

## Common Criteria Public Security Target

EAL6 augmented / EAL6+

**IFX\_CCI\_000007h**

**IFX\_CCI\_000009h**

**IFX\_CCI\_00000Ah**

**IFX\_CCI\_00000Bh**

**IFX\_CCI\_000016h**

**IFX\_CCI\_000017h**

**IFX\_CCI\_000018h**

**IFX\_CCI\_000023h**

**IFX\_CCI\_000024h**

G13

Resistance to attackers with HIGH attack potential

With firmware and software library options:

2x FW-Identifiers, Flash Loader, 3xHSL, 2xACL, 2xSCL and 2xCIPURSE™ CL

Author: Hans-Ulrich Buchmüller

Revision: 1.0

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Security Target Introduction (ASE_INT)</b> .....	<b>5</b>
1.1	Security Target and Target of Evaluation Reference .....	5
1.2	Target of Evaluation overview .....	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Target of Evaluation Description</b> .....	<b>21</b>
2.1	TOE Definition.....	21
2.2	Scope of the TOE .....	25
2.2.1	Hardware of the TOE.....	26
2.2.2	Firmware and software of the TOE .....	27
2.2.3	Interfaces of the TOE.....	32
2.2.4	Guidance documentation .....	33
2.2.5	Forms of delivery .....	34
2.2.6	Production sites.....	36
<b>3</b>	<b>Conformance Claims (ASE_CCL)</b> .....	<b>37</b>
3.1	CC Conformance Claim.....	37
3.2	PP Claim .....	37
3.3	Package Claim.....	37
3.4	Conformance Rationale .....	38
3.4.1	Security Problem Definition .....	38
3.4.2	Conformance Rationale .....	38
3.4.3	Adding Objectives .....	39
3.4.4	AES and TDES .....	39
3.4.5	Loader.....	39
3.4.6	CIPURSE Cryptographic Library (CCL).....	40
3.4.7	Summary.....	40
3.5	Application Notes.....	43
<b>4</b>	<b>Security Problem Definition (ASE_SPD)</b> .....	<b>44</b>
4.1	Threats.....	44
4.1.1	Additional Threat due to TOE specific Functionality.....	44
4.1.2	Assets regarding the Threats .....	45
4.2	Organizational Security Policies .....	46
4.2.1	Augmented Organizational Security Policy .....	46
4.3	Assumptions.....	48
4.3.1	Augmented Assumptions .....	48
4.3.2	Note regarding CIPURSE™ CL.....	48
<b>5</b>	<b>Security objectives (ASE_OBJ)</b> .....	<b>49</b>
5.1	Security objectives for the TOE .....	49
5.2	Security Objectives from PP for development and environment .....	52
5.3	Security Objectives for the Environment .....	52
5.3.1	Clarification of "Treatment of User Data (OE.Resp-App)" .....	53
5.3.2	Clarification of "Protection during Composite product Manufacturing (OE.Process-Sec-IC)" .....	54
5.4	Security Objectives Rationale .....	54
5.5	P.Add-Functions.....	55
5.6	A.Key-Function .....	55
5.7	T.Mem-Access.....	55
5.8	P.Ctrl_Loader, P.Lim_Block_Loader, T.Masquerade and T.Open_Samples_Diffusion .....	56
5.9	P.Crypto-Service .....	58
<b>6</b>	<b>Extended Component Definition (ASE_ECD)</b> .....	<b>59</b>
6.1	Component Subset TOE security testing (FPT_TST.2) .....	59

6.1.1	Definition of FPT_TST.2 .....	59
6.1.2	TSF self-test (FPT_TST).....	60
<b>7</b>	<b>Security Requirements (ASE_REQ) .....</b>	<b>61</b>
7.1	TOE Security Functional Requirements .....	61
7.1.1	Extended Components FCS_RNG.1 and FAU_SAS.1 .....	64
7.1.2	Subset of TOE testing.....	69
7.1.3	69	
7.1.4	Memory access control.....	70
7.1.5	Support of Cipher Schemes .....	73
7.1.6	Data Integrity FDP_SDI.2 and FDP_SDC.1.....	99
7.1.7	Application Note to FPT_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state .....	99
7.2	Support by the Flash Loader.....	100
7.3	TOE Security Assurance Requirements .....	104
7.3.1	Refinements .....	105
7.4	Security Requirements Rationale .....	109
7.4.1	Rationale for the Security Functional Requirements.....	109
7.4.2	Rationale of the Assurance Requirements .....	118
<b>8</b>	<b>TOE Summary Specification (ASE_TSS) .....</b>	<b>119</b>
8.1	SF_DPM: Device Phase Management.....	119
8.1.1	Listing of SFRs implemented by SF_DPM Device Phase Management .....	120
8.2	SF_PS: Protection against Snooping .....	121
8.2.1	SF_S Protection against Snooping .....	122
8.3	SF_PMA: Protection against Modifying Attacks .....	123
8.3.1	Listing of SFRs implemented by SF_PMA Protection against Modifying Attacks .....	124
8.4	SF_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks .....	125
8.4.1	Listing of SFRs implemented by SF_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks .....	126
8.5	SF_CS Cryptographic Support.....	126
8.5.1	Implementation of AES and TDES by the Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor SCP .....	127
8.5.2	Implementation of TDES, AES and CMAC by the Symmetric Cryptographic Library SCL.....	129
8.5.3	RSA Cryptographic Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003 .....	131
8.5.4	Elliptic Curves Cryptographic Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003 .....	133
8.5.5	Toolbox Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003.....	134
8.5.6	CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library for both versions.....	135
8.5.7	Hybrid PTRNG.....	135
8.5.8	Listing of SFRs implemented by SF_CS “Cryptographic Support” .....	136
8.6	Assignment of Security Functional Requirements to TOE’s Security Functionality.....	137
8.7	Security Requirements are internally Consistent .....	140
<b>9</b>	<b>Literature and References .....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Annex: Consideration of additional Requirements by the GBIC Approval Scheme .....</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Hash Signatures of Cryptographic Libraries .....</b>	<b>146</b>
11.1	ACL - RSA, EC, Toolbox Version v2.07.003.....	146
11.2	ACL - RSA, EC, Toolbox Version v2.06.003: .....	147
11.3	SCL– Symmetric Cryptographic Library Version v02.04.002 .....	147
11.4	SCL– Symmetric Cryptographic Library Version v02.02.010.....	148
11.5	HSL - Hardware Support Library v03.11.8339 .....	148
11.6	HSL - Hardware Support Library v02.01.6634.....	148
11.7	HSL - Hardware Support Library v01.22.4346.....	148
11.8	CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) in version v02.00.0004 .....	148
11.9	CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) in version v2.0.0002 .....	149
<b>12</b>	<b>List of Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>152</b>

---

14	Revision History .....	154
----	------------------------	-----

## **1 Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

### **1.1 Security Target and Target of Evaluation Reference**

The title of this document is Public Security Target and it covers and describes one hardware platform respectively one IC representing the Target of Evaluation (TOE) with following Common Criteria Identifiers

- IFX\_CCI\_000007h
- IFX\_CCI\_000009h
- IFX\_CCI\_00000Ah
- IFX\_CCI\_00000Bh
- IFX\_CCI\_000016h
- IFX\_CCI\_000017h
- IFX\_CCI\_000018h
- IFX\_CCI\_000023h
- IFX\_CCI\_000024h

in the design step G13 and including optional software libraries and dedicated firmware in several versions as stated below.

In order to ease the readability of this document the bunch of Common Criteria Identifiers as listed above is shortened and simply expressed with TOE (Target of Evaluation).

This document is formed according to Common Criteria CCv3.1 EAL6 augmented (EAL6+) and comprises the Infineon Technologies AG Security Controller (Integrated Circuit IC) with the above listed Common Criteria Identifiers and with specific IC dedicated firmware in two alternative versions and optional software.

The target of evaluation (TOE) is described in the following.

This confidential Security Target has the revision 1.0 and is dated 2018-07-20.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Infineon Security Controller with following optional available software packages:

- The asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL) in two alternative versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003:
  - Both libraries provide RSA<sup>1</sup> 2048/4096 cryptography.  
The library supports also smaller key lengths, but the certification follows the national regulation by the BSI<sup>2</sup>.
  - Both libraries provide elliptic curve cryptography EC<sup>3</sup>
- the Toolbox library in the version v2.07.003 and v2.06.003 provides basic mathematical functions for a simplified user interface to the Crypto@2304T
- the symmetric cryptographic libraries (SCL) in the improved version v02.04.002 and the version v02.02.010 provide simplified interfaces and utilize the full services of the SCP to the user
- the hardware support library (HSL) in the versions v02.01.6634 and v01.22.4346 provides a simplified interface and utilizes the full services of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM to the user
- the hardware support library (HSL) in the version v03.11.8339 provides equal to the above a simplified interface, utilizes the full services of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM to the user, and enables the use of an additional advanced reading respectively writing method
- the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL and CCL) in both alternative versions v02.00.0004 and v2.0.0002 provides the user OSPT<sup>4</sup> alliance CIPURSE™ V2 conformant communication functionality between a PICC and a PCD.

and with further specific IC dedicated firmware in two alternative versions.

Note that each of the versions of the ACL, SCL, HSL and CCL are alternatively. This means that the TOE comes either with one of the alternative library types– depending on the user choice - or with none. The library types can freely be combined but not two versions of the equal type. For examples ACL with SCL with HSL is welcome but a combination of the HSL in the version v03.11.8339 and at the same time with HSL in the version v02.01.6634 would not work. The library types are entirely independent from each other.

The security relevant differences in the versions - if any - are detailed in chapter 8. The claims of this Security Target take the versions into account and thus the wording in the following refers to the library type which is cited or else the claim includes always all named versions.

The design step of this TOE is G13.

---

<sup>1</sup> Rivest-Shamir-Adleman asymmetric cryptographic algorithm

<sup>2</sup> BSI – Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik – Federal Office for Information Security: Following the national BSI recommendations, RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

<sup>3</sup> The Elliptic Curve Cryptography is abbreviated with EC only in the further, in order to avoid conflicts with the abbreviation for the Error Correction Code ECC.

<sup>4</sup> Open Standard for Public Transport (OSPT™) Alliance

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

---

The Security Target is based on the Protection Profile PP-0084 Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages [9] as publicly available for download at <https://www.bsi.bund.de> and certified under BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014.

The Protection Profile and the Security Target are built in compliance with Common Criteria v3.1 Revision 5.

The Security Target takes into account all relevant current final interpretations.

This TOE concept is based on the architecture, family concept and principles of the Integrity Guard implemented in the controllers by Infineon Technologies AG deemed for high security requiring applications.

The certification body of this process is the German BSI, whereas the abbreviation stands for Federal Office for Information Security, in German language Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik.

Table 1 Identification

	Version	Date	Registration
Security Target	1.0	2018-07-20	This document
Target of Evaluation			<p>The hardware controller with following identifiers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFX_CCI_000007h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000009h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_00000Ah</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_00000Bh</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000016h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000017h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000018h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000023h</li> <li>• IFX_CCI_000024h</li> </ul> <p>In the Design Step G13</p> <p>With FW-Identifiers v80.101.07.0 or v80.101.07.1 or</p> <p>And following optional SW - libraries:</p> <p>RSA2048 v2.07.003 or v2.06.003</p> <p>RSA4096 v2.07.003 or v2.06.003</p> <p>EC v2.07.003 or v2.06.003</p> <p>Toolbox v2.07.003 or v2.06.003</p> <p>HSL-1 v03.11.8339</p> <p>HSL-2 v02.01.6634</p> <p>HSL-3 v01.22.4346</p> <p>SCL-1 v02.04.002</p> <p>SCL-2 v02.02.010</p> <p>CIPURSE™ CL-1 v02.00.0004</p> <p>CIPURSE™ CL-1 v2.0.0002</p> <p>with belonging user guidance documentation</p>
Protection Profile	1.0	2014-01-13	Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014
Common Criteria	3.1 Revision 5	2017-04	<p>Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation</p> <p>Part 1: Introduction and general model  CCMB-2017-04-001</p> <p>Part 2: Security functional requirements  CCMB-2017-04-002</p> <p>Part 3: Security Assurance Components  CCMB-2017-04-003</p>



#### User Guidance Documentation Set

Chapter 2.2.4 describes briefly the contents of the individual documents of the User Guidance Documentation, while the individual documents are versioned and entitled in chapter 9 literature and references. The in this chapter listed set of user guidance documents belongs to the TOE.

This TOE is represented by a number of various products which are all based on the equal design sources. The TOE hardware remains entirely equal throughout all derivatives, but the usage for example in form of available memory sizes, availability of the various interfaces, or other functions varies by means of blocking and chip configuration. The firmware identifier on board depends on the order.

All TOE derivatives are derived from the equal hardware design results.

The TOE can be identified with the Generic Chip Identification Mode (GCIM). The TOE hardware platform is identified by defined bytes of the GCIM as detailed in the HRM [1].

The unique hexadecimal values as stated in the title are:

- IFX\_CCI\_000007h
- IFX\_CCI\_000009h
- IFX\_CCI\_00000Ah
- IFX\_CCI\_00000Bh
- IFX\_CCI\_000016h
- IFX\_CCI\_000017h
- IFX\_CCI\_000018h
- IFX\_CCI\_000023h
- IFX\_CCI\_000024h

These bytes clearly identify the hardware platform, or, in other words, the therein possible values for the TOE (without prefix IFX\_CCI\_) represent the equal hardware platform of this TOE. This means that the hardware entirely equals throughout all derivatives and that the differences are achieved by configuration and blocking means only. These values are unique for this hardware platform. This means that these values will not be used in any other platform or product.

The interpretation of the output GCIM data is clearly explained in the user guidance, Hardware Reference Manual HRM [1].

Although the TOE is represented by a number of various products, all based on the equal design sources, the hardware is constituted out of few slightly different mask sets enabling to adapt to various external contactless and contact based devices not being part of this TOE. Except this external adapt capability the TOE hardware and firmware – depending on the order option - remains entirely equal throughout all derivatives, but the usage for example in form of available memory sizes, availability of the various interfaces, or other functions varies by means of blocking and chip configuration. This blocking is applied by Infineon settings during the production only. Again, all TOE derivatives are derived from the equal hardware design results, the TOE.

The differences between the derivatives are achieved by blocking only and have no impact on the TOEs security policies and related functions. Details are explained in the user guidance hardware reference manual HRM [1].

There are no other differences between the mask sets the TOE is produced with.

The TOE products enable for a maximum of configuration possibilities defined by the customer order following the market needs. For example, a TOE product can come in one project with the fully available SOLID FLASH™ NVM<sup>1</sup> or in another project with any other SOLID FLASH™ NVM -size below the physical implementation size, or with a different RAM size. And more, the user has the free choice, whether he needs the symmetric co-processor SCP, or the asymmetric co-processor Crypto2304T, or both, or none of them. In addition, the user decides, whether the TOE comes with a free combination of software libraries or without any. And, to be even more

<sup>1</sup> SOLID FLASH™ is an Infineon Trade Mark and stands for the Infineon Flash NVM. The abbreviation NVM is short for Non Volatile Memory. The information remains stored even the power has been removed.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

flexible, various interface options can be chosen as well. To sum up the major selections, the user defines by his order:

- the firmware identifier in three alternatives
- the available memory sizes of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM and RAM
- the availability of the cryptographic coprocessors SCP and Crypto@2304T
- the availability and free combinations of the cryptographic libraries ACL-1, ACL-2, SCL-1 and SCL-2
- the availability of the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library in two alternative versions
- the availability of the Flash Loader
- the availability of the alternative libraries HSL-1 or HL-2 or HSL-3
- the availability of various interface options
- the possibility to tailor the product by blocking on his own premises (BPU)
- the possibility to apply the PIN letter in combination with the Flash Loader

The degree of freedom of the chip configuration is predefined by Infineon Technologies AG and made available via the order tool.

Beside fix TOE configurations, which can be ordered as usual, this TOE implements optionally the so called Billing-Per-Use (BPU) ability. This solution enables our customer to tailor the product on his own to the required configuration – project by project. By that BPU allows for significant reduction of logistic cost at all participating parties and serves for acceleration of delivery of tailored product to the end-user.

BPU enables our customers to block the chip on demand into the final configuration at his own premises, without further delivery or involving support by Infineon Technology.

The realization of it requires the presence of the Flash Loader software, enhanced with the BPU blocking software part. The presence of the BPU ability defines the customer with his order.

If the user decides to use the Flash Loader, regardless whether it is ordered with or without BPU, an additional process option can be ordered which results in an additional status of the Flash Loader. This process is called PIN-Letter and enables for simplified logistics and thereby for faster delivery of the ordered TOE products to the user. The PIN-Letter feature enabling for the PIN-Letter process is an implemented part of the Flash Loader. The resulting logistical acceleration is possible since the PIN-Letter enables for delivery of not user-specific configured, not flashed and not personalized TOE products to the user warehouse.

By delivery the user warehouse gets filled and depending on market demands the user can immediate apply the authentication means of the PIN-letter. If passing, the TOE products become user specific configured and the Flash Loader can be used for this specific user in a second step.

The following table outlines the different ways how the user can input his software on this TOE – a TOE without user available ROM. User software comprises usually the operating system and applications, which are for Infineon Technologies simply a user data package which is handled as a fixed data package during production.

This provides high process flexibility for the user of which an overview is given in the following table:

**Table 2 Options to implement user software on the chip**

Case	Option	Flash Loader Status
1.	The user or/and a subcontractor downloads the software into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM on his own. Infineon Technologies has not received user software and there are no user data of the Composite TOE in the ROM.	The Flash Loader can be activated or reactivated by the user or subcontractor to download his software in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.
2	The user provides his complete software for the download into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM to Infineon Technologies AG. The software is downloaded to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM during chip production.	The Flash Loader is permanently disabled prior delivery.
3	The user provides software for the download into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM to Infineon Technologies AG. The software is downloaded to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM during chip production.	When leaving the Infineon Technologies production facility, the Flash Loader is blocked, but can be activated or reactivated by the user or subcontractor to complete the previously stored software parts in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. Precondition is that the user has provided an own reactivation procedure in software prior chip production to Infineon Technologies AG.

For the cases with active Flash Loader on board and whenever the user has finalized his SW-download, respectively the TOE is in the final state and about to be delivered to the end-user, the user is obligated to lock the Flash Loader. This locking is the final step and results in a permanent deactivation of the Flash Loader. This means that once being in the locked status, the Flash Loader cannot be reactivated anymore. Note that whenever a TOE comes without active Flash Loader, BPU and PIN-Letter process are not possible. All in all various delivery combinations are given and for example, a product can come with a fix configuration and with Flash Loader, to enable the user to download software, but without BPU option and with PIN-Letter. The following cases can occur:

**Table 3 Options with Flash Loader, BPU and PIN-Letter**

Case	Order	Option
1	Fix configuration, Flash Loader is locked (permanent)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infineon Technologies configures and flashes all software as ordered.</li> <li>• The entire user software must be delivered to Infineon Technologies prior production.</li> </ul>
2	Flash Loader functional, BPU feature blocked	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infineon configures the chip as ordered and</li> <li>• the user flashes his software at his own premises.</li> <li>• If requested, Infineon Technologies can optionally download also shares of the user software during production. These user software shares must be delivered to Infineon Technologies prior production. The user can finalize his software package at his premises.</li> </ul>

Case	Order	Option
3	Flash Loader functional and active BPU feature	<p>The user:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Activates the Flash Loader,</li> <li>• configures the chip applying the BPU feature and</li> <li>• flashes his software at his own premises.</li> <li>• If requested, Infineon Technologies can optionally download also shares of the user software during production. These user software shares must be delivered to Infineon Technologies prior production. The user can finalize his software package at his premises.</li> </ul>
4	Flash Loader functional and PIN-Letter	<p>Infineon configures the chip as ordered. The user receives his PIN-letter and fills his warehouse. As required the user:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• applies the PIN-Letter on the chips taken from his warehouse, gets the chips user specific configured,</li> <li>• activates the Flash Loader and</li> <li>• the user flashes his software at his own premises.</li> </ul> <p>If requested, Infineon Technologies can optionally download also shares of the user software during production. These user software shares must be delivered to Infineon Technologies prior production. The user can finalize his software package at his premises.</p>
5	Flash Loader functional, active BPU and PIN-Letter	<p>Infineon configures the chip as ordered. The user receives his PIN-letter and fills his warehouse. As required the user:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• applies the PIN-Letter on the chips taken from his warehouse, gets the chips user specific configured,</li> <li>• activates the Flash Loader,</li> <li>• applies his user specific chip configuration with the BPU feature and</li> <li>• flashes his software at his own premises.</li> </ul> <p>If requested, Infineon Technologies can optionally download also shares of the user software during production. These user software shares must be delivered to Infineon Technologies prior production. The user can finalize his software package at his premises.</p>

The following listing contains the memory size ranges and other blocking options, focusing on the maximum respectively minimum user available limitations. Within those limitations the TOE configurations can vary under only one identical IC-hardware, regardless whether the configurations are set by Infineon or within further limitations by the user. All configurations throughout all different mask sets the TOE is made off and all thereof resulting derivatives have no impact on security and are covered by the certificate.

Note that this TOE has no user available ROM. The user software and data are entirely located in a dedicated and protected part of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The long life storage endurance together with the means for error detection and correction serves for excellent reliability and endurance.

In addition to the above listed flexible ranges, the user guidance contains a number of predefined configurations for those customers not making use of the BPU option. All of these configurations belong to the TOE as well and are of course made of the equal hardware and are inside the above declared ranges.

Today's predefined configurations of the TOE are listed in the hardware reference manual HRM [1] and is completed with the list of identification data of the derivatives. These predefined products come with the most requested configurations and enables to produce volumes on stock in order to simplify logistic processes.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

According to the BPU option, a non-limited number of configurations of the TOE may occur in the field. The number of various configurations depends on the user and order contract only.

This TOE provides dedicated identification means and outputs the platform identifier, the design step and further configuration information. The hardware reference manual HRM [1] is part of the user guidance and enables for the clear interpretation of the read out identification data. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

These output data enable the user for clear identification of the TOE and also of one of the different mask sets and therewith for examination of the validity of the certificate.

In addition, a dedicated RMS function allows reading out the present configuration in detail. The output RMS data together with the hardware reference manual HRM [1] enables for clear identification of a product and its configuration. All these steps for gathering identification and detailed configuration information can be done by the user himself, without involving Infineon Technologies AG.

The TOE consists of the hardware part, the firmware parts in two alternative versions and the optional software parts. The Smartcard Embedded Software, i.e. the operating system and applications are not part of the TOE.

The firmware comes with three alternative versions and consists of:

- the Boot Software (BOS) firmware conducting configuration and testing task (see chapter 2.2.2) at start-up of the TOE
- the Resource Management System (RMS) library providing essential basis functions for the management of the RAM, the branch table, the Memory Management Unit (MMU) and other resources
- the optional Flash Loader enabling for the download of user software to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM and required for the optional Bill per Use (BPU) feature and the PIN-letter feature

The BOS functions are implemented in a separated Test-ROM area not available for the user.

The optional software parts are differentiated into following libraries:

- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides RSA<sup>1</sup> cryptography
- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides EC<sup>2</sup> cryptography
- the Toolbox library in in two alternative versions (ACL-1 and ACL-2) provides basic mathematical functions for a simplified user interface to the Crypto@2304T
- the symmetric cryptographic libraries (SCL-1 and SCL-2) in two alternative versions for simplified user interfaces to the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor
- the hardware support library (HSL) in three alternative versions
- the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) in two alternative versions

The RSA, EC and Toolbox libraries – regardless of the version chosen - provide certain functionality via an API to the Smartcard Embedded Software. The private parts of the cryptographic libraries are only used internally and have no user interface. If neither the RSA- nor the EC library is delivered, also the belonging private parts are not on board. The Toolbox library does not have private library parts.

