

# **Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1**

Issued by:

# Communications Security Establishment Certification Body Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb O}$  Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment, 2015

 Document number:
 383-4-309-CR

 Version:
 1.0

 Date:
 17 July 2015

 Pagination:
 i to iii, 1 to 9



# DISCLAIMER

The Information Technology (IT) product identified in this certification report, and its associated certificate, has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility – established under the Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme (CCS) – using the *Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision* 4, for conformance to the *Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 3.1 Revision 4.* This certification report, and its associated certificate, applies only to the identified version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the CCS, and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation report are consistent with the evidence adduced. This report, and its associated certificate, are not an endorsement of the IT product by the Communications Security Establishment, or any other organization that recognizes or gives effect to this report, and its associated certificate, is either expressed or implied.

# FOREWORD

The Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme (CCS) provides a third-party evaluation service for determining the trustworthiness of Information Technology (IT) security products. Evaluations are performed by a commercial Common Criteria Evaluation Facility (CCEF) under the oversight of the CCS Certification Body, which is managed by the Communications Security Establishment.

A CCEF is a commercial facility that has been approved by the CCS Certification Body to perform Common Criteria evaluations; a significant requirement for such approval is accreditation to the requirements of *ISO/IEC 17025:2005, the General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories*. Accreditation is performed under the Program for the Accreditation of Laboratories - Canada (PALCAN), administered by the Standards Council of Canada.

The CCEF that carried out this evaluation is EWA-Canada.

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, the CCS Certification Body asserts that the product complies with the security requirements specified in the associated security target. A security target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities. The consumer of certified IT products should review the security target, in addition to this certification report, in order to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, the evaluated security functionality, and the testing and analysis conducted by the CCEF.

This certification report is associated with the certificate of product evaluation dated 17 July 2015, and the security target identified in Section 4 of this report.

The certification report, certificate of product evaluation and security target are posted on the CCS Certified Products list (CPL) and the Common Criteria portal (the official website of the Common Criteria Project).

Reproduction of this report is authorized provided the report is reproduced in its entirety.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

D	Disclaimeri				
F	Forewordii				
E	Executive Summary				
1	Ide	ntification of Target of Evaluation	2		
2	TO	E Description	2		
3	Sec	urity Policy	2		
4	Sec	urity Target	2		
5	Cor	nmon Criteria Conformance	2		
6	Ass	umptions and Clarification of Scope	3		
	6.1 6.2	SECURE USAGE ASSUMPTIONS			
7	Eva	luated Configuration	4		
8	Doc	cumentation	4		
9	Eva	luation Analysis Activities	5		
1	0 ITS	Product Testing	6		
	10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Assessment of Developer Tests6Independent Functional Testing6Independent Penetration Testing6Conduct of Testing7Testing Results7			
1	1 Res	ults of the Evaluation	7		
1	2 Acr	onyms, Abbreviations and Initializations	8		
1	3 References				

## **Executive Summary**

Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 (hereafter referred to as AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1), from Trustwave Holdings, Inc., is the Target of Evaluation. The results of this evaluation demonstrate that AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 meets the requirements of Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) 2 augmented for the evaluated security functionality.

AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 is a database vulnerability assessment software product which scans configured databases within the network to detect known vulnerabilities, misconfigurations, and inappropriate access rights assignments. The physical boundary of the TOE includes the console and the scan engine executing on a dedicated Windows system. The console provides Administrators with the capability to configure and run the scans. Once the scans have been completed the results can be reviewed immediately or saved as a report for later review.

EWA-Canada is the CCEF that conducted the evaluation. This evaluation was completed on 17 July 2015 and was carried out in accordance with the rules of the Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme (CCS).

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the security target, which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation, the intended environment for AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1, and the security functional/assurance requirements. Consumers are advised to verify that their operating environment is consistent with that specified in the security target, and to give due consideration to the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

Communications Security Establishment, as the CCS Certification Body, declares that the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 evaluation meets all the conditions of the *Arrangement on the Recognition of Common Criteria Certificates* and that the product will be listed on the CCS Certified Products list (CPL) and the Common Criteria portal (the official website of the Common Criteria Project).

