance classes, families, and components."

"Each assurance class contains at least one assurance family."

"Each assurance family contains one or more assurance components."

The following table shows the assurance class decomposition.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
ADV: Development	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description
	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification ADV_FSP.3 Functional specification with complete summary ADV_FSP.4 Complete functional specification ADV_FSP.5 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information ADV_FSP.6 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional formal specification
	ADV_IMP.1 Implementation representation of the TSF ADV_IMP.2 Implementation of the TSF
	ADV_INT.1 Well-structured subset of TSF internals ADV_INT.2 Well-structured internals ADV_INT.3 Minimally complex internals
	ADV_SPM.1 Formal TOE security policy model
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design ADV_TDS.2 Architectural design ADV_TDS.3 Basic modular design ADV_TDS.4 Semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.5 Complete semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.6 Complete semiformal modular design with formal high-level design presentation
AGD:	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance
Guidance documents	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
	ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system ALC_CMC.3 Authorisation controls ALC_CMC.4 Production support, acceptance procedures and automation ALC_CMC.5 Advanced support
ALC: Life cycle support	ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.3 Implementation representation CM coverage ALC_CMS.4 Problem tracking CM coverage ALC_CMS.5 Development tools CM coverage
	ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures
	ALC_DVS.1 Identification of security measures ALC_DVS.2 Sufficiency of security measures
	ALC_FLR.1 Basic flaw remediation ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation
	ALC_LCD.1 Developer defined life-cycle model

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ALC_LCD.2 Measurable life-cycle model
	ALC_TAT.1 Well-defined development tools ALC_TAT.2 Compliance with implementation standards ALC_TAT.3 Compliance with implementation standards - all parts
	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage ATE_COV.2 Analysis of coverage ATE_COV.3 Rigorous analysis of coverage
ATE: Tests	ATE_DPT.1 Testing: basic design ATE_DPT.2 Testing: security enforcing modules ATE_DPT.3 Testing: modular design ATE_DPT.4 Testing: implementation representation
	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing ATE_FUN.2 Ordered functional testing
	ATE_IND.1 Independent testing – conformance ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – sample ATE_IND.3 Independent testing – complete
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.3 Focused vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.4 Methodical vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.5 Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis

Assurance class decomposition

Evaluation assurance levels (chapter 8)

"The Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) provide an increasing scale that balances the level of assurance obtained with the cost and feasibility of acquiring that degree of assurance. The CC approach identifies the separate concepts of assurance in a TOE at the end of the evaluation, and of maintenance of that assurance during the operational use of the TOE.

It is important to note that not all families and components from CC Part 3 are included in the EALs. This is not to say that these do not provide meaningful and desirable assurances. Instead, it is expected that these families and components will be considered for augmentation of an EAL in those PPs and STs for which they provide utility."

Evaluation assurance level (EAL) overview (chapter 8.1)

"Table 1 represents a summary of the EALs. The columns represent a hierarchically ordered set of EALs, while the rows represent assurance families. Each number in the resulting matrix identifies a specific assurance component where applicable.

As outlined in the next Section, seven hierarchically ordered evaluation assurance levels are defined in the CC for the rating of a TOE's assurance. They are hierarchically ordered inasmuch as each EAL represents more assurance than all lower EALs. The increase in assurance from EAL to EAL is accomplished by substitution of a hierarchically higher assurance component from the same assurance family (i.e. increasing rigour, scope, and/or depth) and from the addition of assurance components from other assurance families (i.e. adding new requirements).

These EALs consist of an appropriate combination of assurance components as described in Chapter 7 of this CC Part 3. More precisely, each EAL includes no more than one

component of each assurance family and all assurance dependencies of every component are addressed.

While the EALs are defined in the CC, it is possible to represent other combinations of assurance. Specifically, the notion of "augmentation" allows the addition of assurance components (from assurance families not already included in the EAL) or the substitution of assurance components (with another hierarchically higher assurance component in the same assurance family) to an EAL. Of the assurance constructs defined in the CC, only EALs may be augmented. The notion of an "EAL minus a constituent assurance component" is not recognised by the standard as a valid claim. Augmentation carries with it the obligation on the part of the claimant to justify the utility and added value of the added assurance component to the EAL. An EAL may also be augmented with extended assurance requirements.

Evaluation assurance level 1 (EAL 1) - functionally tested (chapter 8.3)

"Objectives

EAL 1 is applicable where some confidence in correct operation is required, but the threats to security are not viewed as serious. It will be of value where independent assurance is required to support the contention that due care has been exercised with respect to the protection of personal or similar information.

EAL 1 requires only a limited security target. It is sufficient to simply state the SFRs that the TOE must meet, rather than deriving them from threats, OSPs and assumptions through security objectives.

EAL 1 provides an evaluation of the TOE as made available to the customer, including independent testing against a specification, and an examination of the guidance documentation provided. It is intended that an EAL 1 evaluation could be successfully conducted without assistance from the developer of the TOE, and for minimal outlay.

An evaluation at this level should provide evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation."