Each of the libraries ACL, SCL, CCL and HSL is independent from the other libraries and also independent of the alternative library version of the equal type. This means for example that the HSL-1 runs alone and does not need parts of HSL-2.

---

<sup>1</sup> Rivest-Shamir-Adleman asymmetric cryptographic algorithm

<sup>2</sup> The Elliptic Curve Cryptography is abbreviated with EC only in the further, in order to avoid conflicts with the abbreviation for the Error Correction Code ECC.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

A combination respectively mix up of the two alternative libraries of equal type has not been considered in the design and is not allowed: The user can select either one or the other of the same library type or none of it. If the user considers the optional software libraries, the TOE can be delivered including - in free combinations - or not including any of the optional libraries.

If the user decides not to use any of the offered asymmetric cryptographic libraries, regardless of the version chosen, none of the cryptographic libraries is consequently delivered and the accompanying Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions) Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and/ or EC is/are not provided by the TOE. Else it depends of the chosen library whether the Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions) Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and/ or EC is/are supported.

The Toolbox library, regardless of the version chosen, provides the user optionally basic arithmetic and modular arithmetic operations, in order to support user software development using long integer operations. These basic arithmetic operations do not provide security functionality, implement no security mechanism, and do not provide additional specific security functionality - as defined for the cryptographic libraries. The user developed software using the Toolbox basic operations is not part of the TOE.

The symmetric cryptographic library SCL – in both versions - offers a high level interface to perform the cryptographic operations DES, TDES and AES with different key lengths on the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor (SCP) for this TOE. In addition, the SCL in version v02.04.002 implements computation of a CMAC using AES and 3DES algorithms.

The SCL implements already several block cipher modes as declared in this document and covering a wide range of applications, the SCL offers in addition the flexibility to implement additional block cipher modes defined by the user.

This library – in both versions - provides a simplified interface to the hardware Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor (SCP) and preserves the security and performance requirements as required by the user.

Note that the definition of the key lengths follows the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level by the BSI. This excludes the single DES operation from the certification.

Beside the inclusion and support of cryptographic libraries this TOE comes with the optional Hardware Support Library (HSL) in three versions which significantly simplifying the management of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM functionality. The HSL constitutes an application interface (API) accessing the HSL state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features. In short the HSL provides a user friendly also use case oriented interface considering endurance, reliability and performance requirements.

In certain configurations each of the HSL versions provide also functions implementing tearing safe behaviour of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. If applied the user has no need to care about cases where the TOE is unintentionally removed from the power supply even during managing the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.

Anyhow, the HSL remains as an optional library, as even sudden power off situations do not lead to exploitable conditions of the TOE. In the worse, the TOE ends operation in case of a faulty programmed SOLID FLASH™ NVM location.

In addition to the above, the HSL in version v03.11.8339 provides an advanced additional method called Incremental Write (IWR). This method provides enhanced endurance of the SOLID FLASH NVM even beyond the erase endurance limits for often written objects. Thus this method serves applications with a demand for high endurance and fast writing times. On the other hand, the read times using this method are slower than the other methods provided.

The order option CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) - in two alternative versions - provides cryptographic functionality to implement a CIPURSE™ V2 conformant protocol.

All claims in the following apply for both alternative versions.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

This protocol provides a secure mutual authentication of two entities, namely the terminal (denoted as PCD = Proximity Coupling Device (CIPURSE™-compliant terminal)) and a smart card or a token in other form factors which is called PICC. PICC stands for Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (CIPURSE™-compliant card). Beside the mutual authentication, the protocol implements measures to maintain the integrity of the transferred data and preserves in parallel the confidentiality of the transferred data.

By that the CIPURSE™ CL supports the user to implement systems conformant to the CIPURSE™ open standard implementing a secured, interoperable and flexible transit fare collection solution, including ISO 7816 communication and AES-128 bit cryptography for multiple payment types.

Deselecting one of the optional libraries does not include the code implementing functionality, which the user decided not to use. Not including the code of the deselected functionality has no impact of any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the functionality.

All optional software libraries are stored respectively can be loaded into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. All other Smartcard Embedded Software does not belong to the TOE and is not subject of the evaluation.

## **1.2 Target of Evaluation overview**

The TOE comprises the Infineon Technologies Security Controller TOE with specific IC dedicated software, optional cryptographic- and further services libraries.

The TOE is a member of the Infineon Technologies AG high security controller-family meeting the highest requirements in terms of performance and security. A summary product description is given in this Security Target.

This TOE is intended to be used in any application and device requiring the highest level of security, for example as secure element in various devices with various form factors.

This member of the high security controller family features a security philosophy focusing on data integrity instead of numerous sensors. By that two main principles combined in close synergy are utilized in the security concept called the Integrity Guard. These main principles are the comprehensive error detection, including the double CPU, and the full encrypted data path, leaving no plain data on the chip. These principles proved that they provide excellent protection against invasive and non-invasive attacks known today.

The intelligent shielding algorithm finishes the upper layers, finally providing the so called intelligent implicit active shielding I<sup>2</sup>-shield. This provides physical protection against probing and forcing.

This TOE provides various contact based interface options for various applications and markets. Due to the interface flexibility the product can be used in almost any application, within any device and almost any form factor. Due to these contact based communication possibilities, the TOE can be seen as a stand-alone security device being capable to maintain the various communication interfaces simultaneously. Therefore this TOE is able to run multiple applications, using multiple interfaces independently at the same time.

Again these various contact based communication and application independency capabilities enable the usage to almost everywhere, where highly secure applications are in use and of course in any other application as well. This TOE is deemed for governmental, corporate, transport and payment markets, or wherever a secure root of trust is required. Various types of applications can use this TOE, for example in closed loop logical access controls, physical access controls, secure internet access control and internet authentication, or as multi-application token or simply as encrypted storage.

This controller is able to communicate contact based using following communication protocols respectively methods:

- ISO/IEC 7816-3 card  
This is the ISO/IEC defined standard contact based communication protocol, using the UART and the belonging pads.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

- Inter Integrated Circuit Interface (I2C)  
The Inter-Integrated Circuit (IIC) module is able to be connected to an external multi-master-serial-bus-system. The IIC protocol software is not part of the TOE. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].
- General Purpose Input/Output (GPIO)  
The GPIO module supports a number of general purpose I/O signals in parallel and independent of each other. Each of the I/O signals can be configured. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

Further interface operational modes regarding the communication are available and outlined in the confidential Security Target [8].

The TOE provides a real 16-bit CPU-architecture and is compatible to the MCS<sup>®</sup>251 instruction set with an execution time faster than a standard MCS<sup>®</sup>251 microcontroller at the same clock frequency. The major components of the core system are the two CPUs (Central Processing Units), acting as one, the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and the MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The Core implements also the Post Failure Detection (PFD) covering CPU, Cache and MED. The two CPUs control each other in order to detect faults and serve by this for data integrity. The TOE implements a full 16 MByte linear addressable memory space for each privilege level, a simple scalable Memory Management concept and a scalable stack size. The flexible memory concept consists of a ROM, RAM and the non-volatile memory (NVM), which we call SOLID FLASH<sup>™</sup> NVM. The ROM is not available for the user.

The ROM is not available for the user and contains the main parts of firmware components only.

The firmware comes in three alternative versions and is composed out of the:

- Boot-up software (BOS), the Resource Management System (RMS), the Flash Loader (FL). The BOS applies the essential configuration, internal testing and the start-up.
- The RMS implements a low level application interface (API) to the Smartcard Embedded Software and provides handling and managing routines for RAM, MMU, Branch table, configuration and further functions.
- The Flash Loader allows downloading user software to the SOLID FLASH<sup>™</sup> NVM during the manufacturing process and also at user premises - if ordered.

This TOE implements a Hybrid Random Number Generator (HDRNG). This HDRNG equals to the expression Hybrid Physical True Random Number Generator (hybrid PTRNG) as defined by the BSI. In the following, the BSI expression hybrid PTRNG is used. The hybrid PTRNG implements a true physical random source and has evidenced its conformance to the classes of AIS<sub>31</sub> [13] as declared in chapter 7.1.1.1.

The produced genuine random numbers are available as a security service for the user and are also used for internal purposes. Together with the guidelines in [6] the hybrid PTRNG operates in the following modes of operation and is conformant to the named classes:

- True Random Number Generation, meeting AIS<sub>31</sub> PTG.2
- Hybrid Random Number Generation, meeting AIS<sub>31</sub> PTG.3
- Deterministic Random Number Generation (DRNG) AIS<sub>31</sub> DRG.3
- Key Stream Generation (KSG), stream cipher generation AIS<sub>31</sub> DRG.2

The hybrid PTRNG is deemed for any application requiring excellent physical random data entropy.

The two cryptographic coprocessors serve the need of modern cryptography:

- The symmetric co-processor (SCP) combines both AES and DES with one, two or triple-key hardware acceleration. Please note that the single DES algorithm is not in the scope of evaluation due to national regulation by BSI.



Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)

- And, the Asymmetric Crypto Co-processor, called Crypto@2304T, provides optimized high performance calculations for the user software executing cryptographic operations and is also used by the optional cryptographic libraries for Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and Elliptic Curve (EC) cryptography.

The optional software parts are differentiated into following libraries:

- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides RSA1 cryptography
- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides EC2 cryptography
- the Toolbox library in in two alternative versions (ACL-1 and ACL-2) provides basic mathematical functions for a simplified user interface to the Crypto@2304T
- the symmetric cryptographic libraries (SCL-1 and SCL-2) in two alternative versions for simplified user interfaces to the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor
- the hardware support library (HSL) in three alternative versions
- the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) in two alternative versions and

The RSA cryptographic library, regardless of the version chosen:

- provides a high level interface to the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against fault injection and side channel attacks.
- implements the generation of RSA Key Pairs (RsaKeyGen), the RSA signature verification (RsaVerify), the RSA signature generation (RsaSign) and the RSA modulus recalculation (RsaModulus). This RSA library can perform RSA operations from 512 to 4096 bits.
- implements the high level interface to hardware cryptographic coprocessor Crypto2304T which runs the basic long number calculations (add, subtract, multiply, square) with high performance.

The RSA library is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

Following the national BSI recommendations, RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

The EC library, regardless of the version chosen:

- provides a high level interface to Elliptic Curve Cryptography computed on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against fault injection and side channel attacks.
- implements routines for ECDSA signature generation, for ECDSA signature verification, ECDSA key generation and for the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement.
- In addition, the EC library provides an additional function for calculating primitive elliptic curve operations like ECC Add and ECC Double.
- EC curves over prime field  $F_p$ , as well as over  $GF(2^n)$  finite field are supported too.

Note that the according user guidance the Elliptic Curve cryptographic functions are abbreviated using ECC.

The EC library is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 and 521 Bits.

The definition of the key lengths follows the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level by the BSI. The former 80 bit level is achieved by the key lengths of 160, 163, and 192 Bits.

---

<sup>1</sup> Rivest-Shamir-Adleman asymmetric cryptographic algorithm

<sup>2</sup> The Elliptic Curve Cryptography is abbreviated with EC only in the further, in order to avoid conflicts with the abbreviation for the Error Correction Code ECC.

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.

If the user decides not to use any of the offered cryptographic library(s), regardless of the version, none of the cryptographic libraries is consequently delivered and the accompanying Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions) Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and/ or EC is/are not provided by the TOE. Else it depends of the chosen library whether the Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions) Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and/ or EC is/are supported.

The Toolbox library, regardless of the version chosen, does not provide cryptographic support or additional security functionality as it provides only the following basic long integer arithmetic and modular functions in software, supported by the cryptographic coprocessor: Addition, subtraction, division, multiplication, comparison, reduction, modular addition, modular subtraction, modular multiplication, modular inversion and modular exponentiation. No security relevant policy, mechanism or function is supported. The Toolbox library is deemed for software developers as support for simplified implementation of long integer and modular arithmetic operations.

The symmetric cryptographic library SCL – in both versions - offers a high level interface to perform the cryptographic operations DES, TDES and AES with different key lengths on the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor (SCP) for this TOE. In addition the SCL in version v02.04.002 implements computation of a CMAC using AES or 3DES algorithms.

The SCL implements already several block cipher modes as declared in this document and covers a wide range of applications, but the SCL offers in addition the flexibility to implement additional user defined block cipher modes.

Both library versions provide a simplified interface to the hardware Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor (SCP) and preserve the security and performance requirements as required by the user.

Even in the basic configuration the SCL meets the targeted security level, which can be further increased by simple means of configuration options.

The key lengths used for the AES and DES functionality follow the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level issued by the BSI<sup>1</sup>. This regulation excludes the single DES operation from the certification as it is considered to be not sufficiently secure from algorithm perspective.

For both versions, the certification covers the SCL cryptographic functionality of the AES algorithm with key lengths of 128, 192, 256 bits and the TDEA or TripleDES (TDES) algorithm with an effective key size of 56 bits. For the version v02.04.002 the certification covers the CMAC functionality too.

Note that this TOE can come with both cryptographic co-processors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both cryptographic co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the user's choice. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

Beside the inclusion and support of cryptographic libraries this TOE comes with the optional Hardware Support Library (HSL) in three alternative versions which significantly simplify the management of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM functionality. The HSL constitutes an application interface (API) accessing the HSL state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features. In short the HSL provides a user friendly also use case oriented interface considering endurance, reliability and performance. In certain configurations the HSL provides also functions implementing tearing safe behaviour of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. If used the user has no need to care about cases where the TOE is suddenly cut off the power supply even during managing the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.

---

<sup>1</sup> German: Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, English: Federal Office for Information Security

**Security Target Introduction (ASE\_INT)**

Anyhow, the HSL remains as an optional library as even sudden power off situations does never lead to exploitable conditions of the TOE. In the worst case the TOE ends operation in case of a faulty programmed SOLID FLASH™ NVM location due to the Integrity Guard.

In addition to the above, the HSL in version v03.11.8339 provides an advanced additional method called Incremental Write (IWR). This method provides enhanced endurance of the SOLID FLASH NVM even beyond the erase endurance limits for often written objects. Thus this method serves applications with a demand for high endurance and fast writing times. On the other hand, the read times using this method are slower than the other methods provided.

The order option CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) in two alternative versions provides cryptographic functionality to implement a CIPURSE™ V2 conformant protocol.

This protocol provides a secure mutual authentication of two entities, namely the terminal (denoted as PCD = Proximity Coupling Device (CIPURSE™-compliant terminal)) and a smart card or a token in other form factors which is called PICC. PICC stands for Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (CIPURSE™-compliant card).

Beside the mutual authentication, the protocol implements measures to maintain the integrity of the transferred data and preserves in parallel the confidentiality of the transferred data.

By that the CIPURSE™ CL supports the user to implement systems conformant to the CIPURSE™ open standard implementing a secured, interoperable and flexible transit fare collection solution, including ISO 7816 communication and AES-128 bit cryptography for multiple payment types.

The order option in two alternative versions of the CIPURSE™ CL is conformant to the CIPURSE™ open standard [18] for both, the PICC and then PCD software parts. It implements the by the OSPT alliance standardized application interface for the card and the terminal side.

The scope of this certification of this TOE covers all parts of the CIPURSE™ CL of both alternative versions which are later implemented by the user on the user card respectively token based on this TOE and the functionality of the PCD software part which is implemented in the terminal side. The PCD software operates also on the hardware of this TOE which is implemented in the terminal.

Each of the CIPURSE™ CL versions implement the by the OSPT alliance standardized application interface for the card and the terminal side.

To fulfill the highest security requirements for smartcards today and also in the future, this TOE implements a progressive digital security concept, which already has been certified in various forerunner processes. This TOE utilizes digital security features to include customer friendly security, combined with a robust design overcoming the disadvantages on analogue protection technologies. The TOE provides full on-chip encryption covering the complete core, busses, memories and cryptographic co-processors leaving no plain data on the chip. A further security feature has been implemented for this TOE protecting also the involved addresses transferred over the memory bus. Therefore the attractiveness for attackers is a step further extremely reduced as encrypted signals are of no use for the attacker – neither for manipulation nor for eavesdropping.

In addition, the TOE is equipped with a comprehensive error detection capability for the complete data path. The dual CPU approach allows error detection even while processing. A comparator detects whether a calculation was performed without errors. This approach does not leave any parts of the core circuitry unprotected. The concept allows that the relevant attack scenarios are detected, whereas other conditions that would not lead to an error would mainly be ignored. That renders the TOE robust against environmental influences.

Subsequently, the TOE implements what we call intelligent implicit shielding (I<sup>2</sup>). These measures constitute a shield on sensitive and security critical signals which is not recognizable or obvious as a shield. This provides excellent protection against invasive physical attacks, such as probing, forcing or similar.

In this Security Target the TOE is briefly described and a summary specification is given. The security environment of the TOE during its different phases of the lifecycle is defined. The assets are identified which have to be protected through the security policy. The threats against these assets are described. The security objectives and the security policy are defined, as well as the security requirements. These security requirements are built out of the security functional requirements as part of the security policy and the security assurance requirements. These are the formal steps applied during the evaluation and certification showing that the TOE

meets the targeted requirements. In addition, simplified functionality of the TOE matching the requirements is described.

The assets, threats, security objectives and the security functional requirements are defined in this Security Target and in the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9] and are referenced here. These requirements build up a minimal standard common for all Security ICs.

The security functions are defined here in the security target as property of this specific TOE. Here it is shown how this specific TOE fulfills the requirements for the common standard defined in the Common Criteria documents [10], [11], [12] and in the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9].

Target of Evaluation Description

## 2 Target of Evaluation Description

The TOE description helps to understand the specific security environment and the security policy. In this context the assets, threats, security objectives and security functional requirements can be employed. The following is a more detailed description of the TOE than in the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9] as it belongs to the specific TOE. The Security IC Platform Protection Profile is in general often abbreviated with 'PP' and its version number.

### 2.1 TOE Definition

This TOE consists of Security Controllers as an integrated circuit (IC), meeting the highest requirements in terms of performance and security. The TOE products are manufactured by Infineon Technologies AG in 65 nm CMOS-technology. This TOE is intended to be used in smart cards and any other form factor for particularly applications requiring highest levels of security and for its previous use as developing platform for smart card operating systems according to the lifecycle model from [9].

The term Smartcard Embedded Software is used in the following for all operating systems and applications stored and executed on the TOE. The TOE is the platform for the Smartcard Embedded Software. The Smartcard Embedded Software itself is not part of the TOE.

The TOE consists of a core system, memories, coprocessors, system peripherals, a control block and the peripherals. The following picture provides a simplified overview upon the hardware components of this TOE which are subsequently briefly described:




Figure 1 Simplified block diagram of the TOE

## Target of Evaluation Description

### Introduction

The main components of the core system are the dual CPU (Central Processing Units), the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and the MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The coprocessor block contains the cryptographic processors for RSA/EC and DES/AES processing, while the peripheral block contains the random number generation, the module for the Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC), the timers and watchdogs and last but not least the external interfaces service.

All data of the memory block is encrypted and all memory types are equipped with an error detection code (EDC), the SOLID FLASH™ NVM in addition with an error correction code (ECC). All data and addresses transferred over the two bus systems are encrypted respectively masked.

### The Core

The dual CPU, constituted out of two CPUs and acting as one from users view, is based on a 16-bit architecture based on the MCS® 251 instruction set with an execution time faster than a standard MCS® 251 at the same clock frequency. The instruction set for the architecture is also largely compatible with the well-known 80251 microcontroller family. Anyhow, the CPU has a special internal architecture and timing that differs from the standard 80251 and it provides additional powerful instructions, meeting the requirements for new operating system generations. Despite its compatibility the CPU implementation is entirely proprietary and not standard. The CPU accesses the memory via the integrated Memory Encryption and Decryption unit (MED). The Post Failure Detection (PFD) covers the modules CPU, Cache and MED, automatically manages the error detection of the individual memories and detects incorrect transfer of data between the memories by means of error code comparison. The access rights of the application to the memories can be controlled with the memory management unit (MMU). Errors in the memories are automatically detected (EDC) and in terms of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM 1-Bit-errors are also corrected (ECC). The two processors of the CPU control each other in order to detect faults and maintain by this the data integrity. A comparator detects whether a calculation was performed without errors and allows error detection even while processing. Therefore the TOE is equipped with a full error detection capability for the complete data path, which does not leave any parts of the circuitry unprotected.

The Cache memory – or simply, the Cache – is a high-speed memory-buffer located between the CPU and the (external) main memories holding a copy of some of the memory contents to enable access to the copy, which is considerably faster than retrieving the information from the main memory. In addition to its fast access speed, the Cache also consumes less power than the main memories. All Cache systems own their usefulness to the principle of locality, meaning that programs are inclined to utilize a particular section of the address space for their processing over a short period of time. By including most or all of such a specific area in the Cache, system performance can be dramatically enhanced. The implemented post failure detection identifies and manages errors if appeared during storage.

### The Busses

The bus system comprises two separate bus entities: a memory bus and peripheral bus for high-speed communication internally between the modules and to the outer world with the peripherals. All transfer of data and addresses via the memory and the peripheral bus systems is protected by means of encryption respectively masking leaving no plain contents anywhere on the chip.

### The cryptographic Coprocessors

The TOE implements two cryptographic co-processors: The symmetric cryptographic co-processor (SCP) combines both AES and DES with one, two or triple-key hardware acceleration. The Asymmetric Crypto Co-processor, called Crypto2304T, provides optimized high performance calculations for the user software executing cryptographic operations and is also used by the optional cryptographic libraries for RSA and Elliptic Curve (EC) cryptography. These co-processors are especially designed for smart card applications with respect to the security and power consumption. The SCP module computes the complete DES algorithm within a few clock cycles and is especially designed to counter attacks like DPA, EMA and DFA.

Note that this TOE can come with both crypto co-processors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both crypto co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the customer demands prior

## Target of Evaluation Description

to the production of the hardware. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

### The Memories

The BOS (boot-up software), RMS (Resource Management System) and Flash Loader together compose the TOE firmware in two alternative versions stored in the ROM and the patches hereof in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. All mandatory functions for internal testing, production usage and start-up behavior (BOS), and also the RMS functions are grouped together in a common privilege level. These privilege levels are protected by a hardwired Memory Management Unit (MMU) setting.

The controllers of this TOE store both code and data in a linear 16-MByte memory space, allowing direct access without the need to swap memory segments in and out of memory using a memory management unit.

The user software can be implemented in various options depending on the user's choice and described in chapter 1.1. Thereby the user software, or parts of it, can be downloaded into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, either during production of the TOE or at customer side. In the latter case, the user downloads his software or the final parts of it at his own premises, using the Flash Loader software.

All content regardless whether stored or transferred remains encrypted and EDC protected. Also the addresses are protected by cryptographic protection means.

The TOE uses also Special Function Registers SFR. These SFR registers are used for general purposes and chip configuration. The start-up register values are stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, in the configuration page area.

### The System Peripherals

The system peripheral block serves for operation within the specified ranges and manages the alarms and self-testing. Note that there is a small set of sensors left in order to detect excessive deviations from the specified operational range, while not being over-sensitive. These digital features do not need adjustment or calibration and are deemed to increase the robustness of the chip against environmental influences even more. Conditions that would not be harmful for the operation would therefore in most cases not disturb the proper function.

By implementing the integrity guard concept, the sensors are no more required for the TOE security. The sensors are therefore assigned to be security supporting but do not implement a security mechanism on their own. The only sensor contributing to a security mechanism is the frequency sensor.

After the BOS has finished, the operating system or application can call the User Mode Security Life Control (UMSLC) test. The UMSLC tests several modules, various functions and sensors for correct operation. Some of them have a user configurable interface.