# **1** Identification of Target of Evaluation

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) for this EAL 2+ evaluation is Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 (hereafter referred to as AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1), from Trustwave Holdings, Inc..

# 2 TOE Description

AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 is a database vulnerability assessment software product which scans configured databases within the network to detect known vulnerabilities, misconfigurations, and inappropriate access rights assignments. The physical boundary of the TOE includes the console and the scan engine executing on a dedicated Windows system. The console provides Administrators with the capability to configure and run the scans. Once the scans have been completed the results can be reviewed immediately or saved as a report for later review.

## **3** Security Policy

AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 implements a role-based access control policy to control administrative access to the system. In addition, AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 implements policies pertaining to the following security functional classes:

- Security Audit;
- *Identification and Authentication;*
- Security Management; and
- Intrusion Detection.

### 4 Security Target

The ST associated with this Certification Report is identified below:

Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 Security Target, Version 1.9, 16 July 2015

#### **5** Common Criteria Conformance

The evaluation was conducted using the *Common Methodology for Information Technology* Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 4, for conformance to the *Common Criteria for* Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 4.

AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 is:

- *a. EAL* 2 *augmented, containing all security assurance requirements listed, as well as the following:* 
  - *ALC\_FLR.2 Flaw Reporting Procedures*
- b. Common Criteria Part 2 extended; with functional requirements based upon functional components in Part 2, except for the following explicitly stated requirements defined in the ST:

- IDS\_SDC.1 System Data Collection
- IDS\_ANL.1 System Analysis
- *IDS\_RDR.1 Restricted Data Review*
- c. *Common Criteria Part 3 conformant*, with security assurance requirements based only upon assurance components in Part 3.

#### 6 Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

Consumers of AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 should consider assumptions about usage and environmental settings as requirements for the product's installation and its operating environment. This will ensure the proper and secure operation of the TOE.

#### 6.1 Secure Usage Assumptions

The following Secure Usage Assumptions are listed in the ST:

- There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.
- The authorized administrators are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the TOE documentation.

#### 6.2 Environmental Assumptions

The following Environmental Assumption is listed in the ST:

• The TOE has access to all the databases it needs to perform its functions.

### 7 Evaluated Configuration

The evaluated configuration for AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 comprises the AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 application running on Windows 7. The TOE requires a Microsoft SQL Server 2012 database in the operational environment for the storage of TOE configuration and scan results. The TOE performed scans on the following DBMSs:

- Oracle 11gR1, 11gR2;
- Microsoft SQL Server 2012;
- Sybase ASE 15.0, 15.5, 15.7;
- IBM DB2 LUW 9.1, 9.5, 9.7;
- MySQL 5.1, 5.5; and
- Hadoop 1.

The publication entitled Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Common Criteria Supplement version 1.2 describes the procedures necessary to install and operate AppDetectivePRO in its evaluated configuration.

## 8 Documentation

The Trustwave Holdings, Inc. documents provided to the consumer are as follows:

- a. Trustwave AppDetectivePRO User Guide version 8.3.1;
- b. Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Getting Started Guide version 8.3.1; and
- c. Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Common Criteria Supplement version 1.2.

## 9 Evaluation Analysis Activities

The evaluation analysis activities involved a structured evaluation of AppDetectivePRO, including the following areas:

**Development:** The evaluators analyzed the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 functional specification and design documentation; they determined that the design completely and accurately describes the TOE security functionality (TSF) interfaces, the TSF subsystems and how the TSF implements the security functional requirements (SFRs). The evaluators analyzed the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 security architectural description and determined that the initialization process is secure, that the security functions are protected against tamper and bypass, and that security domains are maintained. The evaluators also independently verified that the correspondence mappings between the design documents are correct.

**Guidance Documents:** The evaluators examined the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 preparative user guidance and operational user guidance and determined that it sufficiently and unambiguously describes how to securely transform the TOE into its evaluated configuration and how to use and administer the product. The evaluators examined and tested the preparative and operational guidance, and determined that they are complete and sufficiently detailed to result in a secure configuration.