Evaluation assurance level 2 (EAL 2) - structurally tested (chapter 8.4)

"Objectives

EAL 2 requires the co-operation of the developer in terms of the delivery of design information and test results, but should not demand more effort on the part of the developer than is consistent with good commercial practise. As such it should not require a substantially increased investment of cost or time.

EAL 2 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a low to moderate level of independently assured security in the absence of ready availability of the complete development record. Such a situation may arise when securing legacy systems, or where access to the developer may be limited."

Evaluation assurance level 3 (EAL 3) - methodically tested and checked (chapter 8.5) "Objectives

EAL 3 permits a conscientious developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering at the design stage without substantial alteration of existing sound development practises.

EAL 3 is applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate level of independently assured security, and require a thorough investigation of the TOE and its development without substantial re-engineering."

Evaluation assurance level 4 (EAL 4) - methodically designed, tested, and reviewed (chapter 8.6)

"Objectives

EAL 4 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial development practises which, though rigorous, do not require substantial specialist knowledge, skills, and other resources. EAL 4 is the highest level at which it is likely to be economically feasible to retrofit to an existing product line.

EAL 4 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate to high level of independently assured security in conventional commodity TOEs and are prepared to incur additional security-specific engineering costs."

Evaluation assurance level 5 (EAL 5) - semiformally designed and tested (chapter 8.7)

"Objectives

EAL 5 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from security engineering based upon rigorous commercial development practises supported by moderate application of specialist security engineering techniques. Such a TOE will probably be designed and developed with the intent of achieving EAL 5 assurance. It is likely that the additional costs attributable to the EAL 5 requirements, relative to rigorous development without the application of specialised techniques, will not be large.

EAL 5 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a high level of independently assured security in a planned development and require a rigorous development approach without incurring unreasonable costs attributable to specialist security engineering techniques."

Evaluation assurance level 6 (EAL 6) - semiformally verified design and tested (chapter 8.8)

"Objectives

EAL 6 permits developers to gain high assurance from application of security engineering techniques to a rigorous development environment in order to produce a premium TOE for protecting high value assets against significant risks.

EAL 6 is therefore applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in high risk situations where the value of the protected assets justifies the additional costs."

Evaluation assurance level 7 (EAL 7) - formally verified design and tested (chapter 8.9)

"Objectives

EAL 7 is applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in extremely high risk situations and/or where the high value of the assets justifies the higher costs. Practical application of EAL 7 is currently limited to TOEs with tightly focused security functionality that is amenable to extensive formal analysis."

Assurance Class	Assurance Family	Assurance Components by Evaluation Assurance Level							
		EAL 1	EAL 2	EAL 3	EAL 4	EAL 5	EAL 6	EAL 7	
Development	ADV_ARC		1	1	1	1	1	1	
	ADV_FSP	1	2	3	4	5	5	6	
	ADV_IMP				1	1	2	2	
	ADV_INT					2	3	3	
	ADV_SPM						1	1	
	ADV_TDS		1	2	3	4	5	6	
Guidance	AGD_OPE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Documents	AGD_PRE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Life cycle	ALC_CMC	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	
Support	ALC_CMS	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	
	ALC_DEL		1	1	1	1	1	1	
	ALC_DVS			1	1	1	2	2	
	ALC_FLR								
	ALC_LCD			1	1	1	1	2	
	ALC_TAT				1	2	3	3	
Security Target Evaluation	ASE_CCL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Evaluation	ASE_ECD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	ASE_INT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	ASE_OBJ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
	ASR_REQ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
	ASE_SPD		1	1	1	1	1	1	
	ASE_TSS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Tests	ATE_COV		1	2	2	2	3	3	
	ATE_DPT			1	1	3	3	4	
	ATE_FUN		1	1	1	1	2	2	
	ATE_IND	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	
Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	

Table 1: Evaluation assurance level summary"

Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment (chapter 16)

"The AVA: Vulnerability assessment class addresses the possibility of exploitable vulnerabilities introduced in the development or the operation of the TOE."

Vulnerability analysis (AVA VAN) (chapter 16.1)

"Objectives

Vulnerability analysis is an assessment to determine whether potential vulnerabilities identified, during the evaluation of the development and anticipated operation of the TOE or by other methods (e.g. by flaw hypotheses or quantitative or statistical analysis of the security behaviour of the underlying security mechanisms), could allow attackers to violate the SFRs.

Vulnerability analysis deals with the threats that an attacker will be able to discover flaws that will allow unauthorised access to data and functionality, allow the ability to interfere with or alter the TSF, or interfere with the authorised capabilities of other users."

D. Annexes

List of annexes of this certification report

Annex A: Security Target provided within a separate document.

Annex B: Evaluation results regarding development

and production environment

This page is intentionally left blank.

Annex B of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0973-2016

Evaluation results regarding development and production environment



The IT product NXP Secure Smart Card Controller P6022y VB including IC Dedicated Software (Target of Evaluation, TOE) has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations, by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1.

As a result of the TOE certification, dated 17 June 2016, the following results regarding the development and production environment apply.