### The Control

The Interface Management Module (IMM) handles all interfaces in a unified manner and simplifies by this the variety of interfaces for the user. It provides simultaneous maintenance of a multitude of various interfaces in a non-conflicting manner simultaneously if so configured. The Interrupt and Peripheral Event Channel Controller (ITP) manages individual interrupt requests signaled as events by peripherals. The controller can be associated with different interrupt events enabling to select between executing a standard interrupt service routine or a fast data transfer between memory locations over a so-called peripheral event channel (PEC). The control-block implements a summary of all control interfaces respectively SFRs used by various modules.

### The Peripherals

This block implements the various interface options, communication protocols and operation modes as outlined in chapter 1.2.

In addition to the interfaces it implements the Hybrid Random Number Generator (HDRNG). This HDRNG equals to the expression Hybrid Physical True Random Number Generator (hybrid PTRNG) as defined by the BSI. In the following, the BSI expression hybrid PTRNG is used. The hybrid PTRNG implements a true physical random source and has evidenced its conformance to the classes of AIS<sub>31</sub> [13] as declared in chapter 7.1.1.1.

## Target of Evaluation Description

The produced genuine random numbers are available as a security service for the user and are also used for internal purposes. Together with the guidelines in [6] the hybrid PTRNG operates in the following modes of operation and is conformant to the named classes:

- True Random Number Generation, meeting AIS31 PTG.2
- Hybrid Random Number Generation, meeting AIS31 PTG.3
- Deterministic Random Number Generation (DRNG) AIS31 DRG.3
- Key Stream Generation (KSG), stream cipher generation AIS31 DRG.2

The hybrid PTRNG is deemed for any application requiring excellent physical random data entropy.

Several timer modules are implemented used for example to control the communication via the UART, other interfaces behavior, for asynchronous wake-up and similar timed events.

The timer permits easy implementation of communication protocols such as T=1 and all other time-critical operations. The UART-controlled I/O interface allows the security controller and the terminal interface to be operated independently. The watchdog timers implement a configurable time out for various purposes. More information can be found in the hardware reference manual HRM [1].

The cyclic redundancy check (CRC) module is used to compute a checksum over any input data and allows by that explicit checking integrity of a piece of data.

## Feature Summary

The following is a list of central features provided by this TOE:

- 24-bit linear addressing
- Up to 16 MByte of addressable memory
- Data and addresses protected against eavesdropping
- Register-based architecture  
(registers can be accessed as bytes, words (2 bytes), and double words (4 bytes))
- 2-stage instruction pipeline
- Based MCS<sup>®</sup> 251 instruction set and largely compatible with the well-known 80251 microcontroller family
- Extensive additional set of powerful instructions, including 16- and 32-bit arithmetic and logic instructions
- Cache with single-cycle access searching
- 16-bit self-checking dual CPU
- Hybrid Physical True Random Number Generation for random numbers at highest entropy quality
- Extended Temperature Range

The TOE sets a new, improved standard of integrated security features, thereby meeting the requirements of all smart card and other related applications or form factors, such as information integrity, access control, mobile telephone and identification, as well as use cases in electronic funds transfer and healthcare systems.

To sum up, the TOE is a powerful security controller with a large amount of memory and special peripheral devices with improved performance, optimized power consumption, free to choose contact based operation, at minimal chip size while implementing high security. It therefore constitutes the basis for future smart card and other related applications in unlimited form factors.



## 2.2 Scope of the TOE

The TOE comprises:

- The silicon die, respectively the Integrated Circuit (IC) respectively the hardware of this TOE.
- The TOE is also delivered in various configurations, achieved by means of blocking by the customer and/or depending on the customer order.
- All according firmware in two alternative versions and
- Optional software in various combinations as ordered
- All configurations of any individual TOE product

All product derivatives of this TOE, including all configuration possibilities differentiated by the GCIM data and the configuration information output, are manufactured by Infineon Technologies AG. In the following descriptions the term "manufacturer" stands short for Infineon Technologies AG, the manufacturer of the TOE. New configurations can occur at any time depending on the user blocking or by different configurations applied by the manufacturer. In any case the user is able to clearly identify the TOE hardware, its configuration and proof the validity of the certificate independently, meaning without involving the manufacturer.

The various blocking options, as well as the means used for the blocking, are done during the manufacturing process and/or at user premises. This depends on the user order. Entirely all means of blocking and the, for the blocking involved firmware respectively software parts, used at Infineon and/or the user premises, are subject of the evaluation. All resulting configurations of a TOE derivative and all possible combinations with optional software libraries are subject of the certificate. All resulting hardware configurations are either at the predefined limits or within the predefined configuration ranges.

The firmware in two alternative versions used for the TOE internal testing and TOE operation, the firmware and software parts exclusively used for the blocking, the parts of the firmware and software required for cryptographic support are part of the TOE and therefore part of the certification. The documents as described in section 2.2.4 and listed in Table 1, are supplied as user guidance.

Not part of the TOE and not part of the certification are:

- the Smartcard Embedded Software respectively user software, and
- the piece of software running at user premises and collecting the BPU receipts coming from the TOE. This BPU software part is the commercially deemed part of the BPU software, not running on the TOE, but allowing refunding the customer, based on the collected user blocking information. The receipt from each blocked TOE is collected by this software – chip by chip.

Target of Evaluation Description

### 2.2.1 Hardware of the TOE

The hardware part of the TOE (see Figure 1) as defined in the hardware reference manual HRM [1] comprises:

#### Core System

- Proprietary dual CPU implementation being comparable to the 80251 microcontroller architecture from functional perspective and with enhanced MCS® 251 instruction set
- Cache with Post Failure Detection
- Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit (MED)
- Memory Management Unit (MMU)

#### Memories

- Read-Only Memory (ROM), not available for the user
- Random Access Memory (RAM)
- SOLID FLASH™ NVM, the flash cell based nonvolatile memory

#### Buses

- Memory Bus
- Peripheral Bus

#### Coprocessors

- Crypto2304T for asymmetric algorithms like RSA and EC (optionally blocked)
- Symmetric Crypto Co-processor for DES and AES Standards (optionally blocked)

#### Control

- Interface Management Module (IMM)
- Interrupt and Peripheral Event Channel Controller (ITP)
- Clock & Power Management
- Control

#### System Peripherals

- Sensors & Filters
- User mode Security Life Control (UmSLC)

#### Peripherals

- Hybrid Physical True Random Number Generator (HPTRNG) implementing also a Deterministic Random Number Generator (DRNG)
- Timers and Watchdogs
- Cyclic Redundancy Check module (CRC)
- Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter (UART)
- Inter-Integrated Circuit module (I2C)
- General Purpose Input Output (GPIO)

### **2.2.2 Firmware and software of the TOE**

The entire firmware in three alternative versions of the TOE consists of different parts which are outlined in the following. This description holds true for all three alternative versions as there is no difference on the delivered and board of the IC located firmware parts, with the exception of the firmware identifier. It is therefore regardless for the TOE security, policy and related claims and it is regardless for the user which of the firmware identifiers is coming with the TOE.

The entire firmware of the TOE consists of different parts which are outlined in the following.

One part comprises the Resource Management System (RMS) with routines for managing the Cache, RAM, MMU, the branch table, configuration and the testing functions. The RMS is the IC Dedicated Support Software as defined in the PP [9]. The RMS routines are stored from Infineon Technologies AG in a reserved area of the ROM but parts of it are also stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.

There is no ROM space available for the user.

The second part is the Boot Software (BOS), consisting of initialization and various testing routines and providing the different operation modes of the TOE. The BOS is the IC Dedicated Test Software as defined in the PP [9]. The BOS routines are stored in the especially protected test ROM but parts of it are also stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The BOS is not accessible for the user software.

The third part is the Flash Loader. This piece of software enables the download of the user software or parts of it to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The Flash Loader routines are stored in the especially protected test ROM but parts of it are also stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. Depending on the order the Flash Loader comes with the BPU-software enabling for TOE configuration at user premises. After completion of the download and/or final configuration of the TOE, and prior delivery to the end user, the user is obligated to lock the Flash Loader. Locking is the permanent deactivation of the Flash Loader meaning that if once locked it can no more be reactivated and used. Note that the Flash Loader routines are always present, but are deactivated in case of the derivatives ordered without the software download option. Thus the user interface is identically in both cases – with and without Flash Loader on board - and consequently the related interface routines can be called in each of the derivatives. Already the MMU blocks calls of the Flash Loader software at derivatives coming without Flash Loader. In derivatives with Flash Loader the related function is performed.

All parts of the firmware above are - depending on the order - combined together by the TOE generation process to a single file and stored then in the data files, the TOE is produced from. This comprises the firmware files for the ROM, where only Infineon Technologies AG has access, as well as the data to be flashed in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The alternative firmware versions can be identified by the firmware identifier.

**Target of Evaluation Description**

The optional software parts comprise following libraries:

- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides RSA<sup>1</sup> cryptography
- the asymmetric cryptographic libraries (ACL-1 and ACL-2) in two alternative versions provides EC<sup>2</sup> cryptography
- the Toolbox library in two alternative versions (ACL-1 and ACL-2) provides basic mathematical functions for a simplified user interface to the Crypto@2304T
- the symmetric cryptographic libraries (SCL-1 and SCL-2) in two alternative versions for simplified user interfaces to the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor
- the hardware support library (HSL) in three alternative versions
- the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) in two alternative versions

The RSA cryptographic library, regardless of the version chosen:

- provides a high level interface to the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against fault injection and side channel attacks.
- implements the generation of RSA Key Pairs (RsaKeyGen), the RSA signature verification (RsaVerify), the RSA signature generation (RsaSign) and the RSA modulus recalculation (RsaModulus). This RSA library can perform RSA operations from 512 to 4096 bits.
- implements the high level interface to hardware cryptographic coprocessor Crypto2304T which runs the basic long number calculations (add, subtract, multiply, square) with high performance.

The RSA library, regardless of the version chosen, is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

Depending on the customer's choice, the TOE can be delivered with the 4096 code portion or with the 2048 code portion only. The 2048 code portion is included in both. Part of the evaluation are the RSA straight operations with key length from 1024 bits to 2048 bits, and the RSA CRT<sup>3</sup> operations with key lengths of 1024 Bits to 4096 Bits.

Following the national BSI recommendations, RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

The EC library regardless of the version chosen is used to:

- provide a high level interface to Elliptic Curve Cryptography computed on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against fault injection and side channel attacks.
- implement routines for ECDSA signature generation, for ECDSA signature verification, ECDSA key generation and for the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement.
- implement additional functions for calculating primitive elliptic curve operations like ECC Add and ECC Double interfaces, the EC library provides an .
- implement elliptic curve cryptography provides a high level interface to Elliptic Curve Cryptography computed on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against fault injection and side channel attacks.
- implements routines for ECDSA signature generation, for ECDSA signature verification, ECDSA key generation and for the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement.

---

<sup>1</sup> Rivest-Shamir-Adleman asymmetric cryptographic algorithm

<sup>2</sup> The Elliptic Curve Cryptography is abbreviated with EC only in the further, in order to avoid conflicts with the abbreviation for the Error Correction Code ECC.

<sup>3</sup> CRT: Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Target of Evaluation Description**

- In addition, the EC library provides an additional function for calculating primitive elliptic curve operations like ECC Add and ECC Double.
- over prime field  $F_p$ , as well as over  $GF(2^n)$  finite field are supported too.

The EC library is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 and 521 Bits. For one part the definition of the key lengths follows the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level by the BSI. However, the former 80 bit level is achieved by the key lengths of 160, 163, and 192 Bits.

Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.

The Toolbox library, regardless of the version chosen, does not provide cryptographic support or additional security functionality as it provides only the following basic long integer arithmetic and modular functions in software, supported by the cryptographic coprocessor: Addition, subtraction, division, multiplication, comparison, reduction, modular addition, modular subtraction, modular multiplication, modular inversion and modular exponentiation. No security relevant policy, mechanism or function is supported. The Toolbox library is deemed for software developers as support for simplified implementation of long integer and modular arithmetic operations.

The symmetric cryptographic library SCL – in both versions - offers a high level interface to perform the cryptographic operations DES, TDES and AES with different key lengths on the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor (SCP) for this TOE. In addition the SCL in version v02.04.002 implements computation of a CMAC using AES and 3DES algorithms.

The SCL implements already several block cipher modes as declared in this document and covers a wide range of applications, but the SCL offers in addition the flexibility to implement additional user defined block cipher modes. The SCL is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

Both library versions provide a simplified interface to the hardware Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor (SCP) and preserve the security and performance requirements as required by the user.

Even in the basic configuration the SCL meets the targeted security level, which can be further increased by means of configuration options.

The key lengths used for the AES and DES functionality follow the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level issued by the BSI<sup>1</sup>. This regulation excludes the single DES operation from the certification as it is considered to be not sufficiently secure from algorithm perspective.

For both versions, the certification covers the SCL cryptographic functionality of the AES algorithm with key lengths of 128, 192, 256 bits and the TDEA or TripleDES (TDES) algorithm with an effective key size of 56 bits. For the version v02.04.002 the certification covers the CMAC functionality too.

Beside the inclusion and support of cryptographic libraries this TOE comes with the optional Hardware Support Library (HSL) in three alternative versions, significantly simplifying the management of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM functionality. The HSL (all versions) constitutes an application interface (API) accessing the HSM state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features. In short the HSL provides a user friendly also use case oriented interface considering endurance, reliability and performance.

The HSL is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

The HSL versions v01.22.4346 and v02.01.6634 implement beyond the “low level driver” the basic method “In-place Update” with optional tearing safe methodology. This leverages the dedicated advantages of the new SOLID FLASH™ NVM technology.

All HSL library versions are delivered as object code.

---

<sup>1</sup> German: Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, English: Federal Office for Information Security

**Target of Evaluation Description**

We define tearing as an untimed power cut off which could occur anytime and in the worse therewith could also occur during writing to or erasing of pages in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.

If the HSL – all versions are meant - comes with the TOE and the user implements the offered configuration and dedicated functions tearing save behaviour of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM is provided. In these cases the user does not need to care about tearing events since either the old data or the new data are correctly in place.

In addition to the versions v01.22.4346 and v02.01.6634, the HSL in version v03.11.8339 provides an advanced additional method called Incremental Write (IWR). This method provides enhanced endurance of the SOLID FLASH NVM even beyond the erase endurance limits for often written objects. Thus this method serves applications with a demand for high endurance and fast writing times. On the other hand, the read times using this method are slower than the other methods provided.

But, even in the cases where the user decides not to use the HSL and did also not implement own routines preserving the consistency of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, the hardware protection means prevent from operation of inconsistent data. Therefore, in no cases a tearing event leads to an exploitable situation respectively vulnerability.

Anyhow, the user should be aware and is recommended to use either the HSL or implement own routines managing tearing events since if there would occur a faulty programmed SOLID FLASH™ NVM location the TOE ends operation at that point.

The order option CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CIPURSE™ CL) in two alternative versions provides cryptographic functionality to implement a CIPURSE™ V2 conformant protocol.

This protocol provides a secure mutual authentication of two entities, namely the terminal (denoted as PCD = Proximity Coupling Device (CIPURSE™-compliant terminal)) and a smart card or a token in other form factors which is called PICC. PICC stands for Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (CIPURSE™-compliant card).

Beside the mutual authentication, the protocol implements measures to maintain the integrity of the after passing successfully the authentication transferred data. It depends on the chosen operation mode whether the user requires integrity protection only, for example if the exchange is used in a secure environment only, or whether complete protection including the encrypted transfer of user data between the two authentication entities is an issue. Both operation modes are part of the CIPURSE™ open standard and offered as integrity protection mode and as confidential communication mode.

By that each of the CIPURSE™ CL versions supports the user to implement systems conformant to the CIPURSE™ open standard implementing a secured, interoperable and flexible transit fare collection solution, including ISO 7816 communication and AES-128 bit cryptography for multiple payment types.

Each of the CIPURSE™ CL versions is conformant to the CIPURSE™ open standard [18] for both, the PICC and then PCD software parts. It implements the by the OSPT alliance standardized application interface for the card and the terminal side.

The scope of this certification of this TOE covers all parts of the CIPURSE™ CL of both alternative versions which are later implemented by the user on the user card respectively token based on this TOE and the functionality of the PCD software part which is implemented in the terminal side. The PCD software operates also on the hardware of this TOE which is implemented in the terminal.

Both CIPURSE™ CL versions implement the by the OSPT alliance standardized application interface for the card and the terminal side.

The certification comprises the entire functionality of both alternative CIPURSE™ CL versions implemented and operated on the TOE hardware. On one hand the TOE can operate the PICC side software part as a token and on the other hand, a second TOE product operates the PCD side software part if used inside a terminal or similar system.

The environment on the terminal, the terminal systems, their security and their interfaces to the background systems are not in the scope of this certification. The user operating system and further applications implemented on the TOE are also out of scope of this certification.

To summarize, if used with the PCD software the certification view equals to the case where the TOE is running the PICC software: The TOE operates one of the optional software parts of the CIPURSE™ CL – regardless whether PICC or PCD part - and is enabled to communicate via the selected interfaces. The surrounding

## Target of Evaluation Description

environment is in both cases out of scope. The CIPURSE™ CL is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software.

### Note 1:

The asymmetric cryptographic libraries regardless of the version chosen are delivery options. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations with the other libraries of or without these libraries. In the case of coming without one or any combination of the asymmetric libraries the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC).

The cryptographic library SCL, in two alternative versions, is a delivery option. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations with the other libraries of or without these libraries. In the case of coming without the SCL the TOE does not provide the specific security functionality implemented by this software. Even in case of a TOE coming without SCL, the symmetric cryptographic functionality is unchanged covered by the hardware symmetric cryptographic coprocessor SCP.

The cryptographic library CIPURSE™ CL is a delivery option. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations with the other libraries of or without these libraries. The presence of one of the cryptographic library CIPURSE™ CL versions is a delivery option. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations with the other libraries of or without these libraries. In the case of coming without any of the CIPURSE™ CL version the TOE does not provide the specific security functionality implemented by this software.  
End of note.

The firmware and software parts of the TOE comprise:

### **Firmware in three alternative versions, which are entirely equal with the exception of the firmware identifier**

- Boot Software (BOS)
- Resource Management System (RMS)
- Flash Loader (FL)

### **Optional Software**

- RSA cryptographic library in two alternative versions
- EC cryptographic library in two alternative versions
- Toolbox library in two alternative versions
- SCL library in two alternative versions
- HSL library in three alternative versions
- CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library in two alternative versions

### 2.2.3 Interfaces of the TOE

- The physical interface of the TOE to the external environment is the entire surface of the IC.
- The electrical interface of the TOE to the external environment is constituted by the pads of the chip, particularly ISO pads, the CLK and power supply pads, as well as the pads used for contact based interfacing.
- The data-oriented I/O interface to the TOE is formed by the pads used for contact based communication.
- The interface to the firmware – regardless of the version in use - is constituted by special registers used for hardware configuration and control (Special Function Registers, SFR).
- The interface of the TOE to the operating system is constituted by the RMS and by the instruction set of the TOE.
- The interface of the TOE to the test routines is formed by the BOS test routine call, i.e. entry to the test modes.
- The interface to the RSA calculations is defined from the RSA library interface. This is regardless of the version chosen.
- The interface to the EC calculations is defined from the EC library interface. This is regardless of the version chosen.
- The interface to the Toolbox is defined by the Toolbox library interface. This is regardless of the version chosen.
- The interface to the SCL is defined by the Symmetric Cryptographic Library interface. This is regardless of the version chosen.
- The interface to the HSL is defined by the functions of the Hardware Support Library interface. This is regardless of the version chosen.
- The interface to the CIPURSE™ CL is defined by the functions of the CIPURSE™ cryptographic library (each version). This is regardless of the version chosen.

Note that the interfaces to the optional software parts are only present, if the TOE comes with the belonging software part depending on the customer order.



## 2.2.4 Guidance documentation

The following provides a brief overview of the document set constituting the user guidance for this TOE. The exact document titles and versions are given in chapter 9.

- The document Hardware Reference Manual HRM [1] is the user data book of the TOE and contains the relevant module, function and feature description
- The document Production and Personalization User Manual [2] contains detailed information about the usage of the Flash Loader
- The document Programmers Reference Manual [3] describes the usage and interfaces of the Resource Management System RMS.
- The document asymmetric Cryptographic Library [4-1] for Crypto@2304T user interface for the version v2.07.003 contains all interfaces of the RSA, EC and Toolbox library and are only delivered to the user in case the RSA library and/or the EC library is/are part of the delivered TOE.
- The document asymmetric Cryptographic Library [4-2] for Crypto@2304T user interface for the version v2.06.003 contains all interfaces of the RSA, EC and Toolbox library and are only delivered to the user in case the RSA library and/or the EC library is/are part of the delivered TOE.
- The document [5] Crypto@2304T User Manual describes the architecture of cryptographic coprocessor on register level. It also provides a functional description of the register architecture, instruction set and gives programming guidance. This document is not required if one of Infineon's asymmetric cryptographic libraries ACL is used. The ACL takes proper care about the low level hardware interfaces.
- The document [6] Security Guidelines User Manual provides the guidance and recommendations to develop secure software for and secure usage of this TOE.
- The document [7] Errata Sheet contains latest updates and corrections of the TOE relevant for the user and it is a kind addendum to the hardware reference manual HRM [1]. The Errata Sheet can be changed during the life cycle of the TOE. New Errata Sheet releases are reported in a monthly updated list provided from Infineon Technologies AG to the user. This list is not part of the certification process. Part of the TOE certification is the released version valid at the point in time the certificate was issued.
- The document reference [15-1] for the Hardware Support Library (HSL) in version v03.11.8339 provides an application interface (API) accessing the HSM state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features.
- The document reference [15-2] for the Hardware Support Library (HSL) in version v02.01.6634 provides an application interface (API) accessing the HSM state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features.
- The document reference [15-3] for the Hardware Support Library (HSL) in version v01.22.4346 provides an application interface (API) accessing the HSM state machine and abstracting low level properties like special function registers and settings of specific hardware features.
- Finally the certification report by BSI may contain an overview of the recommendations to the software developer regarding the secure use of the TOE. These recommendations are also included in the ordinary user documentation, the Security Guidelines User Manual [6].
- The user guidance for the optional Symmetric Cryptographic Library SCL [16-1] in version v02.04.002 contains all user interfaces required to have a simplified and secure use of the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor. This user guidance is only delivered if the optional SCL is part of the delivery to the user.
- The user guidance for the optional Symmetric Cryptographic Library SCL [16-2] in version v02.02.010 contains all user interfaces required to have a simplified and secure use of the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor. This user guidance is only delivered if the optional SCL is part of the delivery to the user.
- The user guidance for the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library [17-1] and [17-2] – one for each alternative version - provides detailed information and the complete application interface for the user for implementation of an OSPT™ compliant PCD / PICC communication solution.

Target of Evaluation Description

## 2.2.5 Forms of delivery

The TOE can be delivered:

- in form of complete modules
- with or without inlay mounting
- in form of plain wafers
- in any IC case (for example TSSOP<sub>28</sub>, VQFN<sub>32</sub>, VQFN<sub>40</sub>, CCS-modules, etc.)
- in no IC case or package, simply as bare dies
- or in whatever type of package

The form of delivery does not affect the TOE security and it can be delivered in any type, as long as the processes applied and sites involved have been subject of the appropriate audit.

The delivery can therefore be at the end of phase 3 or at the end of phase 4 which can also include pre-personalization steps according to PP [9]. Nevertheless in both cases the TOE is finished and the extended test features are removed. In this document are always both cases mentioned to avoid incorrectness but from the security policy point of view the two cases are identical.