**Life-cycle support**: An analysis of the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 configuration management system and associated documentation was performed. The evaluators found that the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 configuration items were clearly marked.

The evaluators examined the delivery documentation and determined that it described all of the procedures required to maintain the integrity of AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 during distribution to the consumer.

The evaluators reviewed the flaw remediation procedures used by developer for the AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1. During a site visit, the evaluators also examined the evidence generated by adherence to the procedures. The evaluators concluded that the procedures are adequate to track and correct security flaws, and distribute the flaw information and corrections to consumers of the product.

All these evaluation activities resulted in **PASS** verdicts.

# **10 ITS Product Testing**

Testing consists of the following three steps: assessing developer tests, performing independent functional tests, and performing penetration tests.

#### **10.1** Assessment of Developer Tests

The evaluators verified that the developer has met their testing responsibilities by examining their test evidence, and reviewing their test results, as documented in the  $ETR^1$ .

The evaluators analyzed the developer's test coverage analysis and found it to be complete and accurate. The correspondence between the tests identified in the developer's test documentation and the functional specification was complete.

#### **10.2 Independent Functional Testing**

During this evaluation, the evaluator developed independent functional tests by examining design and guidance documentation.

All testing was planned and documented to a sufficient level of detail to allow repeatability of the testing procedures and results. Resulting from this test coverage approach is the following list of test goals:

- a. Repeat of Developer's Tests: The objective of this test goal is to repeat a subset of the developer's tests;
- b. Removal of Assets Logging: The objective of this test goal is to confirm that the removal of an asset will be logged;
- c. Concurrent Users: The objective of this test goal is to confirm that only one concurrent user can actively run the TOE; and
- d. Database Credential Testing: The objective of this test goal is to confirm that only users with appropriate database credentials can initiate a scan of a database.

#### **10.3 Independent Penetration Testing**

Subsequent to the independent review of public domain vulnerability databases and all evaluation deliverables, limited independent evaluator penetration testing was conducted. The penetration tests focused on:

- a. Use of automated vulnerability scanning tools to discover potential network, platform and application layer vulnerabilities; and
- b. Information Leakage: The objective of this test goal is to attempt to capture packets between the AppDetectivePRO host and the scanned databases in an attempt to determine login or password information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The ETR is a CCS document that contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not releasable for public review.

The independent penetration testing did not uncover any exploitable vulnerabilities in the intended operating environment.

#### **10.4** Conduct of Testing

AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 was subjected to a comprehensive suite of formally documented, independent functional and penetration tests. The detailed testing activities, including configurations, procedures, test cases, expected results and observed results are documented in a separate Test Results document.

#### **10.5 Testing Results**

The developer's tests and the independent functional tests yielded the expected results, providing assurance that AppDetectivePRO v8.3.1 behaves as specified in its ST and functional specification.

#### **11 Results of the Evaluation**

This evaluation has provided the basis for a EAL 2+ level of assurance. The overall verdict for the evaluation is **PASS**. These results are supported by evidence in the ETR.

<u>Acronym/Abbreviation/</u> Initialization	Description
CCEF	Common Criteria Evaluation Facility
CCS	Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and
	Certification Scheme
CPL	Certified Products list
СМ	Configuration Management
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
ETR	Evaluation Technical Report
IT	Information Technology
ITSET	Information Technology Security
	Evaluation and Testing
PALCAN	Program for the Accreditation of
	Laboratories - Canada
SFR	Security Functional Requirement
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSF	TOE Security Function

# 12 Acronyms, Abbreviations and Initializations

### 13 References

This section lists all documentation used as source material for this report:

- a. CCS Publication #4, Technical Oversight, Version 1.8, October 2010.
- b. Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 4, September 2012.
- c. Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, CEM, Version 3.1 Revision 4, September 2012.
- d. Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 Security Target, Version 1.9, 16 July 2015.
- e. Evaluation Technical Report for Trustwave Holdings, Inc. Trustwave AppDetectivePRO Version 8.3.1 Document No. 1859-000-D002, Version 1.1, 17 July 2015.