Relevant Development/Production Sites for the configurations P6022P VB and P6022X VB according to EAL6:

The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC_CMC.5, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_DEL.1, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_LCD.1, ALC_TAT.3) are fulfilled for the development and production sites of the TOE listed below:

Name of site / Company name	Address	Type of site
NXP Semiconductors Hamburg	Business Unit Identification Stresemannallee 101 22569 Hamburg, Germany	Development, Delivery and customer support
NXP Semiconductors Development Center Eindhoven HTC-46.3 West	Building 46, High Tech Campus 5656AE, Eindhoven, The Netherlands	Development center
NXP Semiconductors RQC & NPIT & MM	NXP Semiconductors Netherlands B.V. Gerstweg 2 6534AE Nijmegen, The Netherlands	Development and Manufacturing, Regional Quality Center - Europe
NXP Semiconductors Austria GmbH Styria	Business Unit Identification Mikron-Weg 1 8108 Gratkorn, Austria	Document control
NXP High Tech Campus	Building 60, High Tech Campus Secure Room 131 5656AE, Eindhoven, The Netherlands	IT Engineering and Generic Support
Atos Bydgoszcz	Building BETA Secure Room B20S1 Biznes Park ul. Kraszewskiego 1 85-240 Bydgoszcz, Poland	IT Engineering and Generic Support
TSMC, Fab 5	No. 121 Park Ave. III Hsinchu Science Park Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.	Mask data preparation
TSMC, Fab 7	No. 6, Creation Rd. II Hsinchu Science Park Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.	Mask data preparation
TSMC, Fab 6 and Fab 14	No. 1, Nan-Ke North Rd. Tainan Science Park Tainan, Taiwan 741, R.O.C.	Mask and wafer production
Chipbond Technology Corporation	No. 3, Li-Hsin Rd. V Science Based Industrial Park Hsin-Chu City Taiwan, R.O.C.	Bumping
NXP Semiconductors GmbH Hamburg Test Center Europe - Hamburg (TCE-H)	Stresemannallee 101 22569 Hamburg, Germany	Test Center, configuration of the Fabkey and delivery
Assembly & Test Bangkok (ATBK) (former APB)	303 Moo 3 Chaengwattana Rd. Laksi, Bangkok 10210, Thailand	Test centre, wafer treatment, module assembly and delivery
Assembly & Test Kaohsiung (APKH) (former APK)	#10, Jing 5th Road, N.E.P.Z, Kaohsiung 81170, Taiwan, R.O.C	Test centre, wafer treatment, module assembly and delivery
Ardentec Corporation (T Site)	No. 3, Gungye 3rd Rd. Hsin-Chu Industrial Park, Hu-Kou, Hsin- Chu Hsien Taiwan 30351, R.O.C	Wafer Testing
Ardentec Corporation (K Site)	No. 24, Wen- Huan Rd. Hsin-Chu Industrial Park, Hu-Kou, Hsin- Chu Hsien, Taiwan 30351,R.O.C.	Wafer processing
NedCard (Shanghai) Microelectronics Co Ltd.	Standardized Plant Building #8 No. 789 Puxing Road Caohejing Hi- Tech Park, EPZ 201114 Shanghai, People's Republic of China	Module assembly, final testing

Name of site / Company name	Address	Type of site
NedCard B.V.	Bijsterhuizen 25- 29 6604 LM Wijchen, The Netherlands	Module assembly, final testing
Smartflex Technology Pte Ltd	27, Ubi Road 4 #04-01, Singapore 408618	Module assembly, final testing
HID Global Teoranta	Paic Tionscail naTulaigh Balle na hAbhann Co. Galway, Ireland	Inlay assembly
SMARTRAC Technology Ltd. Bangkok	Street: 142 Moo,Hi-Tech Industrial Estate Tambon Ban Laean, Amphor Bang-Pa-In 13160 Ayutthaya, Thailand	Inlay assembly

Table 5: Development/Production Sites for P6022P/X VB

Additional Development Sites for the configurations P6022M VB, P6022D VB and P6022J VB according to EAL5:

The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC_CMC.4, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_DEL.1, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_LCD.1, ALC_TAT.2) are fulfilled for the development and production sites of the TOE listed below:

Name of site / Company name	Address	Type of site
NXP Semiconductors Leuven	Interleuvenlaan 80 B-3001 Leuven Belgium	Development related to MIFARE components
REC Slovakia, s.r.o.	Vysokoškolákov 1757/1 010 01 Žilina, Slovakia	Development related to MIFARE components
SII Gdansk	Olivia Gate, al. Grunwaldzka 472, 80-309 Gdansk, POLAND	Development related to MIFARE components
NXP Semiconductors India Private Limited	Manyata Tech Park Nagawara Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bangalore 560 045, India	Development related to MIFARE components

Table 6: Additional Development Sites for P6022M/D/J VB

For the sites listed above, the requirements have been specifically applied in accordance with the Security Target [6]. The evaluators verified, that the threats, security objectives and requirements for the TOE life cycle phases up to delivery (as stated in the Security Target [6] and [9]) are fulfilled by the procedures of these sites.

This page is intentionally left blank.