The delivery to the software developer (phase 2 → phase 1) contains the development package and is delivered in form of documentation as described above, data carriers and/or download from a secure server containing the tools and emulators as development and debugging tool.

Part of the software delivery can also be the Flash Loader software running on the TOE and receiving the transmitted information of the user software to be loaded into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The download is only possible after successful mutual authentication and the user software can also be downloaded in an encrypted way. This download can also be done at a third party acting as download service provider for the user. This organization is subject of the user.

In any case, the user is after finalization of the download and prior delivery to the field obligated to permanently lock further use of the Flash Loader. How the user can do this is detailed in the user guidance [2].

Note that it depends on the procurement order, whether the Flash Loader program is present or not.

The belonging user guidance documents are delivered in electronic form: Either by user downloads from a secure server or alternatively on request as encrypted email attachment.

Target of Evaluation Description

Table 4 TOE delivery types

TOE Component	Delivered Format	Delivery Method	Comment
Hardware			
All derivatives as stated in chapter 1	Plain wafers, bare dies, complete modules, IC cases of any type (for example TSSOP28, VQFN32, VQFN40, CCS-modules, etc.), with or without inlay mounting, or in whatever package	Postal transfer in cages or metal boxes	All materials are delivered to distribution centers in locked cages or metal boxes.
Firmware			
All	–	–	Stored on the delivered hardware.
Software			
All software libraries	Precompiled object format code – L251 file type with ending “.lib”	Secured download as zipped-file (1)	–
Guidance Documentation			
All User Guidance documents	Personalized pdf	Secured download (1) or on demand by encrypted email.	–

(1) Users having an account on the secure server can login and download the according document-respectively software files

Target of Evaluation Description

### 2.2.6 Production sites

The TOE may be handled in different production sites but the silicon of this TOE is produced in Tainan, Taiwan only, as listed below. To distinguish the different production sites of various products in the field, the site is coded into the Generic Chip Ident Mode (GCIM) data. The exact coding of the generic chip identification data is described in the hardware reference manual HRM [1].

The delivery measures are described in the ALC\_DVS aspect.

**Table 5** Production Site in Chip Identification

Production Site	Chip Identification
Tainan, Taiwan	Byte number 13: 0A <sub>H</sub>

### 3 Conformance Claims (ASE\_CCL)

#### 3.1 CC Conformance Claim

This Security Target (ST) and the TOE claim conformance to Common Criteria version v3.1 and in particular, conformance is claimed for:

Common Criteria part 2 **extended** [11] and Common Criteria part 3 **conformant** [12].

#### 3.2 PP Claim

This Security Target is in **strict conformance** to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9].

The targeted EAL6+ level includes already the highest assurance families AVA\_VAN.5 and ALC\_DVS.2 from Common Criteria part 3 [12]. To achieve an additional augmentation, this Security Target is **assurance package augmented** compared to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9].

The augmentation is achieved - with regard to CCv3.1 Part 3 [12]: Security assurance components by including:

Table 6 Augmentations of the assurance level of the TOE

Assurance Class	Assurance Family	Description
Life-cycle support	ALC_FLR.1	Basic flaw remediation

The Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages is registered and certified by the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik<sup>1</sup> (BSI) under the reference:

**BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, Version 1.0, dated 2014-01-13.**

The security assurance requirements of the TOE are according to the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9] and to Part 3 of the Common Criteria version v3.1 [12].

#### 3.3 Package Claim

This Security Target claims conformance to the following additional packages taken from the Security IC Platform Protection Profile [9]:

- Package Authentication of the Security IC, section 7.2
- Package Loader, Package 1: Loader dedicated for usage in secured environment only, section 7.3.1. This package is optional and fulfilled only by TOE products coming with Flash Loader.
- Package Loader, Package 2: Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only, section 7.3.2. This package is optional and fulfilled only by TOE products coming with Flash Loader.
- Package TDES ; section 7.4.1
- Package AES ; section 7.4.2

<sup>1</sup> Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) is the German Federal Office for Information Security

**The assurance level of this TOE is:  
EAL6 augmented (EAL6+)  
with the component ALC\_FLR.1 and additional packages**

The highest assurance component AVA\_VAN.5 Vulnerability Assessment, advanced methodical vulnerability analysis, is already standard requirement for the EAL6. Thus the augmentation is achieved by another assurance class.

### 3.4 Conformance Rationale

This security target claims **strict** conformance only to the PP [9].

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is a typical security IC as defined in PP chapter 1.2.2 comprising:

- the circuitry of the IC (hardware including the physical memories),
- configuration data, initialization data related to the IC Dedicated Software and the behaviour of the security functionality
- the IC Dedicated Software with the parts
- the IC Dedicated Test Software,
- the IC Dedicated Support Software.

The TOE is designed, produced and/or generated by the TOE Manufacturer.

#### 3.4.1 Security Problem Definition

Following the PP [9], the security problem definition is enhanced by adding additional threats, organization security policies and an augmented assumption. Including these add-ons, the security problem definition of this security target is consistent with the statement of the security problem definition in the PP [9], as the security target claimed strict conformance to the PP [9].

#### 3.4.2 Conformance Rationale

The augmented organizational security policy P.Add-Functions, coming from the additional security functionality of the cryptographic libraries, the augmented assumption A.Key-Function, related to the usage of key-depending function, and the threat memory access violation T.Mem-Access, due to specific TOE memory access control functionality, have been added. These add-ons have no impact on the conformance statements regarding CC [10] and PP [9], with following rational:

- The security target remains conformant to CC [10], claim 482 as the possibility to introduce additional restrictions is given.
- The security target fulfils the strict conformance claim of the PP [9] due to the application notes 5, 6 and 7 which apply here. By those notes the addition of further security functions and security services are covered, even without deriving particular security functionality from a threat but from a policy.

### 3.4.3 Adding Objectives

Due to additional security functionality coming from following TOE modules, the subsequently assigned additional security objectives have been introduced:

- the cryptographic libraries
  - O.Add-Functions
  - O.Ctrl\_Auth\_CCL
  - O.Prot\_Integrity
  - O.Prot\_Confidentiality
- the memory access control
  - O.Mem-Access
- and objectives related to the Flash Loader
  - O.Authentication,
  - O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader,
  - O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader and
  - O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality

These add-ons have no impact on the conformance statements regarding CC [10] and PP [9] with following rational:

- The security target remains conformant to CC [10], claim 482 as the possibility to introduce additional restrictions is given.
- The security target fulfils the strict conformance of the PP [9] due to the application note 9 applying here. This note allows the definition of high-level security goals due to further functions or services provided to the Security IC Embedded Software.

### 3.4.4 AES and TDES

The PP [9] implements the optional policy cryptographic services P.Crypto\_Service with its packages TDES and AES. This TOE provides these optional packages requiring secure hardware based cryptographic services for the IC Embedded Software as outlined in chapter 7.1.5.

Due to these optional additional security functionalities the security objectives O.TDES and O.AES have been introduced. These add-ons have no impact on the conformance statements regarding CC [10] and PP [9], with following rational:

- The security target fulfils the strict conformance claim of the PP [9] due to the application notes applying here. By these notes the addition of further security functions and security services are covered, even without deriving particular security functionality from a threat or a policy.

### 3.4.5 Loader

The PP [9] implements the optional policy for applying a Loader. The Loader is used to load data into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.

The Flash Loader provides the service for authentication and implements the Package for Authentication of the Security IC containing FIA\_API.1 Authentication Proof of Identity of the TOE against a user.

This means that the user clearly can identify the TOE on his external request. This fulfils the objective O.Authentication, authentication to external entities, and obligates an objective to the environment OE.TOE\_Auth, external entities authenticating of the TOE as outlined in the PP [9].

The Loader policy defines the Package 1 with its policy P.LIM\_Block\_Loader where the Loader is dedicated for

usage in secured environment only and the Package 2 with its policy P.Ctrl\_Loader where the Loader is dedicated for usage by authorized users only.

This TOE provides a Flash Loader complying with the optional packages:

- Package 1:  
Loader dedicated for usage in secured environment only
- Package 2:  
Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only as outlined in sections 7.2 and 7.3 of the PP [9]

Due to these optional additional security functionalities the security objectives

- O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader, capability and availability of the Loader,
- O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader, access control and authenticity for the Loader and
- OE.Loader\_Usage. secure communication and usage of the Loader
- O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality, protection of the confidentiality of the TSF
- OE.Lim\_Block\_Loader, limitation of capability and blocking the Loader

have been introduced.

### **3.4.6 CIPURSE Cryptographic Library (CCL)**

The TOE provides "Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions)" including the CIPURSE™ Cryptography. This cryptographic functionality implements additional cryptographic services by implementation of a protocol providing a secure mutual authentication of two entities, namely the terminal (denoted as PCD = Proximity Coupling Device (CIPURSE™-compliant terminal)) and a smart card or a token in other form factors which is called PICC. PICC stands for Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (CIPURSE™-compliant card).

Beside the mutual authentication, the protocol implements measures to maintain the integrity of the transferred data and preserves in parallel the confidentiality of the transferred data.

Due to these additional security functionalities the security objectives

- O.Ctrl\_Auth\_CCL
- O.Prot\_Integrity
- O.Prot\_Confidentiality

have been introduced.

### **3.4.7 Summary**

All of the above add-ons have no impact on the conformance statements regarding CC [10] and PP [9], with following rational:

The security target fulfils the strict conformance claim of the PP [9] due to the application notes 9 applying here. By this note the addition of further security functions and security services are covered, even without deriving particular security functionality from a threat or a policy.

Due to the above rational, the security objectives of this security target are consistent with the statement of the security objectives in the PP [9], as the security target claims package augmentation to the PP [9].

All security functional requirements defined in the PP [9] are included and completely defined in this ST.

The following security functional requirements are taken from the Common Criteria part 2 [11] document, respectively from the package definitions taken from the PP [9] or defined in this ST:



Table 7 Security Functional Requirements

Security Functional Requirement	Description	Source
<b>By the ACLs</b>		
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1	Cryptographic key generation – by the ACL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-2	Cryptographic key generation – by the ACL-2	CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/EC-1	Cryptographic key generation – by the ACL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/EC-2	Cryptographic key generation – by the ACL-2	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/RSA-1	Cryptographic operation – RSA by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/RSA-2	Cryptographic operation – RSA by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1	Cryptographic operation – ECDSA by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2	Cryptographic operation – ECDSA by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1	Cryptographic operation – ECDH by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2	Cryptographic operation – ECDH by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]
<b>By the SCLs</b>		
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction – AES by the SCL-1	PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction – AES by the SCL-2	PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by the SCL-1	PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by the SCL-2	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation – AES by the SCL-1	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2	Cryptographic operation – AES by the SCL-2	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation – TDES by the SCL-1	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2	Cryptographic operation – TDES by the SCL-2	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation – TDES by the SCL-1	In this ST
FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction CMAC by the SCL-1	In this ST
<b>By the HSLs</b>		
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-1	Resistance to physical attack – by HSL-1	CCP2 [11]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-1	Failure with preservation of secure state – by HSL-1	CCP2 [11]
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-2	Resistance to physical attack – by HSL-2	CCP2 [11]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-2	Failure with preservation of secure state – by HSL-2	CCP2 [11]
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-3	Resistance to physical attack – by HSL-3	CCP2 [11]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-3	Failure with preservation of secure state – by HSL-3	CCP2 [11]
<b>By the CIPURSE™ CLs</b>		
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1	Cryptographic key generation by CCL-1	CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction by CCL-1	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/CCL-1	Cryptographic operation Trusted Channel by CCL-1	In this ST
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2	Cryptographic key generation by CCL-2	CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction by CCL-2	PP [9]

Security Functional Requirement	Description	Source
FCS_COP.1/CCL-2	Cryptographic operation Trusted Channel by CCL-2	In this ST
<b>By hardware and firmware</b>		
FAU_SAS.1	Audit data storage	PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/AES	Cryptographic key destruction – AES by SCP	PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES	Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by SCP	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES	Cryptographic operation – AES by SCP	PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/TDES	Cryptographic operation – TDES by SCP	PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/HPRG	Random number generation – HPRG	PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/TRNG	Random number generation - TRNG	PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/DRNG	Generation of Random Numbers - DRNG	PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/KSG	Random number generation - KSG	PP [9]
FDP_ACC.1	Subset access control	CCP2 [11]
FDP_ACC.1/Loader	Subset access control – Loader	PP [9]
FDP_ACF.1	Security attribute based access control	CCP2 [11]
FDP_ACF.1/Loader	Security attribute based access control - Loader	PP [9]
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control	PP [9]
FDP_ITT.1	Basic internal transfer protection	PP [9]
FDP_SDC.1	Stored data confidentiality	PP [9]
FDP_SDI.2	Stored data integrity monitoring and action	PP [9]
FDP_UCT.1	Basic data exchange confidentiality	PP [9]
FDP_UIT.1	Data exchange integrity	PP [9]
FIA_API.1	Authentication Proof of Identity	PP [9]
FMT_LIM.1	Limited capabilities	PP [9]
FMT_LIM.1/Loader	Limited capabilities	PP [9]
FMT_LIM.2	Limited availability	PP [9]
FMT_LIM.2/Loader	Limited availability	PP [9]
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes	CCP2 [11]
FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialization	CCP2 [11]
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management functions	CCP2 [11]
FPT_FLS.1	Failure with preservation of secure state	PP [9]
FPT_ITC.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel – Loader	PP [9]
FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	PP [9]
FPT_PHP.3	Resistance to physical attack	PP [9]
FRU_FLT.2	Limited fault tolerance	PP [9]

Note that the assignment of the in the table above selected SFRs to Flash Loader or CIPURSE™ CL do not implement an augmentation as the extension it is just to clarify the part of the TOE claiming the corresponding SFR.

The CIPURSE™ CL claims of the table above apply for both alternative versions.

The following security functional requirement is included and completely defined in this ST, section 6.

FPT_TST.2	Subset TOE security testing <sup>1</sup>
-----------	--

All assignments and selections of the security functional requirements are done in the PP [9] and in this Security Target.

### 3.5 Application Notes

The functional requirements

- FCS\_RNG.1/HPRG,
- FCS\_RNG.1/TRNG,
- FCS\_RNG.1/DRNG and
- FCS\_RNG.1/KSG

are iterations of the FCS\_RNG.1 as defined in the Protection Profile [9] according to "Anwendungshinweise und Interpretationen zum Schema (AIS)" respectively "Functionality classes and evaluation methodology for physical random number generators", AIS<sub>31</sub> [13].

---

<sup>1</sup> Requirement from the PP [9]

## 4 Security Problem Definition (ASE\_SPD)

The content of the PP [9] applies to this chapter completely.

### 4.1 Threats

The threats are directed against the assets and/or the security functions of the TOE. For example, certain attacks are only one step towards a disclosure of assets while others may directly lead to a compromise of the application security. The more detailed description of specific attacks is given later on in the process of evaluation and certification.

The threats to security are defined and described in PP [9] section 3.2, respectively for T.Masquerade\_TOE in chapter 7.2.1.

**Table 8 Threats according PP [9]**

Threat	Name
T.Phys-Manipulation	Physical Manipulation
T.Phys-Probing	Physical Probing
T.Malfunction	Malfunction due to Environmental Stress
T.Leak-Inherent	Inherent Information Leakage
T.Leak-Forced	Forced Information Leakage
T.Abuse-Func	Abuse of Functionality
T.RND	Deficiency of Random Numbers
T.Masquerade_TOE	Masquerade of the TOE

#### 4.1.1 Additional Threat due to TOE specific Functionality

##### Threat Memory Access Violation

The additional functionality of introducing sophisticated privilege levels and access control allows the secure separation between the operation system(s) and applications, the secure downloading of applications after personalization and enables multitasking by separating memory areas and performing access controls between different applications. Due to this additional functionality "area based memory access control" a new threat is introduced.

The Smartcard Embedded Software is responsible for its User data of the Composite TOE according to the assumption "Treatment of User data of the Composite TOE (A.Resp-App)". However, the Smartcard Embedded Software may comprise different parts, for instance an operating system and one or more applications. In this case, such parts may accidentally or deliberately access data (including code) of other parts, which may result in a security violation.

The TOE shall avert the threat "Memory Access Violation (T.Mem-Access)" as specified below:

<b>T.Mem-Access</b>	<b>Memory Access Violation</b>
---------------------	--------------------------------

	Parts of the Smartcard Embedded Software may cause security violations by accidentally or deliberately accessing restricted data (which may include code) or privilege levels. Any restrictions are defined by the security policy of the specific application context and must be implemented by the Smartcard Embedded Software.
--	--

	This threat is countered by the Hardware.
--	---

#### Threat Diffusion of Open Samples

The additional functionality of a Loader as defined in the PP [g], section 7.3 requires to address the following threat, as defined in the document "PP0084: Interpretation" [PP0084].

The TOE shall avert the threat "Diffusion of open Samples (T.Open\_Samples\_Diffusion)" as specified below:

<b>T.Open_Samples_Diffusion</b>	<b>Diffusion of Open Samples</b>
---------------------------------	----------------------------------

	An attacker may get access to open samples of the TOE and use them to gain information about the TSF (loader, memory management unit, ROM code ...). He may also use the open samples to characterize the behavior of the IC and its security functionalities (for example: characterization of side channel profiles, perturbation cartography ...). The execution of a dedicated security features (for example: execution of a DES computation without countermeasures or by deactivating countermeasures) through the loading of an adequate code would allow this kind of characterization and the execution of enhanced attacks on the IC.
--	--

	This threat is countered by the Flash Loader.
--	---

### 4.1.2 Assets regarding the Threats

The primary assets concern the User data which includes the user data of the Composite TOE as well as program code (Security IC Embedded Software) stored and in operation and the provided security services. These assets have to be protected while being executed and or processed and on the other hand, when the TOE is not in operation.

This leads to four primary assets with its related security concerns:

- SC1 integrity of user data of the Composite TOE
- SC2 confidentiality of user data of the Composite TOE being stored in the TOE's protected memory areas
- SC3 correct operation of the security services provided by the TOE for the Security IC Embedded Software
- SC4 continuous availability of random numbers

SC4 is an additional security service provided by this TOE which is the availability of random numbers. These random numbers are generated either by a true random number or a deterministic random number generator or by both, when a true random number is used as seed for the deterministic random number generator. Note that the generation of random numbers is a requirement of the PP [g].

To be able to protect the listed assets the TOE shall protect its security functionality as well. Therefore critical information about the TOE shall be protected. Critical information includes:

- logical design data, physical design data, IC Dedicated Software, and configuration data
- Initialization Data and Pre-personalization Data, specific development aids, test and characterization related data, material for software development support, and reticles.

**Security Problem Definition (ASE\_SPD)**

The information and material produced and/or processed by the TOE Manufacturer in the TOE development and production environment (Phases 2 up to TOE Delivery) can be grouped as follows:

- logical design data,
- physical design data,
- IC Dedicated Software, Security IC Embedded Software, Initialization Data and Pre-personalization Data,
- specific development aids,
- test and characterization related data,
- material for software development support, and
- reticles and products in any form

as long as they are generated, stored, or processed by the TOE Manufacturer.

For details see PP [9] section 3.1.

## **4.2 Organizational Security Policies**

The TOE has to be protected during the first phases of their lifecycle (phases 2 up to TOE delivery which can be after phase 3 or phase 4). Later on each variant of the TOE has to protect itself. The organizational security policy according to PP [9] covers this aspect.

<b>P.Process-TOE</b>	<b>Identification during TOE Development and Production</b> An accurate identification must be established for the TOE. This requires that each instantiation of the TOE carries this unique identification.
----------------------	---

Due to the augmentations of PP [9] and the chosen packages additional policies are introduced and described in the next chapter.

### **4.2.1 Augmented Organizational Security Policy**

Due to the augmentations of the PP [9] and the chosen packages additional policies are introduced. The TOE provides specific security functionality, which can be used by the Smartcard Embedded Software. In the following specific security functionality is listed which is not derived from threats identified for the TOE's environment because it can only be decided in the context of the smartcard application, against which threats the Smartcard Embedded Software will use the specific security functionality.

The IC Developer / Manufacturer must apply the policy "Additional Specific Security Functionality (P.Add-Functions)" as specified below.

<b>P.Add-Functions</b>	<b>Additional Specific Security Functionality</b> The TOE shall provide the following specific security functionality to the Smartcard Embedded Software: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA)</li><li>• Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC)</li><li>• CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL)</li><li>• Cipher based Message Authentication Code (CMAC by SCL-1)</li></ul>
------------------------	--

### Security Problem Definition (ASE\_SPD)

Note 2:

The cryptographic libraries SCL, CCL, RSA, EC and the Toolbox library as stated in chapter 1.1 are delivery options. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations of or even without these libraries. In the case of coming without one or any combination of the cryptographic libraries SCL, CCL, RSA, EC and the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC) and or CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library and or Cipher based Message Authentication Code (CMAC by SCL-1).

The Toolbox Library is no cryptographic library and provides no additional specific security functionality.

End of note.

The IC Developer / Manufacturer must apply the organizational security policy "Cryptographic services of the TOE (P.Crypto-Service)" as specified below:

<b>P.Crypto-Service</b>	<b>Cryptographic services of the TOE</b> The TOE provides secure hardware based cryptographic services for the IC Embedded Software: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES)</li><li>• Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)</li></ul>
-------------------------	---

Note 3:

This TOE can come with both cryptographic coprocessors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both crypto co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the customer demands prior to the production of the hardware. In case the SCP is blocked, no AES and DES respectively CMAC computation supported by hardware or SCL is possible. In case the Crypto2304T is blocked, no RSA and EC computation – of any version as stated in chapter 1.1 - supported by hardware is possible. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

End of note.

The IC Developer / Manufacturer must apply the organizational security policy "Limiting and Blocking the Loader Functionality (P.Lim\_Block\_Loader)" as specified below:

<b>P.Lim_Block_Loader</b>	<b>Limiting and Blocking the Loader Functionality</b> The composite manufacturer uses the Loader for loading of Security IC Embedded Software, user data of the Composite Product or IC Dedicated Support Software in charge of the IC Manufacturer. He limits the capability and blocks the availability of the Loader in order to protect stored data from disclosure and manipulation.
<b>P.Ctrl_Loader</b>	<b>Controlled usage to Loader Functionality</b> Authorized user controls the usage of the Loader functionality in order to protect stored and loaded user data from disclosure and manipulation.

### 4.3 Assumptions

The TOE assumptions on the operational environment are defined and described in PP [9] section 3.4. The assumptions concern the phases where the TOE has left the chip manufacturer. The support of cipher schemas requires an additional assumption.

<b>A.Process-Sec-IC</b>	<b>Protection during Packaging, Finishing and Personalization</b>
	It is assumed that security procedures are used after delivery of the TOE by the TOE Manufacturer up to delivery to the end-consumer to maintain confidentiality and integrity of the TOE and of its manufacturing and test data (to prevent any possible copy, modification, retention, theft or unauthorized use).
<b>A.Resp-Appl</b>	<b>Treatment of User data of the Composite TOE</b>
	All User data of the Composite TOE are owned by Security IC Embedded Software. Therefore, it must be assumed that security relevant User data of the Composite TOE (especially cryptographic keys) are treated by the Security IC Embedded Software as defined for its specific application context.

#### 4.3.1 Augmented Assumptions

The developer of the Smartcard Embedded Software must ensure the appropriate “Usage of Key-dependent Functions (A.Key-Function)” while developing this software in Phase 1 as specified below.

<b>A.Key-Function</b>	<b>Usage of Key-dependent Functions</b>
	Key-dependent functions (if any) shall be implemented in the Smartcard Embedded Software in a way that they are not susceptible to leakage attacks (as described under T.Leak-Inherent and T.Leak-Forced).

Note that here the routines which may compromise keys when being executed are part of the Smartcard Embedded Software. In contrast to this the threats T.Leak-Inherent and T.Leak-Forced address (i) the cryptographic routines which are part of the TOE. For details please refer to PP [9] section 3.4.

#### 4.3.2 Note regarding CIPURSE™ CL

The following is regardless of the version chosen:  
 The CIPURSE™ CL as cryptographic functionality establishes a cryptographic secured communication channel between two identified entities. Depending on the implementation and usage, the CIPURSE™ CL can act either in the PICC or in the PCD role. In any case the secrets applied for establishing and usage of the secured channel must be treated in an appropriate way by both entities PICC and PCD.  
 This means that it is essential on user side that the critical data for establishing this cryptographic secured communication channel is generated and stored in an appropriate way and that integrity and confidentiality is maintained.  
 These preconditions are treated in the PP [9] section 3.1 claims 67 and 68, and are the reason for not placing an assumption here.



## 5 Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)

This section shows the subjects and objects where are relevant to the TOE.

A short overview is given in the following.

The user has the following standard high-level security goals related to the assets:

- SG1 maintain the integrity of user data (when being executed/processed and when being stored in the TOE's memories)
- SG2 maintain the confidentiality of user data (when being executed/processed and when being stored in the TOE's memories)
- SG3 maintain the correct operation of the security services provided by the TOE for the Security IC Embedded Software
- SG4 provision of random numbers.

### 5.1 Security objectives for the TOE

The security objectives of the TOE are defined and described in PP [9] section 4.1, 7.2.1, 7.3.1, 7.3.2, 7.4.1 and 7.4.2.

**Table 9 Objectives for the TOE according to PP [9]**

O.Phys-Manipulation	Protection against Physical Manipulation
O.Phys-Probing	Protection against Physical Probing
O.Malfunction	Protection against Malfunction
O.Leak-Inherent	Protection against Inherent Information Leakage
O.Leak-Forced	Protection against Forced Information Leakage
O.Abuse-Func	Protection against Abuse of Functionality
O.Identification	TOE Identification
O.RND	Random Numbers
O.Cap_Avail_Loader	Capability and availability of the Loader Valid only for the TOE derivatives delivered with activated Flash Loader.
O.Authentication	Authentication to external entities Valid only for the TOE derivatives delivered with activated Flash Loader
O.Ctrl_Auth_Loader	Access control and authenticity for the Loader - valid only for the TOE derivatives delivered with activated Flash Loader
O.TDES	Cryptographic service Triple-DES
O.AES	Cryptographic service AES

### Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)

Note 4:

The objectives O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader, O. Authentication, O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader and O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality apply only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for software or data download by the user. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user software or data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software these objectives may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.

End of note.

The TOE provides "Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions)" as specified below.

O.Add-Functions	Additional Specific Security Functionality
	The TOE must provide the following specific security functionality to the Smartcard Embedded Software: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA)</li><li>• Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC)</li><li>• CIPURSE™ Cryptography</li><li>• Cipher base Message authentication code (CMAC)</li></ul>

Note 5:

The cryptographic libraries SCL, CCL, RSA, EC and the Toolbox library are delivery options, regardless of the version chosen. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations of or even without these libraries. In the case of coming without one or any combination of the cryptographic libraries SCL, CCL, RSA, and EC the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC) and/or Cipher based Message Authentication Code (CMAC by SCL) and/or CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library.

The Toolbox Library is no cryptographic library and provides no additional specific security functionality  
End of note.

Note 6:

This TOE can come with both crypto co-processors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both crypto co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the customer demands prior to the production of the hardware. In case the SCP is blocked, no AES and DES computation supported by hardware is possible. In case the Crypto2304T is blocked, no RSA and EC computation – in any of the version stated in chapter 1.1 - supported by hardware is possible. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

End of note.

Note 7:

In case the SCP is blocked, no AES, no DES and no Cipher based Message Authentication Code (CMAC by SCL) computation supported by hardware is possible and thus the CIPURSE™ CL and SCL usage is not possible. This is regardless of the library version chosen.

End of note.

**Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)**

The TOE shall provide “Area based Memory Access Control (O.Mem-Access)” as specified below.

<b>O.Mem Access</b>	<p><b>Area based Memory Access Control</b></p> <p>The TOE must provide the Smartcard Embedded Software with the capability to define restricted access memory areas. The TOE must then enforce the partitioning of such memory areas so that access of software to memory areas and privilege levels is controlled as required, for example, in a multi-application environment.</p>
---------------------	--

The additional functionality of a Loader as defined in the PP [9], section 7.3 requires to address the following objective, as defined in the document “PPoo84: Interpretation” [PPoo84].

The TOE shall provide “Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF (O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality)” as specified below:

<b>O.Prot_TSF_Confidentiality</b>	<p><b>Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF</b></p> <p>The TOE must provide protection against disclosure of confidential operations of the Security IC (loader, memory management unit ...) through the use of a dedicated code loaded on open samples.</p>
-----------------------------------	---

If the CIPURSE™ CL is ordered the additional functionality address the following objectives as defined in this document:

<b>O.Ctrl_Auth_CCL</b>	<p><b>Authentication of entities</b></p> <p>The CIPURSE™ CL must implement mutual authentication to establish a ready to use secure communication channel between two authenticated entities before any other communication between the two entities is applied.</p>
------------------------	--

<b>O.Prot_Integrity</b>	<p><b>Integrity protection</b></p> <p>The CIPURSE™ CL must implement integrity protection functionality for the user data to be exchanged via the secure communication channel.</p>
-------------------------	---

<b>O.Prot_Confidentiality</b>	<p><b>Confidentiality protection</b></p> <p>The CIPURSE™ CL must protect the confidentiality of the user data to be exchanged via the secure communication channel if the user configures accordingly.</p>
-------------------------------	--

**Table 10 Additional objectives due to TOE specific functions and augmentations**

<b>O.Add-Functions</b>	Additional specific security functionality
<b>O.Mem-Access</b>	Area based Memory Access Control
<b>O.Prot_TSF_Confidentiality</b>	Protection of the confidentiality of the TSF
<b>O.Ctrl_Auth_CCL</b>	Authentication of entities
<b>O.Prot_Integrity</b>	Integrity protection
<b>O.Prot_Confidentiality</b>	Confidentiality protection

## 5.2 Security Objectives from PP for development and environment

The security objectives for the security IC embedded software development environment and the operational environment are defined in PP [9] section 4.2, 4.3, 7.2.1 and 7.3.

For secure use of each of the version of the CIPURSE™ CL it is essential that on user side the common secret is generated and stored in an appropriate way and that integrity and confidentiality of this user secret is maintained. These preconditions are treated in the PP [9] section 3.1 claims 67 and 68.

The operational environment of the TOE shall provide "Limitation of capability and blocking the Loader "OE.Lim\_Block\_Loader", "External entities authentication of the TOE "OE.TOE\_Auth" and "Secure communication and usage of the Loader "OE.Loader-Usage" as specified below:

<b>OE.Lim_Block_Loader</b>	<b>Limitation of capability and blocking the Loader</b> The Composite Product Manufacturer will protect the Loader functionality against misuse, limit the capability of the Loader and terminate irreversibly the Loader after intended usage of the Loader.
<b>OE.TOE_Auth</b>	<b>Authentication to external entities</b> The operational environment shall support the authentication verification mechanism and know authentication reference data of the TOE.
<b>OE.Loader_Usage</b>	<b>Secure communication and usage of the Loader</b> The authorized user must support the trusted communication with the TOE by confidentiality protection and authenticity proof of the data to be loaded and fulfilling the access conditions required by the Loader.

Note 8:

The objectives OE.Lim\_Block\_Loader, OE.TOE\_Auth and OE.Loader\_Usage for the development and operation environment apply only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for user data download. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software this objective may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.  
End of note.

## 5.3 Security Objectives for the Environment

Since the CIPURSE™ CL requires the presence of a common secret on both communication entities an additional objective for the environment is generated:

Following objectives are defined here.

<b>OE.Resp-Appl</b>	<b>Treatment of User data of the Composite TOE</b> Please refer to chapter 5.3.1 for clarification
---------------------	---

Note 9:

The following is regardless of the versions chosen: The CIPURSE™ CL is a delivery option. In case the user has ordered the CIPURSE™ CL, the user is responsible to implement the CIPURSE™ CL into his user software. In addition, the user has to generate and treat the common secret in an appropriate way. The objective common secret is therefore similar to the OE.Resp-Appl. Anyhow, processes and treatment is exclusively subject of the user and his logistic processes.  
End of note.

**Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)**

Note 10:

The following is regardless of the versions chosen: If the user decides to use the integrity protection mode of the CIPURSE™ CL then the confidentiality of the user data to be transferred is solely subject of the user.  
 End of note.

Note 11:

The following is regardless of the versions chosen: The CIPURSE™ CL as cryptographic functionality establishes a cryptographic secured communication channel between two identified entities. Depending on the implementation and usage, the CIPURSE™ CL can act either in the PICC or in the PCD role. In any case the secrets applied for establishing and usage of the secured channel must be treated in an appropriate way by both entities PICC and PCD.

This means that it is essential on user side that the critical data for establishing this cryptographic secured communication channel is generated and stored in an appropriate way and that integrity and confidentiality is maintained.

These preconditions are treated in the PP [g] section 3.1 claims 67 and 68, and are the reason for not placing an objective for the environment here.

End of note.

The table below lists the security objectives.

**Table 11 Security Objectives for the Environment according to the PP [g]**

Phase 1	OE.Resp-Appl	Treatment of User data of the Composite TOE
Phase 5 – 6 optional Phase 4	OE.Process-Sec-IC	Protection during composite product manufacturing
Phase 5 – 6 optional Phase 4	OE.Lim_Block_Loader (1)	Limitation of capability and blocking the loader.
	OE.TOE_Auth (1)	Authentication to external entities
	OE.Loader_Usage (1)	Secure communication and usage of the Loader

(1) These objectives are only valid if the TOE is delivered with active Flash Loader.

**5.3.1 Clarification of “Treatment of User Data (OE.Resp-Appl)”**

Regarding the cryptographic services this objective of the environment has to be clarified.

By definition cipher or plain text data and cryptographic keys are user data of the Composite TOE. The Smartcard Embedded Software shall treat these data appropriately, use only proper secret keys (chosen from a large key space) as input for the cryptographic function of the TOE and use keys and functions appropriately in order to ensure the strength of cryptographic operation.

This means that keys are treated as confidential as soon as they are generated. The keys must be unique with a very high probability, as well as cryptographically strong. For example, it must be ensured that it is beyond practicality to derive the private key from a public key if asymmetric algorithms are used. If keys are imported into the TOE and/or derived from other keys, quality and confidentiality must be maintained. This implies that appropriate key management has to be realized in the environment.

Regarding the memory, software and firmware protection and the SFR and peripheral access rights handling these objectives of the environment has to be clarified. The treatment of user data of the Composite TOE is also required when a multi-application operating system is implemented as part of the Smartcard Embedded Software on the TOE. In this case the multi-application operating system should not disclose security relevant user data of one application to another application when it is processed or stored on the TOE.

### 5.3.2 Clarification of “Protection during Composite product Manufacturing (OE.Process-Sec-IC)”

The protection during packaging, finishing and personalization includes also the personalization process (Flash Loader) and the personalization data (TOE software components) during Phase 4, Phase 5 and Phase 6.

## 5.4 Security Objectives Rationale

The security objectives rationale of the TOE are defined and described in PP [9] section 4.4. For organizational security policy P.Add-Functions, OE.Plat-Appl and OE.Resp-Appl the rationale is given in the following description.

**Table 12 Security Objectives Rationale**

Assumption, Threat or Organizational Security Policy	Security Objective
A.Key-Function	OE.Resp-Appl
P.Add-Functions	O.Add-Functions O.Ctrl_Auth_CCL O.Prot_Integrity O.Prot_Confidentiality
P.Crypto-Service	O.TDES
P.Crypto-Service	O.AES
P.Ctrl_Loader	O.Ctrl_Auth_Loader O.Authentication OE.Process-Sec-IC
P.Ctrl_Loader	OE.Loader_Usage OE.TOE_Auth OE.Process-Sec-IC
P.Lim_Block_Loader	O.Cap_Avail_Loader OE.Process-Sec-IC
P.Lim_Block_Loader	OE.Lim_Block_Loader OE.Process-Sec-IC
T.Masquerade	O.Authentication OE.TOE_Auth OE.Process-Sec-IC
T.Mem-Access	O.Mem-Access
T.Open_Samples__Diffusion	O.Prot_TSF_Confidentiality O.Leak-Inherent O.Leak-Forced OE.Process-Sec-IC

## 5.5 P.Add-Functions

The justification related to the security objective “Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions)” is as follows: Since O.Add-Functions requires the TOE to implement exactly the same specific security functionality as required by P.Add-Functions; the organizational security policy is covered by the objective.

Nevertheless the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced define how to implement the specific security functionality required by P.Add-Functions. (Note that these objectives support that the specific security functionality is provided in a secure way as expected from P.Add-Functions.) Especially O.Leak-Inherent and O.Leak-Forced refer to the protection of confidential data (User data of the Composite TOE or TSF data) in general. User data of the Composite TOE are also processed by the specific security functionality required by P.Add-Functions.

The policy P.Add functions includes the function CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL). This functionality implements the objectives O.Ctrl\_Auth\_CCL, O.Prot\_Integrity and O.Prot\_Confidentiality. The CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) first prepares and establishes a secure communication channel between two authenticated entities. The mutual authentication means covers the objective O.Ctrl\_Auth\_CCL. The implemented means of session key generation and integrity protection prepares for the confidential and integrity protected exchange of user data between the two authenticated entities. Thus the objectives O.Prot\_Integrity and O.Prot\_Confidentiality are covered too.

The policy P.Add functions includes the function Cipher base Message authentication code (CMAC). This functionality is implemented by the optional software SCL and implements the option to protect the integrity of a user data block with a message authentication code. The computing of the CMAC requires the use of either AES or TDES, as outlined in the chapter P.Crypto-Service 5.9 and also implemented by the optional software SCL.

## 5.6 A.Key-Function

Compared to the PP [9] a further clarification has been made for the security objective “Treatment of user data of the Composite TOE (OE.Resp-Appl)”: By definition cipher or plain text data and cryptographic keys are user data of the Composite TOE. So, the Smartcard Embedded Software will protect such data if required and use keys and functions appropriately in order to ensure the strength of cryptographic operation. Quality and confidentiality must be maintained for keys that are imported and/or derived from other keys. This implies that appropriate key management has to be realized in the environment. That is expressed by the assumption A.Key—Function which is covered from OE.Resp—Appl. These measures make sure that the assumption A.Resp-Appl is still covered by the security objective OE.Resp-Appl although additional functions are being supported according to P.Add-Functions.

## 5.7 T.Mem-Access

Compared to the PP [9] an enhancement regarding memory area protection has been established. The clear definition of privilege levels for operated software establishes the clear separation of different restricted memory areas for running the firmware – regardless of the version in use -, downloading and/or running the operating system and to establish a clear separation between different applications. Nevertheless, it is also possible to define a shared memory section where separated applications may exchange defined data. The privilege levels clearly define by using a hierarchical model the access right from one level to the other. These measures ensure that the threat T.Mem-Access is clearly covered by the security objective O.Mem-Access.

## 5.8 P.Ctrl\_Loader, P.Lim\_Block\_Loader, T.Masquerade and T.Open\_Samples\_Diffusion

The PP [9] section 7.3 considers the life cycle phases of the TOE also with the organizational policy P.Lim\_Block\_Loader and P.Ctrl\_Loader as the TOE must be protected against unauthorized usage and control and against download of malicious software before, during and after the user downloads his software. This is formalized with the objectives O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader, O.Authentication and O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader requiring authentication of the TOE to external entities and a trusted communication channel. The O.Authentication implements a mutual authentication and involves the environment therefore.

And the policies cover also the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC at user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the Flash Loader is operated.

The implemented mutual authentication requires first to authenticate the TOE to the external user. This requires also knowledge by the user about the sequence of the protocol, interpretation of the transferred data and how to start the authentication of the TOE. This authentication mean counters the threat T.Masquerade\_TOE as only the genuine TOE is able to identify itself correctly to the enabled user, which covers O.Authentication.

The second step of the mutual authentications mean implements the authentication of the user to the TOE. Only the user enabled to present the correct authentication data and knowing about the sequence, data interpretation and signaling of the authentication to the TOE is able to proceed further on.

This enforces OE.TOE\_Auth, requiring the support of the verification mechanism and known authentication reference data by the operational environment.

The protection against the threat T.Masquerade\_TOE covers the policy P.Ctrl\_Loader with the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC for the user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the mutual authentication of the Flash Loader is conducted. At the moment the allowed user authenticates correctly the Flash Loader usage is in responsibility of the user.

It is normal business that products enabled for software download by the user are either on their way to the user or are already stored at user premises. At both situations it cannot be excluded that an attacker could manage to steal such products enabled for software downloads. The ability to download software on a chip without operating system and/or application is defined as open sample.

This situation generates the threat T.Open\_Samples\_Diffusion which is defined as follows:

The download of analysis software could enable an attacker to characterize the product and to construct an attack path out of the gained information.

This threat is countered by the Flash Loader with following rational:

As long as the Flash Loader is active, controlled usage to the Flash Loader functionality (P.Ctrl\_Loader) is enforced which protects the TOE from achieving the status of being an open sample. And more, even the attacker could observe, meaning in the sense of measurements during, or induce faults during an authorized download, the Flash Loader protects the user data of the download by confidentiality and integrity protection means. The Flash Loader functionalities of mutual authentication, establishing a dedicated trusted communication channel, the encryption and integrity protection means cover the objectives O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality, O.Leak-Inherent and O.Leak-Forced.

The protection against the threat T.Open\_Samples\_Diffusion covers the policy P.Ctrl\_Loader with the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC for the user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the mutual authentication and operation of the Flash Loader is conducted. At the moment the allowed user authenticates correctly the Flash Loader usage is in responsibility of the user.

The policy P.Ctrl\_Loader covers also the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC at user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the Flash Loader is operated.

Note regarding the Flash Loader:

The algorithm strength of the Flash Loader has not been cryptographic-analytically evaluated by the BSI, as Infineon has implemented a proprietary extension.

End of note.



**Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)**

The objective O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader Access control and authenticity for the Loader is covered by following rational:

The identification of the communication entities of the Flash Loader requires the presence of dedicated identification data for passing successfully the mutual authentication. This enforces the policy P.Ctrl\_Loader comprising the aspect of the mutual authentication. After successful authentication the user is enabled to change the keys used for authentication and downloading the user data. This first user is defined as the administrator. The TOE can then further be operated for example by a service partner who is defined as being the Download Operator. The equal protecting means as for the Administrator apply here again but due to the key change different roles are established. The Download Operator downloads then the encrypted user data with the Flash Loader into the defined and accessible SOLID FLASH™ NVM area. This area is access protected by the MMU.

The policy O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader covers also the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC at user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the Flash Loader is operated.

This objective O.Leak-Inherent is covered with following rational:

This false download threat is countered with the mutual authentication mean as only the correct identified user is able to download the user intended software and data. Since it is not practical for an attacker to authenticate correctly a threatening download of attack software is countered. By that possible confidential user data already stored on the TOE remain protected from disclosure by this method.

If the user is the attacker, or does not follow the user guidance, or bad designed user software implements weaknesses, the user data remain protected anyway, since even after passing the mutual authentication of the loader the download is conducted encrypted only. And even more, a different encryption is applied to store the data in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. Since also the number of Flash Loader trials is limited even comprehensive side channel analysis would not leave sufficient information to conduct a successful attack.

The implemented authentication means cover also the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC at user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the Flash Loader is operated.

This objective O.Leak-Forced is covered with following rational:

Another method to gain information is to force information leakage of confidential data processed in the TOE. Such forcing requires malfunction or physical manipulation. Inducing errors of any kind during data processing will be discovered by the Integrity Guard with high probability which leads to a security reset. Failures induced during the mutual authentication or encrypted download process of the Flash Loader will also be discovered as the perturbation of the sequences leads to fail of the process with trial counter decrement or a fail of the integrity check of the downloaded data will occur. It would anyway not be practical to induce targeted errors as any process managing data is protected due to the permanent and differently data encryption and integrity protection on the TOE.

Physical static manipulation requires the presence of a worthwhile target. This is on one hand hard to identify and would require intensive reverse engineering due to the topological means such as synthesis of the TOE and other means. But, on the other hand, if we assume than an attacker could identify such spot, and the physical preparation was successful too and thus it was possible to probe the targeted signal, then, even assumed the attacker could analyze the traffic on the signal, the results would be worthless, since the signal data is encrypted or masked. Thus, the data remains confidentiality protected even outside the TOE, since the analyst neither has neither the encryption algorithm nor the key.

The Integrity Guard concept and encryption of memory contents are always present and cover also the environmental objective OE.Process-Sec-IC at user premises, as it must be regardless in which environment the Flash Loader is operated.

All requirements are fulfilled by the Flash Loader due the strong mutual authentication means enabling only the authorized user for the download, due to the download of encrypted data only and due to the final locking command to be applied by the user before delivery. As a consequence the operational environment objectives OE.Lim\_Block\_Loader, OE.TOE\_Auth and OE.Loader\_Usage obligate the composite manufacturer to protect the authentication data (e.g. keys) against misuse and limit the capability of the Loader.

**Security objectives (ASE\_OBJ)**

In addition, the user guidance implements the obligation to permanent disable the Flash Loader prior delivery to the end-user.

The package 1 defines the final locking of the Flash Loader prior delivery to the end-user and the usage in secure environment. The package 2 defines that the Flash Loader can be used also in insecure environment. By claiming both packages the user has the choice to apply the active Flash Loader either in insecure or in secure environment and achieves by that a maximum of flexibility. Anyhow, the user is obligated to lock the Flash Loader prior delivery to the end-user in both cases. This is an obligation implemented by the user guidance. The Flash Loader provides the required functionality to be applied by the composite manufacturer for covering these objectives.

The objectives O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader, O.Authentication, O.Loader\_Usage and O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality and the organizational policies P.Lim\_Block\_Loader and P.Ctrl\_Loader as discussed in the PP [9] section 7.2 and 7.3 apply only at TOE products at the life cycle phase delivery, if these products come with activated Flash Loader enabled for software or data download by the user. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user software or data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software these objectives may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.

## **5.9 P.Crypto-Service**

The PP [9] includes the organizational security policy P.Crypto-Service Cryptographic services of the TOE in a different extend as it formalizes the objectives O.TDES and O.AES.

For the objective O.TDES a concrete standard reference (NIST) with operational modes is given the implementation must follow and also the cryptographic key destruction is regulated. The implementation complies to the given security functional requirements and the objective O.TDES is met.

For the objective O.AES a concrete standard reference (NIST) with a selection of key lengths is given the implementation must follow and also the cryptographic key destruction is regulated. The implementation complies to the given security functional requirements and the objective O.AES is met.

For the objective O.AES a concrete standard reference with an algorithm selection is given the implementation must follow. The implementation complies to the given security functional requirements and the objective O.AES is met.

The justification of the additional policy and the additional assumption show that they do not contradict to the rationale already given in the Protection Profile for the assumptions, policy and threats defined there.

## 6 Extended Component Definition (ASE\_ECD)

There are following extended components defined and described for the TOE:

- the family **FCS\_RNG** at the class FCS Cryptographic Support
- the family **FMT\_LIM** at the class FMT Security Management
- the family **FAU\_SAS** at the class FAU Security Audit
- the component **FDP\_SDC.1** at the class FDP User Data Protection
- the component **FPT\_TST.2** at the class FPT Protection of the TSF
- the component **FIA\_API.1** at the class FIA Identification and Authentication

The extended components **FCS\_RNG**, **FMT\_LIM**, **FAU\_SAS**, **FDP\_SDC** and **FIA\_API** are defined and described in PP [9] section 5 and the extended component **FIA\_API** is defined and described in PP [9] section 7.2. The component **FPT\_TST.2** is defined in the following.

### 6.1 Component Subset TOE security testing (FPT\_TST.2)

The security is strongly dependent on the correct operation of the security functions. Therefore, the TOE shall support that particular security functions or mechanisms are tested in the operational phase (Phase 7). The tests can be initiated by the Smartcard Embedded Software and/or by the TOE or is done automatically and continuously.

Part 2 of the Common Criteria provides the security functional component "TSF testing (FPT\_TST.1)". The component **FPT\_TST.1** provides the ability to test the TSF's correct operation.

For the user it is important to know which security functions or mechanisms can be tested. The functional component **FPT\_TST.1** does not mandate to explicitly specify the security functions being tested. In addition, **FPT\_TST.1** requires verification of the integrity of TSF data and of the stored TSF executable code which might violate the security policy. Therefore, the functional component "**Subset TOE security testing (FPT\_TST.2)**" of the family TSF self-test has been newly created. This component allows that particular parts of the security mechanisms and functions provided by the TOE are tested.


#### 6.1.1 Definition of FPT\_TST.2

The functional component "Subset TOE security testing (FPT\_TST.2)" has been newly created (Common Criteria Part 2 extended). This component allows that particular parts of the security mechanisms and functions provided by the TOE can be tested after TOE Delivery or are tested automatically and continuously during normal operation transparent for the user.

This security functional component is used instead of the functional component **FPT\_TST.1** from Common Criteria Part 2. For the user it is important to know which security functions or mechanisms can be tested. The functional component **FPT\_TST.1** does not mandate to explicitly specify the security functions being tested. In addition, **FPT\_TST.1** requires verifying the integrity of TSF data and stored TSF executable code which might violate the security policy.

The functional component "Subset TOE testing (FPT\_TST.2)" is specified as follows (Common Criteria Part 2 extended).

### 6.1.2 TSF self-test (FPT\_TST)

<p><b>Family Behavior</b></p> <p><b>Component leveling</b></p>	<p>The Family Behavior is defined in [11] section 15.14 (442, 443).</p> 
<p><b>FPT_TST.1:</b></p> <p><b>FPT_TST.2:</b></p>	<p>The component FPT_TST.1 is defined in [11] section 15.14 (444, 445 and 446).</p> <p>Subset TOE security testing, provides the ability to test the correct operation of particular security functions or mechanisms. These tests may be performed at start-up, periodically, at the request of the authorized user, or when other conditions are met. It also provides the ability to verify the integrity of TSF data and executable code.</p>
<p><b>Management</b></p> <p><b>FPT_TST.2</b></p>	<p>The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:</p> <p>Management of the conditions under which subset TSF self-testing occurs, such as during initial start-up, regular interval or under specified conditions</p> <p>Management of the time of the interval appropriate.</p>
<p><b>Audit: FPT_TST.2</b></p>	<p>There are no auditable events foreseen.</p>
<p><b>FPT_TST.2</b></p> <p><b>Hierarchical to:</b></p> <p><b>Dependencies:</b></p> <p><b>FPT_TST.2.1:</b></p>	<p><b>Subset TOE testing</b></p> <p>No other components.</p> <p>No dependencies.</p> <p>The TSF shall run a suite of self-tests [selection: during initial start-up, periodically during normal operation, at the request of the authorized user, and/or at the conditions [assignment: conditions under which self-test should occur]] to demonstrate the correct operation of [assignment: functions and/or mechanisms].</p>

## 7 Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)

For this section the PP [9] section 6 can be applied completely.

### 7.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

The security functional requirements (SFR) for the TOE are defined and described in the PP [9] section 6.1 and in the following description.

Following table provides an overview of the functional security requirements of the TOE, marks the source it is taken from and whether it is a defined, refined or augmented.

The refinements are also valid for this ST.

In the following table the abbreviation PP stands for Protection Profile and CCx for the related Common Criteria part which is indicated by the "x".

**Table 13 Security Functional Requirements defined / refined / augmented by source**

Security Functional Requirement	Description	Source	Refined/Defined/ Augmented
<b>By the ACLs</b>			
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1	Cryptographic key generation- RSA by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-2	Cryptographic key generation- RSA by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/EC-1	Cryptographic key generation- EC by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/EC-2	Cryptographic key generation- EC by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/RSA-1	Cryptographic operation – RSA by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/RSA-2	Cryptographic operation – RSA by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1	Cryptographic operation – ECDSA by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2	Cryptographic operation – ECDSA by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1	Cryptographic operation – ECDH by ACL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2	Cryptographic operation – ECDH by ACL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
<b>By the SCLs</b>			
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation TDES by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction TDES by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation AES by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction AES by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1	Cryptographic operation CMAC by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction CMAC by SCL-1	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2	Cryptographic operation TDES by SCL-2	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction TDES by SCL-2	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2	Cryptographic operation AES by SCL-2	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction AES by SCL-2	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]

Security Functional Requirement	Description	Source	Refined/Defined/Augmented
<b>By the CIPURSE™ CLs (4)</b>			
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1	Cryptographic key generation - CIPURSE™ CL by CCL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1	Cryptographic key destruction - CIPURSE™ CL by CCL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/CCL-1	Cryptographic operation CIPURSE™ CL Trusted Channel by CCL-1	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2	Cryptographic key generation - CIPURSE™ CL by CCL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2	Cryptographic key destruction - CIPURSE™ CL by CCL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
FCS_COP.1/CCL-2	Cryptographic operation CIPURSE™ CL Trusted Channel by CCL-2	CCP2 [11]	Defined in CCP2 [11]
<b>By the HSLs (3)</b>			
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-1	Resistance to physical attack by HSL-1	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-1	Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-1	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-2	Resistance to physical attack by HSL-2	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-2	Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-2	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-3	Resistance to physical attack by HSL-3	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-3	Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-3	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
<b>By Hardware and Firmware</b>			
FCS_CKM.4/AES	Cryptographic key destruction – AES by SCP	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/AES	Cryptographic operation – AES by SCP	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_CKM.4/TDES	Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by SCP	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_COP.1/TDES	Cryptographic operation – TDES by SCP	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FAU_SAS.1	Audit data storage	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/DRNG	Generation of Random Numbers - DRNG	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/HPRG	Random number generation – HPRG	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/KSG	Random number generation - KSG	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FCS_RNG.1/TRNG	Random number generation - TRNG	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FDP_ACC.1	Subset access control	CCP2 [11]	CCP2 [11], augmented
FDP_ACC.1/Loader	Subset access control – Loader	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FDP_ACF.1	Security attribute based access control	CCP2 [11]	CCP2 [11], augmented

Security Functional Requirement	Description	Source	Refined/Defined/Augmented
FDP_ACF.1/Loader	Security attribute based access control - Loader	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FDP_ITT.1	Basic internal transfer protection	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FDP_SDC.1	Stored data confidentiality	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FDP_SDI.2	Stored data integrity monitoring and action	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FDP_UCT.1	Basic data exchange confidentiality	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FDP_UIT.1	Data exchange integrity	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FIA_API.1	Authentication Proof of Identity	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FMT_LIM.1	Limited capabilities	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FMT_LIM.1/Loader	Limited capabilities	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FMT_LIM.2	Limited availability	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FMT_LIM.2/Loader	Limited availability	PP [9]	Defined in PP [9]
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes	CCP2 [11]	CCP2 [11], augmented
FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialization	CCP2 [11]	CCP2 [11], augmented
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management functions	CCP2 [11]	CCP2 [11], augmented
FPT_FLS.1 (3)	Failure with preservation of secure state	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FTP_ITC.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel – Loader	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_PHP.3 (3)	Resistance to physical attack	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]
FPT_TST.2	TOE security testing	ST [8]	Defined in ST [8]
FRU_FLT.2	Limited fault tolerance	PP [9]	Refined in PP [9]

- (1) Applies in two alternative operation modes: one mode preserves integrity protected communication and the second preserves integrity and confidential communication. Choosing the mode is up to the user and his responsibility. For example, the integrity protected mode can be used at secure premises, i.e. where eavesdropping is no issue, and the second mode can be applied where the environment is out of control of the user.
- (2) These security functional requirements SFR apply only if the SCL- meaning one of the two alternatives - is on board of the delivered TOE products.
- (3) If the HSL is on board and in the correct configuration, the TOE is protected against modification of data to be written respectively programmed into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM by sudden power off situations. False modified data in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM lead whenever read to termination of the chip operation and renders thereby the chip useless. This scenario is prevented by the SFRs FPT\_FLS.1/HSL and FPT\_PHP.3/HSL contributing to the objective O.Malfunction.

These claims apply regardless of the CIPURSE™ CL version chosen.

All assignments and selections of the security functional requirements of the TOE are done in PP [9] and in the following description.

Notes:

**Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)**

- The security functional requirements valid for the ACL in both alternative versions are FCS\_CKM.1/EC, FCS\_CKM.1/RSA, FCS\_COP.1/ECDH, FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA and FCS\_COP.1/RSA. These SFRs apply only if the corresponding asymmetric cryptographic library is on board.
- The security functional requirements FMT\_LIM.1/Loader, FMT\_LIM.2/Loader, FIA\_API.1, FTP\_ITC.1, FDP\_UCT.1, FDP\_UIT.1, FDP\_ACC.1/Loader and FDP\_ACF.1/Loader applying only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for user data download. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software these security functional requirements may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.
- The security functional requirements FCS\_COP.1/CCL-1, FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-1, FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-1, FCS\_COP.1/CCL-2, FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-2 and FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-2 apply only if one of the CCL versions is on board and if the operation mode confidential communication is chosen.

## **7.1.1 Extended Components FCS\_RNG.1 and FAU\_SAS.1**

### **7.1.1.1 FCS\_RNG**

To define the IT security functional requirements of the TOE an additional family (FCS\_RNG) of the Class FCS (cryptographic support) is defined in the PP [9]. This family describes the functional requirements for random number generation used for cryptographic purposes.

Please note that the national regulation are outlined in PP [9] chapter 7.5.1 and in AIS31 [13]. These regulations apply for this TOE.

Note 12:

The functional requirements FCS\_RNG.1/TRNG, FCS\_RNG.1/HPRG, FCS\_RNG.1/DRNG, FCS\_RNG.1/KSG, are iterations of the FCS\_RNG.1 defined in the PP [9] according to "Anwendungshinweise und Interpretationen zum Schema (AIS)" respectively "A proposal for: Functionality classes for random number generators" [13].

End of note.

Note 13:

The Physical True Random Number Generator PTRNG implements total failure test of the random source and a continuous RNG test according to:

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules, Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 140-2, 2002-03-12, chapter 4.9.2.

End of note.

Together with the guidelines in [6] the hybrid PTRNG of this TOE provides random numbers conformant to several quality metrics as defined in [13]. Depending on the user configuration the TOE provide the according random number quality. For each addressed quality metric of [13] the definitions are made in the following chapters.



### 7.1.1.1.1 True Random Number Generation, meeting AIS31 PTG.2

<b>FCS_RNG.1/TRNG</b>	<b>Random Number Generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FCS_RNG.1/TRNG</b>	Random numbers generation <b>Class PTG.2</b> according to [13]
<b>FCS_RNG.1.1/TRNG</b>	The TSF shall provide a <i>physical</i> random number generator that implements:
PTG.2.1	<i>A total failure test detects a total failure of entropy source immediately when the RNG has started. When a total failure is detected, no random numbers will be output.</i>
PTG.2.2	<i>If a total failure of the entropy source occurs while the RNG is being operated, the RNG prevents the output of any internal random number that depends on some raw random numbers that have been generated after the total failure of the entropy source.</i>
PTG.2.3	<i>The online test shall detect non-tolerable statistical defects of the raw random number sequence (i) immediately when the RNG has started, and (ii) while the RNG is being operated. The TSF must not output any random numbers before the power-up online test has finished successfully or when a defect has been detected.</i>
PTG.2.4	<i>The online test procedure shall be effective to detect non-tolerable weaknesses of the random numbers soon.</i>
PTG.2.5	<i>The online test procedure checks the quality of the raw random number sequence. It is triggered continuously. The online test is suitable for detecting non-tolerable statistical defects of the statistical properties of the raw random numbers within an acceptable period of time.</i>
<b>FCS_RNG.1.2/TRNG</b>	The TSF shall provide numbers in the format 8- or 16-bit that meet
PTG.2.6	<i>Test procedure A, as defined in [13] does not distinguish the internal random numbers from output sequences of an ideal RNG.</i>
PTG.2.7	<i>The average Shannon entropy per internal random bit exceeds 0.997.</i>

### 7.1.1.1.2 Hybrid Random Number Generation, meeting AIS<sub>31</sub> PTG.3

<b>FCS_RNG.1/HPRG</b>	<b>Random Number Generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FCS_RNG.1/HPRG</b>	Random numbers generation <b>Class PTG.3</b> according to [13]
<b>FCS_RNG.1.1/HPRG</b>	The TSF shall provide a <i>hybrid physical</i> random number generator that implements:
PTG.3.1	<i>A total failure test detects a total failure of entropy source immediately when the RNG has started. When a total failure has been detected no random numbers will be output.</i>
PTG.3.2	<i>If a total failure of the entropy source occurs while the RNG is being operated, the RNG prevents the output of any internal random number that depends on some raw random numbers that have been generated after the total failure of the entropy source.</i>
PTG.3.3	<i>The online test shall detect non-tolerable statistical defects of the raw random number sequence (i) immediately when the RNG has started, and (ii) while the RNG is being operated. The TSF must not output any random numbers before the power-up online test and the seeding of the DRG.3 post-processing algorithm have been finished successfully or when a defect has been detected.</i>
PTG.3.4	<i>The online test procedure shall be effective to detect non-tolerable weaknesses of the random numbers soon.</i>
PTG.3.5	<i>The online test procedure checks the raw random number sequence. It is triggered continuously. The online test is suitable for detecting non-tolerable statistical defects of the statistical properties of the raw random numbers within an acceptable period of time.</i> <i>Note:</i> <i>Continuously means that the raw random bits are scanned continuously. The algorithmic post-processing belongs to Class DRG.3 with cryptographic state transition function and cryptographic output function. The output data rate of the post-processing algorithm shall not exceed its input data rate.</i> <i>End of note.</i>
PTG.3.6	<i>The algorithmic post-processing algorithm belongs to Class DRG.3 with cryptographic state transition function and cryptographic output function, and the output data rate of the post-processing algorithm shall not exceed its input data rate.</i>
<b>FCS_RNG.1.2/HPRG</b>	The TSF shall provide numbers in the format 8- or 16-bit that meet
PTG.3.7	<i>The test procedure A of AIS 31. The internal numbers were passing and the statistical test suites cannot practically distinguish the internal random numbers from output sequences of an ideal RNG.</i>
PTG.3.8	<i>The internal random numbers shall use the PTRNG of class PT.2 as random source for the post processing.</i> <i>Note:</i> <i>The internal random numbers produced by the employed PTG.2-conform PTRNG are adaptively compressed raw bits, where the compression rate is controlled by a so-called entropy estimator. The concept ensures that the random numbers provided by the PTRNG have high entropy, i.e., each delivered random byte will have more the 7.976 bit of entropy. In addition, the PTRNG produced random numbers have been tested against test procedures A and B under varying environment conditions.</i> <i>End of note.</i>

7.1.1.1.3 Deterministic Random Number Generation (DRNG) AIS31 DRG.3

<b>FCS_RNG.1/DRNG</b>	<b>Random Number Generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FCS_RNG.1/DRNG</b>	Random numbers generation <b>Class DRG.3</b> according to [13]
<b>FCS_RNG.1.1/DRNG</b>	The TSF shall provide a <i>deterministic</i> random number generator that implements:
DRG.3.1	<i>If initialized with a random seed using a PTRNG of class PTG.2 as random source the internal state of the RNG shall have at least 100 bit of entropy.</i>
	<i>Note:</i>
	<i>Furthermore, the length of the internal state shall have at least 200 bit. (For the DRG.3 under consideration, the internal state has 351 bit.). The seed is provided by a certified PTG.2 physical TRNG with guaranteed 7,976 bit of entropy per byte.</i>
	<i>End of note.</i>
DRG.3.2	<i>The RNG provides forward secrecy.</i>
DRG.3.3	<i>The RNG provides backward secrecy even if the current internal state is known.</i>
<b>FCS_RNG.1.2/DRNG</b>	The TSF shall provide numbers in the format 8- or 16-bit that meet
DRG.3.4	<i>The RNG, initialized with a random seed, where the seed has at least 100 bit of entropy and is derived by a PTG.2 certified PTRNG. The RNG generates output for which any consecutive <math>2^{34}</math> bits strings of bit length 128 are mutually different with a probability that is greater than <math>1 - 2^{(-16)}</math>.</i>
DRG.3.5	<i>Statistical test suites cannot practically distinguish the random numbers from the output sequences of an ideal RNG. The random numbers must pass test procedure A and the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) test suite for RNGs used for cryptographic purposes [S17] containing following 16 tests: Frequency (Monobit) Test, Frequency Test within a Block, Runs Tests, Test for the Longest-Run-of-Ones in a Block, Binary Matrix Rank Test, Discrete Fourier Transform (Spectral) Test, Non-overlapping (Aperiodic) Template Matching Test, Overlapping (Periodic) Template Matching Test, Maurer’s “Universal Statistical” Test, Liner Complexity Test, Serial Test, Approximate Entropy Test, Cumulative Sums (Cusums) Test, Random Excursions Test and Random Excursions Variant Test.</i>

#### 7.1.1.1.4 Deterministic Random Number Generation (DRNG) AIS31 DRG.2

This additional operation mode is named Key Stream Generation (KSG), which is a stream cipher generation. It is conformant to DRG.2 and implements therefore forward and additional backward secrecy.

<b>FCS_RNG.1/KSG</b>	<b>Random Number Generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FCS_RNG.1/KSG</b>	Random numbers generation <b>Class DRG.2</b> according to [13]
<b>FCS_RNG.1.1/KSG</b>	The TSF shall provide a <i>deterministic</i> random number generator that implements: DRG.2.1 <i>If initialized with a random seed using a PTRNG of class PTG.2 as random source, the applied seed shall have at least 100 bits of entropy, the internal state of the RNG shall have at least the size of 200 bit - in this case the size of the internal state amounts to 351 bit, has the work factor for breaking the algorithm of <math>2^{127}</math> due to the restriction on the maximum amount of keystream computed from a given seed, required guess work amounts to <math>2^{127}</math> as well.</i>
	DRG.2.2 <i>The RNG provides forward secrecy.</i>
	DRG.2.3 <i>The RNG provides backward secrecy.</i>

<b>FCS_RNG.1.2/KSG</b>	The TSF shall provide <i>numbers in the format 8- or 16-bit</i> that meet
DRG.2.4	<i>The RNG, initialized with a random seed of length at least 100 bit delivered by an PTRNG of the class PTG.2, generates output for which any consecutive <math>2^{34}</math> strings of the length 128 bits are mutually different with probability greater than <math>1-2^{(-16)}</math>.</i>
DRG.2.5	<i>Statistical test suites cannot practically distinguish the random numbers from the output sequences of an ideal RNG. The random numbers must pass test procedure A and the statistical tests mentioned in item DRG4.7.</i>
	<i>Note:</i> <i>The random numbers have been shown to fulfill all statistical tests of the AIS 20/31 statistical tests of procedure A. The random numbers are in the format 8- or 16 Bit.</i> <i>End of Note.</i>

### 7.1.1.2 FAU\_SAS

During testing at the end of Phase 3 before TOE Delivery, the TOE shall be able to store some data (for instance about the production history or identification data of the individual die or other data to be used after delivery). Therefore, the security functional component Audit storage (FAU\_SAS.1) has been added and is described in the PP [9].

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Audit storage (FAU\_SAS.1)" as specified below, PP [9]:

<b>FAU_SAS.1</b>	Audit Storage
Hierarchical to:	No dependencies
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FAU_SAS.1.1</b>	The TSF shall provide the test process <i>before TOE Delivery</i> with the capability to store <i>the Initialization Data (GCIM) and/or Pre-personalization Data and/or supplements of the Security IC Embedded Software</i> in the <i>not changeable configuration page area and non-volatile memory</i> .

### 7.1.2 Subset of TOE testing

The security is strongly dependent on the correct operation of the security functions. Therefore, the TOE shall support that particular security functions or mechanisms are tested in the operational phase (Phase 7). The tests can be initiated by the Smartcard Embedded Software and/or by the TOE.

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Subset TOE testing (FPT\_TST.2)" as specified below (Common Criteria Part 2 extended).

<b>FPT_TST.2</b>	<b>Subset TOE testing</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FPT_TST.2.1</b>	The TSF shall run a suite of self-tests <i>at the request of the authorized user</i> to demonstrate the correct operation <i>of the alarm lines and/or following environmental sensor mechanisms</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• More details are given in the confidential Security Target [8].</li></ul>

### 7.1.3

#### 7.1.4 Memory access control

Usage of multiple applications in one Smartcard often requires code and data separation in order to prevent that one application can access code and/or data of another application. For this reason the TOE provides Area based Memory Access Control. The underlying memory management unit (MMU) is documented in section 4 in the hardware reference manual HRM [1].

The security service being provided is described in the Security Function Policy (SFP) **Memory Access Control Policy**. The security functional requirement "**Subset access control (FDP\_ACC.1)**" requires that this policy is in place and defines the scope where it applies. The security functional requirement "**Security attribute based access control (FDP\_ACF.1)**" defines security attribute usage and characteristics of policies. It describes the rules for the function that implements the Security Function Policy (SFP) as identified in FDP\_ACC.1. The decision whether an access is permitted or not is taken based upon attributes allocated to the software. The Smartcard Embedded Software defines the attributes and memory areas. The corresponding permission control information is evaluated "on-the-fly" by the hardware so that access is granted/effective or denied/inoperable. The security functional requirement "**Static attribute initialization (FMT\_MSA.3)**" ensures that the default values of security attributes are appropriately either permissive or restrictive in nature. Alternative values can be specified by any subject provided that the **Memory Access Control Policy** allows that. This is described by the security functional requirement "**Management of security attributes (FMT\_MSA.1)**". The attributes are determined during TOE manufacturing (FMT\_MSA.3) or set at run-time (FMT\_MSA.1). From TOE's point of view the different roles in the Smartcard Embedded Software can be distinguished according to the memory based access control. However the definition of the roles belongs to the user software. The following Security Function Policy (SFP) **Memory Access Control Policy** is defined for the requirement "Security attribute based access control (FDP\_ACF.1)":

##### **Memory Access Control Policy**

*The TOE shall control read, write, delete and execute accesses of software running at the privilege levels as defined below. Any access is controlled, regardless whether the access is on code or data or a jump on any other privilege level outside the current one.*

The memory model provides distinct, independent privilege levels separated from each other in the virtual address space. The access rights are controlled by the MMU and related to the privilege level. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Subset access control (FDP\_ACC.1)" as specified below.

<b>FDP_ACC.1</b>	<b>Subset access control</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
<b>FDP_ACC.1.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Memory Access Control Policy</i> on all subjects (software running at the defined and assigned privilege levels), all objects (data including code stored in memories) and all the operations defined in the <i>Memory Access Control Policy</i> , i.e. privilege levels.

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Security attribute based access control (FDP\_ACF.1)" as specified below.

<b>FDP_ACF.1</b>	<b>Security attribute based access control</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization
<b>FDP_ACF.1.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Memory Access Control Policy</i> to objects based on the following:  <i>Subject:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- software running at the IFX, OS1 and OS2 privilege levels required to securely operate the chip. This includes also privilege levels running interrupt routines.</li> <li>- software running at the privilege levels containing the application software</li> </ul> <i>Object:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- data including code stored in memories</li> </ul> <i>Attributes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the memory area where the access is performed to and/or</li> <li>- the operation to be performed.</li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.2</b>	The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed:  <i>evaluate the corresponding permission control information of the relevant memory range before and during the access so that accesses to be denied cannot be utilized by the subject attempting to perform the operation.</i>

<b>FDP_ACF.1.3</b>	The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules:  <i>none.</i>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.4</b>	The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the <i>following additional rules: none.</i>

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Static attribute initialisation (FMT\_MSA.3)" as specified below.

<b>FMT_MSA.3</b>	<b>Static attribute initialisation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
<b>FMT_MSA.3.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Memory Access Control Policy</i> to provide <i>well defined</i> <sup>1</sup> default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
<b>FMT_MSA.3.2</b>	The TSF shall allow <i>any subject, provided that the Memory Access Control Policy is enforced and the necessary access is therefore allowed</i> <sup>2</sup> , to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Management of security attributes (FMT\_MSA.1)" as specified below:

<b>FMT_MSA.1</b>	<b>Management of security attributes</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control] FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
<b>FMT_MSA.1.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Memory Access Control Policy</i> to restrict the ability to <i>change default, modify or delete</i> the security attributes <i>permission control information to the software running on the privilege levels.</i>

<sup>1</sup> The static definition of the access rules is documented in the hardware reference manual as listed in chapter 1.1

<sup>2</sup> The Smartcard Embedded Software is intended to set the memory access control policy



The TOE shall meet the requirement "Specification of management functions (FMT\_SMF.1)" as specified below:

<b>FMT_SMF.1</b>	<b>Specification of management functions</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FMT_SMF.1.1	The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions: <i>access the configuration registers of the MMU.</i>

### 7.1.5 Support of Cipher Schemes

The following additional specific security functionality is implemented in the TOE:

FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation requires a cryptographic operation to be performed in accordance with a specified algorithm and with a cryptographic key of specified sizes. The specified algorithm and cryptographic key sizes can be based on an assigned standard; dependencies are discussed in chapter 7.4.1.4

The following additional specific security functionality is implemented in the TOE:

- Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA)<sup>1</sup>
- Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC)
- Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)
- Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES)
- CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL)
- Cipher based Message Authentication Code (CMAC)

The RSA cryptographic library, regardless of the version chosen, is offered in two parts: The 2k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 2048 bits and the 4k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 4096 bits.

The additional functionality of the EC library in the version v2.07.003 and v2.06.003 providing the primitive elliptic curve operations, does not add specific security functionality.

Note 14:

This TOE can come with both crypto co-processors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both crypto co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the customer demands prior to the production of the hardware. In case the SCP is blocked, no AES and DES respectively CMAC computation, as well as CCL operation supported by hardware is possible. In case the Crypto2304T is blocked, no RSA and EC computation supported by hardware is possible. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

End of note.

<sup>1</sup> For the case the TOE comes without RSA and/or EC library, the TOE provides basic HW-related routines for RSA and/or EC calculations. For a secure library implementation the user has to implement additional countermeasures himself.

### **7.1.5.1 Preface regarding Security Level related to Cryptography**

Implemented cryptography, regardless whether proprietary or following public standards is subject of ageing due to the evolution and progress of technology, mathematics and analysis methods. For that reason, guarantees for the absence of exploitable properties cannot be given - by anybody. But, the risk for the absence of such properties is severely reduced if the implementation is based on a long time published and publicly discussed standard algorithm.

If the vendor implements a proprietary algorithm, then the BSI analyses this algorithm in the course and time frame of the certification process. It is obvious that this local, one party and short timed analysis cannot replace a long time publicly discussed standard algorithm. Possible risk mitigation, if not an industrial secret, is the publication of the proprietary standard and starting the public discussion. This transparency could provide on one hand some mitigation of risk; on the other hand, the algorithm is then directly a valuable analyst target. In that case, also no one can say, when such publication is sufficiently discussed; and again guarantees for the life time being in the field can no one give.

As of today, using public standards is often not possible due to compromises with regard to acceptable computing time and available energy. For that reason it is up to the user to decide. The SFR claims assign whether an algorithm or proprietary or following a standard or implementing a standard with deviations.

The BSI states that the strength of the cryptographic algorithms is not rated in the course of the product certification (see [25] Section 9, Para.4, Clause 2). But cryptographic functionalities with a security level of lower than 100 bits can no longer be regarded as secure without considering the application context. Therefore, for these functions it shall be checked whether the related cryptographic operations are appropriate for the intended system. The assignment to the 100 Bit level by BSI can be read in the certification report issued by BSI. Some further hints and guidelines can be derived from the Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-02102, [www.bsi.bund.de](http://www.bsi.bund.de).

## 7.1.5.2 Cryptography by the Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor SCP

### 7.1.5.2.1 Triple-DES Operation

The DES Operation of the SCP of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" and "Cryptographic key destruction" (FCS\_CKM.4) as specified below:

<b>FCS_COP.1/TDES</b>	<p>Cryptographic operation</p> <p>Hierarchical to: No other components.</p> <p>Dependencies: [FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key management] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.</p>
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/TDES</b>	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>encryption and decryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>TDES</i> in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB)</i></li><li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC)</i></li><li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code (CBC-MAC)</i></li><li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code Encrypt Last Block (CBC-MAC-ELB)</i></li><li>• <i>the Blinding Mode (BLD)</i></li><li>• <i>the Recrypt Mode</i></li></ul> <p>and cryptographic key sizes of <i>168 bit</i> that meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>CBC:</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li></ul></li><li>• <i>ECB, CBC:</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li></ul></li><li>• <i>CBC_MAC</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively [32]</i></li></ul></li><li>• <i>CBC-MAC-ELB:</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li><li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li><li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively [32]</i></li></ul></li><li>• <i>BLD, Recrypt Mode</i> <i>Proprietary, description given in the hardware reference manual HRM [1]</i></li></ul>

Note 15:

The BLD and Recrypt operation modes proprietary and are described in the hardware reference manual HRM [1] while the implementations of the other modes follow the referenced standards. Also the BLD is compliant to the referenced standards but is operated in a masked way. The proprietary modes offer increased protection against failure and side channel analysis.

End of note.

Note 16:

Using the TDES algorithm with three keys of which two keys equal is a so called two key triple DES operation. This operation can be configured and managed by the user but does not meet the national requirements issued by BSI and achieves therefore not the 100 Bits security level. The certificate covers the TDES operation with three different keys only.

End of note.

<b>FCS_CKM.4/TDES</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction – TDES</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/TDES</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting or zeroing</i> that meets the following:  <i>None</i>

Note 17:

The key destruction can be done by overwriting the key register interfaces or by software reset of the SCP which provides immediate zeroing of all SCP key registers.

End of note.

### 7.1.5.2.2 AES Operation

The AES Operation the TOE shall meet the requirement Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1) and Cryptographic key destruction (FCS\_CKM.4) as specified below:

<b>FCS_COP.1/AES</b>	Cryptographic operation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/AES</b>	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>decryption and encryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>AES in</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code (CBC-MAC)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code Encrypt Last Block (CBC-MAC-ELB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Blinding Mode (BLD)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Recrypt Mode</i></li> </ul> <p>and cryptographic key sizes of <i>128 bit or 192 bit or 256 bit</i> that meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CBC:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>ECB, CBC:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>CBC_MAC</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively [32]</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>CBC-MAC-ELB:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively [32]</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>BLD, Recrypt Mode</i>  <i>Proprietary, description given in the hardware reference manual HRM [1]</i></li> </ul>

Note 18:

The BLD and Recrypt operation modes are proprietary and described in the hardware reference manual HRM [1] while the implementations of the other modes follow the referenced standards. Also the BLD is compliant to the referenced standards but is operated in a masked way. The proprietary modes offer increased protection against failure and side channel analysis.

End of note.

<b>FCS_CKM.4/AES</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction – AES</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM4.1/AES</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting or zeroing</i> that meets the following:  <i>None</i>

Note 19:

The key destruction can be done by overwriting the key register interfaces or by software reset of the SCP which provides immediate zeroing of all SCP key registers.

End of Note.

### 7.1.5.3 Cryptography by the Symmetric Cryptographic Library SCL

The following holds true for both alternative versions.

#### 7.1.5.3.1 Triple-DES Operation for both SCL versions

The DES Operation of the SCL of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" and "Cryptographic key destruction" (FCS\_CKM.4) as specified below:

<p><b>FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2</b></p>	<p>Cryptographic operation</p> <p>Hierarchical to: No other components.</p> <p>Dependencies: [FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or                  FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or                  FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key management]                  FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction.</p>
<p><b>FCS_COP.1.1/TDES-SCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_COP.1.1/TDES-SCL-2</b></p>	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>encryption and decryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>TDES</i> in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Counter Mode (CTR)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Propagating Cipher Block Chaining (PCBC)</i></li> </ul> <p>and cryptographic key sizes of <i>168 bit</i> that meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-67 Rev. 1 [20]</i></li> <li>• <i>The ECB, CBC, CTR and CFB modes refer to: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>• <i>The PCBC mode refers to:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197, advanced Encryption standard (AES), [31], U.S. Department of Commerce / National Institute of Standards and Technology, November 26, 2001</i></li> <li>○ <i>Bruce Schneier, Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, John Wiley &amp; Sons, 1996, [36]. This standard should be implemented considering the Security Guidelines only.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<b>FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction – TDES</b>
or	
<b>FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/TDES-SCL-1</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified
Or	cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting or zeroing</i> that meets the
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/TDES-SCL-2</b>	following:
	<i>None</i>

Note 20:

The key destruction is triggered from the SCL to the SCP at every entry and exit. The key storage in the SCL is wiped with random values.

End of note.



**7.1.5.3.2 AES Operation for both SCL versions**

The AES Operation the TOE shall meet the requirement “Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)” and “Cryptographic key destruction” (FCS\_CKM.4) as specified below:

<p><b>FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2</b></p>	<p><b>Cryptographic operation - AES</b></p> <p>Hierarchical to: No other components.</p> <p>Dependencies: [FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or                  FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or                  FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]                  FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction</p>
<p><b>FCS_COP.1.1/AES-SCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_COP.1.1/AES-SCL-2</b></p>	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>decryption and encryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>AES</i> in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Counter Mode (CTR)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB)</i></li> <li>• <i>the Propagating Cipher Block Chaining (PCBC)</i></li> </ul> <p>and cryptographic key sizes of <i>128 bit or 192 bit or 256 bit</i> that meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The AES advanced encryption standard refers to: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) FIPS PUB 197 [31]</i></li> <li>• <i>The ECB, CBC, CTR and CFB modes refer to: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>• <i>The PCBC mode refers to:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Bruce Schneier, Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, John Wiley &amp; Sons, 1996, [36]. This standard should be implemented considering the Security Guidelines only.</i></li> <li>○ <i>Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197, advanced Encryption standard (AES), [31], U.S. Department of Commerce / National Institute of Standards and Technology, November 26, 2001</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<b>FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction – AES</b>
<b>or</b>	
<b>FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/AES-SCL-1</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting or zeroing</i> that meets the following:
<b>or</b>	
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/AES-SCL-2</b>	
	<i>None</i>

Note 21:

The key destruction is triggered from the SCL to the SCP at every entry and exit. The key storage in the SCL is wiped with random values.

End of Note.

**7.1.5.3.3 CMAC Operation on for the SCL version v02.04.002**

The CMAC operation of the SCL of the TOE shall meet the requirement Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1) and Cryptographic key destruction (FCS\_CKM.4) as specified below:

<b>FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic operation - CMAC</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/CMAC-SCL-1</b>	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>computation of a cipher based message authentication code</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>CMAC using</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>AES or</i></li> <li>• <i>TDES</i></li> </ul> <p>and cryptographic key sizes of <i>128 bit or 192 bit or 256 bit for AES and 168 bit for TDES</i> that meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CMAC: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38B [39]</i></li> <li>• <i>AES</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Federal Information Standards Publication 197 [31]</i></li> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology SP800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033 [30]</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>TDES</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology 800-67 Rev.1 [20]</i></li> <li>○ <i>National Institute of Standards and Technology SP800-38A [21]</i></li> <li>○ <i>ISO/IEC 18033 [30]</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<b>FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction – CMAC</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM4.1/CMAC-SCL-1</b>	<p>The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting or zeroing</i> that meets the following:</p> <p><i>None</i></p>

Note 22:

The key destruction is triggered from the SCL to the SCP at every entry and exit. The key storage in the SCL is wiped with random values.

End of Note.

### 7.1.5.4 Cryptography by CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library

The CIPURSE™ CL in two alternative versions is a delivery option. If one of the alternatives is on board the belonging security functional requirements are fulfilled else the functionality is not on board and the security functional requirements are not covered.

The following chapter holds true for both CCL library versions.

#### 7.1.5.4.1 CIPURSE™ CL Cryptographic Functions for both versions

Each of the two alternative CCL versions shall meet the security functional requirement FCS\_CKM.1/CCL as specified below::

<p><b>FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2</b></p>	<p><b>Cryptographic key generation</b></p> <p>Hierarchical to: No other components.</p> <p>Dependencies: [FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction FMT_MSA.2 Secure security attributes</p>
<p><b>FCS_CKM.1.1/CCL-1</b> or <b>FCS_CKM.1.1/CCL-2</b></p>	<p>The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In the mode confidential communication: session key derivation and key derivation for the exchange protocol</i></li> <li>• <i>In the mode integrity protection: session key derivation</i></li> </ul> <p>and specified cryptographic key sizes of 128 bits that meet the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapters 5.2 and 6.2</i></li> </ul>

Each of the two alternative CCL versions shall meet the security functional requirement FCS\_CKM.4/CCL as specified below:

<b>FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic key destruction</b>
or	
<b>FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]
<b>FCS_CKM.4.1/CCL-1</b>	The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method <i>overwriting with random value</i> that meets the following
or	
<b>FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2</b>	<i>None</i>

Note 23:  
 The key destruction is triggered by the SCP at every entry and exit. The key storage in the SCP is wiped with random values.  
 End of Note.

Each of the two alternative CCL versions shall meet the security functional requirement FCS\_COP.1/CCL as specified below:

<b>FCS_COP.1/CCL-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel</b>
or	
<b>FCS_COP.1/CCL-2</b>	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/CCL-1</b>	The TSF shall perform
or	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>an authentication and cryptographic protected protocol</i></li> </ul>
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/CCL-2</b>	in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol</i></li> </ul> and cryptographic key sizes of 128 Bit that meet the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197 [31]</i></li> <li>• <i>NIST Special Publication SP 800-38A, chapter 6.1 AES in ECB mode [21]</i></li> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 5.2 Session key Derivation</i></li> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.2 Key Derivation for the first frame</i></li> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.3 Integrity Protection</i></li> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.4 Confidential Communication</i></li> <li>• <i>CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol Errata and Precision list [35-2] chapters P.2</i></li> </ul>

## 7.1.5.5 The Asymmetric Cryptographic Library v2.07.003

### 7.1.5.5.1 Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) operation

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<b>FCS_COP.1/RSA-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic operation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes]
	FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_COP.1.1/RSA-1	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>encryption and decryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA)</i> and cryptographic key sizes from <i>512 bits up to 4096+128 bits in 1 Bit stepping</i> that meet the following:</p> <p><b>Encryption:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.1.1 RSAEP in PKCS [22]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.1.1(1) not supported</li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.2 IFEP-RSA in IEEE [29]: Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> </ol> <p><b>Decryption (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.1.2 RSADP in PKCS [22] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i), i &gt; 2</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1.2(1) not supported</li> <li>5.1.2(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>5.1.2(2.b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.1.2(2.b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.3 IEEE [29]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(III) not supported</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><b>Signature Generation (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.2.1 RSASP1 in PKCS [22] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i), i &gt; 2</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.2.1(1) not supported</li> <li>5.2.1(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>5.2.1(2b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.2.1(2b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.4 IFSP-RSA1 in IEEE [29]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(III) not supported</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

**Signature Verification:**

1. According to section 5.2.2 RSAVP<sub>1</sub> in PKCS [22]: supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$ 
  - 5.2.2(1) not supported
2. According to section 8.2.5 IEEE [29]:
  - Supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$
  - 8.2.5(1) not supported

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

### 7.1.5.5.2 Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) key generation

The key generation for the RSA shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic key generation (FCS\_CKM.1)".

The RSA cryptographic library is offered in two parts: The 2k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 2048 bits and the 4k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 4096 bits.

<b>FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1</b>	Cryptographic key generation Hierarchical to: No other components. Dependencies: FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction FMT_MSA.2 Secure security attributes
FCS_CKM.1.1/RSA-1	The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm <i>implemented by following functions</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CryptoRSAKeyGen</i></li> <li>• <i>CryptoRSAKeyGenMask_CRT plus CryptoGeneratePrime or CryptoGeneratePrimeMask</i></li> <li>• <i>CryptoRSAKeyGenMask_D plus CryptoGeneratePrime or CryptoGeneratePrimeMask</i></li> <li>• <i>CryptoRSAKeyGenMask_N plus CryptoGeneratePrime or CryptoGeneratePrimeMask</i></li> </ul> and specified cryptographic key sizes of 512 – 4096 bits that meet the following: <i>RSA key generation according to Infineon key generation methods. The generated keys are in conformance with:</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to sections 3.1 and 3.2 in PKCS [22], for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e. without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i), i &gt; 2</math>:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>3.2.(1) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>3.2.(2) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> </ul> </li> <li>2. According to section 8.1.3.1 in IEEE [29]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.1.3.1(1) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>8.1.3.1(2) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>8.1.3.1(3) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> </ul> </li> </ol>



Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)

Note 24:

The function CryptoGeneratePrime has been assigned to the appropriate security level by BSI. The proprietary CryptoGeneratePrimeMask function has not been cryptographic-analytically evaluated and certified by BSI. However, the AVA\_VAN.5 evaluation did not reveal any weaknesses, neither in concept nor in implementation. The targeted AVA\_VAN.5 is achieved therefore.

End of note.

Note 25:

The minimum key length follows the national recommendations by the BSI which means that RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. The key length requirements can differ between the countries. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

End of note.

Note 26:

For easy integration of RSA functions into the user's operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

End of note.

Note 27:

The TOE can be delivered with or without the RSA library. In the case of coming without the RSA library the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) realized with the security functional requirements FCS\_COP.1/RSA and FCS\_CKM.1/RSA. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T the optionally delivered cryptographic and the supporting Toolbox cannot be used in that TOE product.

End of note.

### 7.1.5.5.3 General Preface regarding Elliptic Curve Cryptography

The EC library is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software. The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 and 521 Bits. For one part the definition of the key lengths follows the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level by the BSI. However, the former 80 bit level is achieved by the key lengths of 160, 163, and 192 Bits. Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.

All curves are based on finite field  $GF(p)$  with value  $p \in [2^{41-1}; 2^{521}]$  as well as curves based on a finite field  $GF(2^n)$  with size  $n \in [41-1; 521]$  are supported.

#### 7.1.5.5.4 Elliptic Curve DSA (ECDSA) operation

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<p><b>FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1</b></p> <p>Hierarchical to:</p> <p>Dependencies:</p> <p>FCS_COP.1.1/ECDSA-1</p>	<p><b>Cryptographic operation</b></p> <p>No other components.</p> <p>[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or          FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes]          FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction</p> <p>The TSF shall perform <i>signature generation and signature verification</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECDSA</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits</i> that meet the following:</p> <p><b>ECDSA Signature Generation:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to section 7.3 <i>Signing Process in ANSI [23]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Step d) and e) are not supported</i></li> <li>• <i>The output of step e) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.</i></li> <li>• <i>Deviation of step c) and f):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>The jumps to step a) were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>2. According to sections 6.4.3 <i>Signature Process in ISO/IEC [27]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.3 is not supported</i></li> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.5 is not supported</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>the hash-code of H of the message has to be provided by the caller as input for our function.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.7 is not supported</i></li> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.8 is not supported</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>3. According to section 7.2.7 <i>ECSP-DSA in IEEE [29]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Deviation of step (3) and (4):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>The jumps to step 1 were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><b>ECDSA Signature Verification:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to section 7.4.1 in ANSI [23]           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Step b) and c) are not supported.</i></li> <li>• <i>The output of step c) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.</i></li> <li>• <i>Deviation of step d):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>Beside noted calculation, our algorithm adds a random multiple of BasepointerOrder n to the calculated values u1 and u2.</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>2. According to sections 6.4.4 <i>Signature Verification Process in ISO/IEC [27]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.4.2 is not supported</i></li> <li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.4.3 is not supported:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>The hash-code H of the message has to be provided by the caller as input to our function</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>3. According to section 7.2.8 <i>ECVP-DSA in IEEE [29].</i></li> </ol>
---	--

Note 28:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user's operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.

End of note.

### 7.1.5.5.5 Elliptic Curve (EC) key generation

The key generation for the EC shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic key generation (FCS\_CKM.1)".

<b>FCS_CKM.1/EC-1</b>	<b>Cryptographic key generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_CKM.1.1/EC-1	The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm <i>implemented by following functions which can be used independently of each other:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>ECC_ECDSAKeyGen</i></li><li>• <i>ECC_ECDSAKeyGenMask</i></li></ul> <p><i>specified in [23], [27] and [29] and specified cryptographic key sizes 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits that meet the following:</i></p> <p><i>ECDSA Key Generation:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>1. According to the appendix "A4.3 Elliptic Curve Key Pair Generation" in ANSI [23]: The optional cofactor h is not supported.</i></li><li><i>2. According to section "6.4.2 Generation of signature key and verification key" in ISO/IEC [27].</i></li><li><i>3. According to appendix "A.16.9 An algorithm for generating EC keys" in IEEE [29]</i></li></ol>

Note 29:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user's operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.

End of note.

**7.1.5.5.6 Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key agreement**

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<b>FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1</b>	Cryptographic operation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/ECDH-1</b>	The TSF shall perform <i>elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECDH</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits</i> that meet the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to section "5.4.1 Standard Diffie-Hellman Primitive" in ANSI [24] <i>Unlike section 5.4.1(3) our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.</i></li> <li>2. According to "Appendix D.6 Key agreement of Diffie-Hellman" type in ISO/IEC [28] <i>The function enables the operations described in appendix D.6</i></li> <li>3. According to section "7.2.1 ECSVHDP-DH" in IEEE [29] <i>Unlike section 7.2.1 our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.</i></li> </ol>

Note 30:

The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 Bits, due to national AIS32 regulations by the BSI. Furthermore, 160, 163, 192 bits are supported. Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.  
 End of note

Note 31:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user's operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.  
 End of note.

Note 32:

The TOE can be delivered with or without the EC library. In the case the TOE comes without, it does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Elliptic Curve Cryptography realized with the security functional requirements FCS\_COP.1/ECSA, FCS\_COP.1/ECDH and FCS\_CKM.1/EC. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T, the RSA and EC cryptographic library cannot be used. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T the optionally delivered cryptographic RSA and EC, as well as the supporting Toolbox cannot be used in that TOE product.  
 End of note.

Note 33:

The EC primitives allow the selection of various curves. The selection of the curves depends to the user.  
 End of note.

## 7.1.5.6 The Asymmetric Cryptographic Library v2.06.003

### 7.1.5.6.1 Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) operation

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<b>FCS_COP.1/RSA-2</b>	<b>Cryptographic operation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes]
	FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_COP.1.1/RSA-2	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>encryption and decryption</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA)</i> and cryptographic key sizes from <i>512 bits up to 4096+128 bits in 1 Bit stepping</i> that meet the following:</p> <p><b>Encryption:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.1.1 RSAEP in PKCS [37]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.1.1(1) not supported</li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.2 IFEP-RSA in IEEE [29]: Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> </ol> <p><b>Decryption (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.1.2 RSADP in PKCS [37] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i), i &gt; 2</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1.2(1) not supported</li> <li>5.1.2(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>5.1.2(2.b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.1.2(2.b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.3 IEEE [29]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(III) not supported</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><b>Signature Generation (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to section 5.2.1 RSASP1 in PKCS [37] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i), i &gt; 2</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.2.1(1) not supported</li> <li>5.2.1(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>5.2.1(2b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>5.2.1(2b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li> </ul> </li> <li>According to section 8.2.4 IFSP-RSA1 in IEEE [29]:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li> <li>8.2.1(III) not supported</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

**Signature Verification:**

1. According to section 5.2.2 RSAVP<sub>1</sub> in PKCS [37]: supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$ 
  - 5.2.2(1) not supported
2. According to section 8.2.5 IEEE [29]:
  - Supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$
  - 8.2.5(1) not supported

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

### 7.1.5.6.2 Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) key generation

The key generation for the RSA shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic key generation (FCS\_CKM.1)".

The RSA cryptographic library is offered in two parts: The 2k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 2048 + 64 bits and the 4k part of the RSA library can be used for key lengths of up to 4096 + 128 bits.

**FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-2** Cryptographic key generation

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: FCS\_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or  
 FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation]  
 FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction  
 FMT\_MSA.2 Secure security attributes

FCS\_CKM.1.1/RSA-2 The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm *implemented by following functions:*

- *CryptoRSAKeyGenMask\_CRT plus CryptoGeneratePrimeMask*
- *CryptoRSAKeyGenMask\_D plus CryptoGeneratePrimeMask*
- *CryptoRSAKeyGenMask\_N plus CryptoGeneratePrimeMask*

and specified cryptographic key sizes of 512 – 4096 bits that meet the following: *RSA key generation according to Infineon key generation methods. The generated keys are in conformance with:*

1. According to sections 3.1 and 3.2 in PKCS [37], for  $u = 2$ , i.e. without any  $(r_i, d_i, t_i), i > 2$ :
  - 3.1 supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$
  - 3.2.(1) supported for  $n < 2^{2048 + 64}$
  - 3.2.(2) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{4096 + 128}$
3. According to section 8.1.3.1 in IEEE [27]:
  - 8.1.3.1(1) supported for  $n < 2^{2048 + 64}$
  - 8.1.3.1(2) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{4096 + 128}$
  - 8.1.3.1(3) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{2048 + 64}$

Note 34:

The minimum key length follows the national recommendations by the BSI which means that RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. The key length requirements can differ between the countries. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

End of note.

Note 35:

The TOE can be delivered with or without the RSA library. In the case of coming without the RSA library the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) realized with the security functional requirements FCS\_COP.1/RSA and FCS\_CKM.1/RSA. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T the optionally delivered cryptographic and the supporting Toolbox cannot be used in that TOE product.

End of note.

### 7.1.5.6.3 General Preface regarding Elliptic Curve Cryptography

The EC library is delivered as object code and in this way integrated in the user software. The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 and 521 Bits. For one part the definition of the key lengths follows the national AIS32 regulation regarding the 100 bit security level by the BSI. However, the former 80 bit level is achieved by the key lengths of 160, 163, and 192 Bits. Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.

All curves are based on finite field  $GF(p)$  with value  $p \in [2^{41-1}; 2^{521}[$  as well as curves based on a finite field  $GF(2^n)$  with size  $n \in [41-1; 521]$  are supported.

#### 7.1.5.6.4 Elliptic Curve DSA (ECDSA) operation

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<b>FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2</b>	<b>Cryptographic operation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_COP.1.1/ECDSA-2	<p>The TSF shall perform <i>signature generation and signature verification</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECDSA</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits</i> that meet the following:</p> <p><b>ECDSA Signature Generation:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 7.3 <i>Signing Process in ANSI [23]</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Step d) and e) are not supported</i></li><li>• <i>The output of step e) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.</i></li><li>• <i>Deviation of step c) and f):</i> <i>The jumps to step a) were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function.</i></li></ul></li><li>2. According to sections 6.4.3 <i>Signature Process in ISO/IEC [27]</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.3 is not supported</i></li><li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.5 is not supported</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>the hash-code of H of the message has to be provided by the caller as input for our function.</i></li></ul></li><li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.3.7 is not supported</i></li></ul><p><i>Chapter 6.4.3.8 is not supported</i></p></li><li>3. According to section 7.2.7 <i>ECSP-DSA in IEEE [29]</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Deviation of step (3) and (4):</i> <i>The jumps to step 1 were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function</i></li></ul><p><b>ECDSA Signature Verification:</b></p><ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 7.4.1 in ANSI [23]<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Step b) and c) are not supported.</i></li><li>• <i>The output of step c) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.</i></li><li>• <i>Deviation of step d):</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>Beside noted calculation, our algorithm adds a random multiple of BasepointerOrder n to the calculated values u1 and u2.</i></li></ul></li></ul></li><li>2. According to sections 6.4.4 <i>Signature Verification Process in ISO/IEC [27]</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.4.2 is not supported</i></li><li>• <i>Chapter 6.4.4.3 is not supported:</i><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <i>The hash-code H of the message has to be provided by the caller as input to our function</i></li></ul></li></ul></li><li>3. According to section 7.2.8 <i>ECVP-DSA in IEEE [29].</i></li></ol></li></ol>



Note 36:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user’s operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.

End of note.

### 7.1.5.6.5 Elliptic Curve (EC) key generation

The key generation for the EC shall meet the requirement “Cryptographic key generation (FCS\_CKM.1)”.

<b>FCS_CKM.1/EC-2</b>	<b>Cryptographic key generation</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_CKM.1.1/EC-2	<p>The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm <i>implemented by following functions which can be used independently of each other:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>ECC_ECDSAKeyGen</i></li> <li>• <i>ECC_ECDSAKeyGenMask</i></li> </ul> <p><i>specified in [23], [27] and [29] and specified cryptographic key sizes 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits that meet the following:</i></p> <p><i>ECDSA Key Generation:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. According to the appendix "A4.3 Elliptic Curve Key Pair Generation" in ANSI [23]: The optional cofactor h is not supported.</i></li> <li><i>2. According to section "6.4.2 Generation of signature key and verification key" in ISO/IEC [27].</i></li> <li><i>3. According to appendix "A.16.9 An algorithm for generating EC keys" in IEEE [29]</i></li> </ol>

Note 37:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user’s operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.

End of note.

**7.1.5.6.6 Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key agreement**

The Modular Arithmetic Operation of the TOE shall meet the requirement "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" as specified below.

<b>FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2</b>	Cryptographic operation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes, or FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes, or FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
<b>FCS_COP.1.1/ECDH-2</b>	The TSF shall perform <i>elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement</i> in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm <i>ECDH</i> and cryptographic key sizes <i>160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits</i> that meet the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to section "5.4.1 Standard Diffie-Hellman Primitive" in ANSI [24] <i>Unlike section 5.4.1(3) our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.</i></li> <li>2. According to "Appendix D.6 Key agreement of Diffie-Hellman" type in ISO/IEC [28] <i>The function enables the operations described in appendix D.6</i></li> <li>3. According to section "7.2.1 ECSVHDP-DH" in IEEE [29] <i>Unlike section 7.2.1 our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.</i></li> </ol>

Note 38:

The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 Bits, due to national AIS32 regulations by the BSI. Furthermore, 160, 163, 192 bits are supported. Numerous other curve types, being also secure in terms of side channel attacks on this TOE, exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.  
 End of note

Note 39:

For easy integration of EC functions into the user's operating system and/or application, the library contains single cryptographic functions respectively primitives which are compliant to the standard. The primitives are referenced above. Therefore, the library supports the user to develop an application representing the standard if required.  
 End of note.

Note 40:

The TOE can be delivered with or without the EC library. In the case the TOE comes without, it does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Elliptic Curve Cryptography realized with the security functional requirements FCS\_COP.1/ECSA, FCS\_COP.1/ECDH and FCS\_CKM.1/EC. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T, the RSA and EC cryptographic library cannot be used. In case of a blocked Crypto2304T the optionally delivered cryptographic RSA and EC, as well as the supporting Toolbox cannot be used in that TOE product.  
 End of note.

Note 41:

The EC primitives allow the selection of various curves. The selection of the curves depends to the user.  
 End of note.

### 7.1.6 Data Integrity FDP\_SDI.2 and FDP\_SDC.1

The TOE shall meet the requirement Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP\_SDI.2) as specified below:

<b>FDP_SDI.2</b>	<b>Stored data integrity monitoring and action</b>
Hierarchical to:	FDP_SDI.1 stored data integrity monitoring
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FDP_SDI.2.1</b>	The TSF shall monitor user data <i>of the Composite TOE stored in containers</i> controlled by the TSF for <i>data integrity and one- and/or more-bit-errors</i> on all objects, based on the following attributes: <i>corresponding EDC value for RAM, ROM and SOLID FLASH™ NVM and error correction ECC for the SOLID FLASH™ NVM.</i>
<b>FDP_SDI.2.2</b>	Upon detection of a data integrity error, the TSF shall <i>correct 1 bit errors in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM automatically and inform the user about more bit errors.</i>

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Stored data confidentiality (FDP\_SDC.1)" as specified below:

<b>FDP_SDC.1</b>	<b>Stored data confidentiality</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
<b>FDP_SDC.1.1</b>	The TSF shall ensure the confidentiality of the information of the user data <i>of the Composite TOE</i> while it is stored in the <i>RAM, ROM, Cache and SOLID FLASH™ NVM.</i>

### 7.1.7 Application Note to FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state

The SFR FPT\_FLS.1 is defined in the PP [9] but with application note 14 it is required to describe the secure state: Where ever FPT\_FLS.1 is claimed it depends on the source or affected piece of data the failure was detected. Induced failures on other than memory contents could in the worse lead to exploitable situations if not discovered and treated properly. This includes i.e. internal transported keys and other TSF data. For that reasons the integrity of the transport ways and also the modules the TSF and critical data are used is protected against failure.

Depending on the criticality where the failure occurred and in most cases a security reset is triggered. Only in case of a peripheral bus error or an address translation mismatch, a user software action is required as described in the user guidance.

In case of a security reset, the user cannot take any action. The chip immediately stops operation and reboots.

## 7.2 Support by the Flash Loader

The TOE provides the Flash Loader to download user data into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, either during production of the TOE or at customer site. The Flash Loader is dedicated for usage by authorized users only in secured and insecure environment during the production up to Phase 6 Security IC Personalisation. The Flash Loader has to be permanently deactivated before entering Phase 7 Security IC end-usage. For this reason the TOE shall meet the requirements as defined and described in the PP [9] section 7.3 Packages for Loader and 7.2 Package Authentication of the Security IC:

- Limited capabilities (FMT\_LIM.1/Loader),
- Limited availability – Loader (FMT\_LIM.2/Loader),
- Authentication Proof of Identity (FIA\_API.1),
- Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP\_ITC.1),
- Basic data exchange confidentiality (FDP\_UCT.1),
- Data exchange integrity (FDP\_UIT.1),
- Subset access control – Loader (FDP\_ACC.1/Loader) and
- Security attribute based access control – Loader (FDP\_ACF.1/Loader)

as defined in the PP [9], section 7.2 and 7.3.

The Flash Loader supports the following security function policy (SFP):

- Loader SFP:  
 provides the mutual authentication between the TOE and the administrator user or download operator user and the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE.

The Flash Loader supports the following two subjects:

- Administrator user:  
 is enabled performing mutual authentication with the keys Kc and Kd, to manage (set, exchange, delete) the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi and to process the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE.
- Download operator user:  
 is enabled performing mutual authentication with Kd, to exchange the key Kd and to perform the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE. He can also delete Kfdi.

The Flash Loader supports the following object:

- User data:  
 Data loaded into the memory of the TOE.

The TOE shall meet the requirement “Limited capabilities (FMT\_LIM.1/Loader)” as specified below:

<b>FMT_LIM.1/Loader</b>	<b>Limited capabilities</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FMT_LIM.2 Limited availability.
<b>FMT_LIM.1.1/Loader</b>	The TSF shall be designed and implemented in a manner that limits its capabilities so that in conjunction with “Limited availability (FMT_LIM.2)” the following policy is enforced:  <i>Deploying Loader functionality after permanent deactivation does not allow stored user data of the Composite TOE to be disclosed or manipulated by unauthorized user.</i>

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FMT\_LIM.2/Loader)" as specified below:

<b>FMT_LIM.2/Loader</b>	<b>Limited availability - Loader</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FMT_LIM.1 Limited capabilities.
<b>FMT_LIM.2.1/Loader</b>	The TSF shall be designed in a manner that limits its availability so that in conjunction with "Limited capabilities (FMT_LIM.1)" the following policy is enforced:  <i>The TSF prevents deploying the Loader functionality after permanent deactivation.</i>

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FIA\_API.1)" as specified below:

<b>FIA_API.1</b>	<b>Authentication Proof of Identity</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_API.1.1</b>	The TSF shall provide an <i>authentication mechanism according to [34] ISO/IEC 9798-2 section 6.2.2 Mechanism 4: Three-path authentication based on the security attributes (keys) Kc or Kd</i> to prove the identity of the TOE to an external entity.

Additional requirement to the environment with regard to GBIC: If the GBIC process is to be applied, the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi shall be generated with sufficient entropy considering the requirements by GBIC as outlined in section o Annex to prove the identity of the TOE to an external entity.

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FTP\_ITC.1)" as specified below:

<b>FTP_ITC.1</b>	<b>Inter-TSF trusted channel</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FTP_ITC.1.1</b>	The TSF shall provide a communication channel between itself and the <i>administrator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with the keys Kc and Kd, to manage (set, exchange, delete) the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi and to process the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE and the Download operator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with Kd, to exchange the key Kd, to perform the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE and to delete Kfdi</i> that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.
<b>FTP_ITC.1.2</b>	The TSF shall permit <i>another trusted IT product</i> to initiate communication via the trusted channel.
<b>FTP_ITC.1.3</b>	The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for <i>deploying Loader for downloading user data</i> .

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FDP\_UCT.1)" as specified below:

<b>FDP_UCT.1</b>	<b>Basic data exchange confidentiality</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FDP_UCT.1.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Loader SFP to receive user data</i> in a manner protected from unauthorised disclosure.

**Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)**

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FDP\_UIT.1)" as specified below:

<b>FDP_UIT.1</b>	<b>Data exchange integrity</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	[FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel, or FTP_TRP.1 Trusted path] [FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control].
<b>FDP_UIT.1.1</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Loader SFP</i> to <i>receive</i> user data in a manner protected from <i>modification, deletion or insertion</i> errors.
<b>FPP_UIT.1.2</b>	The TSF shall be able to determine on receipt of user data, whether <i>modification, deletion or insertion</i> have occurred.

Note regarding the Flash Loader:

The algorithm strength of the Flash Loader has not been cryptographic-analytically evaluated by the BSI, as Infineon has implemented a proprietary extension.

End of note.

The TOE shall meet the requirement "Limited availability – Loader (FDP\_ACC.1/Loader)" as specified below:

<b>FDP_ACC.1/Loader</b>	<b>Subset access control - Loader</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control.
<b>FDP_ACC.1.1/Loader</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Loader SFP</i> on
	(1) <i>The subjects</i>
	<i>Administrator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with the keys Kc and Kd, to manage (set, exchange, delete) the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi and to process the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE and the Download operator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with Kd, to exchange the key Kd, to perform the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE and to delete Kfdi,</i>
	(2) <i>The objects</i>
	<i>User data, data loaded into the memory of the TOE, in SOLID FLASH™ NVM,</i>
	(3) <i>The operation deployment of the Loader.</i>

Additional requirement to the environment with regard to GBIC: If the GBIC process is to be applied, the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi shall be generated with sufficient entropy considering the requirements by GBIC as outlined in section o Annex to prove the identity of the TOE to an external entity.

The TOE shall meet the requirement “Limited availability – Loader (FTP\_ACF.1/Loader)” as specified below:

<b>FDP_ACF.1/Loader</b>	<b>Security attribute based access control - Loader</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
<b>FDP_ACF.1.1/Loader</b>	The TSF shall enforce the <i>Loader SFP</i> to objects based on the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) <i>the subjects Administrator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with the keys Kc and Kd, to manage (set, exchange, delete) the keys Kc, Kd and Kfdi and to process the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE with security attributes key Kc and</i></li> <li><i>the Download operator user, enabled performing mutual authentication with Kd, to exchange the key Kd, to perform the download of the user data into the memory of the TOE with security attributes Key Kd and to delete Kfdi,</i></li> <li>(2) <i>the objects User data, data loaded into the memory of the TOE in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM with security attributes key Kfdi.</i></li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.2/Loader</b>	The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) <i>evaluate the corresponding access control information of the relevant subject, administrator user and download operator user, before the access, so that accesses to be denied cannot be utilized by the subject attempting to perform the operation. The subsequent download is then protected by the key Kfdi.</i></li> </ul>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.3/Loader</b>	The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: <p>None</p>
<b>FDP_ACF.1.4/Loader</b>	The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: <p>None</p>

Note 42:

Regarding FDP\_ACF.1.4/Loader it is added in the User Guidance that the Flash Loader has to be permanently deactivated prior delivery to the end-user.

End of note.

Note 43:

The security functional requirements FMT\_LIM.1/Loader, FMT\_LIM.2/Loader, FIA\_API.1, FTP\_ITC.1, FDP\_UCT.1, FDP\_UIT.1, FDP\_ACC.1/Loader and FDP\_ACF.1/Loader apply only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for user data download. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user software or data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software these security functional requirements may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.

The permanent locking of the Flash Loader after finalizing the download and prior delivery to the end-user is added to package 2 with LIM1/Loader and FMT\_LIM.2/Loader.

End of note.

## 7.3 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The evaluation assurance level is EAL6 augmented with ALC\_FLR.1.  
In the following table, the security assurance requirements are given. The augmentation of the assurance components compared to the Protection Profile [9] is expressed with bold letters.

Table 14 Assurance Components

Aspect	Acronym	Description	Refinement
Development	ADV_ARC.1	Security Architecture Description	In PP [9]
	<b>ADV_FSP.5</b>	<b>Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information</b>	in ST
	ADV_IMP.2	Complete mapping of the implementation representation of the TSF	in ST
	ADV_INT.3	Minimally complex internals	
	ADV_TDS.5	Complete semi-formal modular design	
	ADV_SPM.1	Formal TOE security policy model	
Guidance Documents	AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance	in PP [9]
	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative procedures	in PP [9]
Life-Cycle Support	<b>ALC_CMC.5</b>	<b>Advanced support</b>	in ST
	<b>ALC_CMS.5</b>	<b>Development tools CM coverage</b>	in ST
	ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures	in PP [9]
	ALC_DVS.2	Sufficiency of security measures	in PP [9]
	ALC_LCD.1	Developer defined life-cycle model	
	<b>ALC_TAT.3</b>	<b>Compliance with implementation standards – all parts</b>	
	<b>ALC_FLR.1</b>	<b>Basic Flaw Remediation</b>	
Security Target Evaluation	ASE_CCL.1	Conformance claims	
	ASE_ECD.1	Extended components definition	
	ASE_INT.1	ST introduction	
	ASE_OBJ.2	Security objectives	
	ASE_REQ.2	Derived security requirements	
	ASE_SPD.1	Security problem definition	
	ASE_TSS.1	TOE summary specification	
Tests	<b>ATE_COV.3</b>	<b>Rigorous analysis of coverage</b>	In ST
	<b>ATE_DPT.3</b>	<b>Testing: modular design</b>	
	<b>ATE_FUN.2</b>	<b>Ordered functional testing</b>	
	ATE_IND.2	Independent testing – sample	
Vulnerability Assessment	AVA_VAN.5	Advanced methodical vulnerability testing	in PP [9]



## **7.3.1 Refinements**

Some refinements are taken unchanged from the PP [9]. In some cases a clarification is necessary. In Table 17 an overview is given where the refinement is done.

The refinements from the PP [9] have to be discussed here in the Security Target, as the assurance level is increased. The refinements from the PP [9] are included in the chosen assurance level EAL 6 augmented with ALC\_FLR.1.

### **7.3.1.1 Development (ADV)**

#### **ADV\_IMP Implementation Representation:**

The refined assurance package ADV\_IMP.1 implementation representation of the TSF requires the availability of the entire implementation representation, a mapping of the design description to the implementation representation with a level of detail that the TSF can be generated without further design decisions. In addition, the correspondence of design description and implementation representation shall be demonstrated.

The covered higher assurance package ADV\_IMP.2 requires a complete and not curtailed mapping of the implementation representation of the TSF, and the mapping of the design description to the entire implementation representation. In addition, the correspondence of design description and the implementation representation shall be demonstrated. The ADV\_IMP.1 aspect and refinement remains therefore valid. The enhancement underlines the refinement in the PP [9] and by that the entirely complete design i.e. not curtailed representation with according mapping was provided, demonstrated and reviewed.

#### **ADV\_INT TSF Internals:**

The assurance package ADV\_INT.2 well-structured internals is extended to ADV\_INT.3 minimally complex internals requiring the documentation to minimally complex internals with the intension that the entire TSF has been designed and implemented using sound engineering principles. The ADV\_INT.2 aspect remains applicable as well structured internals are fundamental for achieving sound engineering principles. ADV\_INT.2 and its refinements in the PP [9] remain therefore valid. The assurance and evidence was provided accordingly.

#### **ADV\_FSP Functional Specification:**

The ADV\_FSP.4 package requires a functional description of the TSFIs and there assignment to SFR-enforcing, SFR-supporting, SFR-non-interfering, including related error messages, the assurance package. The enhancement of ADV\_FSP.5 requires additionally a complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information. In addition the package includes a tracing from the functional specification to the SFRs, as well as the TSFIs descriptions including error messages not resulting from an invocation of a TSFI.

These aspects from ADV\_FSP.5 are independent from the ADV\_FSP.4 refinements from the PP [9] but constitute an enhancement of it. By that the aspects of ADV\_FSP.4 and its refinement in the PP [9] apply also here. The assurance and evidence was provided accordingly.

#### **ADV\_SPM Formal Security Policy Model**

It is the objective of this family to provide additional assurance from the development of a formal security policy model of the TSF, and establishing a correspondence between the functional specification and this security policy model. Preserving internal consistency the security policy model is expected to formally establish the security principles from its characteristics by means of a mathematical proof.

<b>ADV_SPM.1</b>	Formal TOE security policy model
Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	ADV_FSP.4 Complete function description
<b>ADV_SPM.1.1D</b>	<p>The developer shall provide a formal security policy model for the <i>Memory Access Control Policy and the corresponding SFRs</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>FDP_ACC.1 Subset Access Control</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_MSA.1 Management of Security Attributes</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_MSA.3 Static Attribute Initialization.</i></li></ul> <p>Moreover, the following SFRs shall be addressed by the formal security policy model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>FDP_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_SDC.1 Stored data confidentiality</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_ITT.1 Basic Internal Transfer Protection</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_IFC.1 Information Flow Control</i></li><li>• <i>FPT_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection</i></li><li>• <i>FPT_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack</i></li><li>• <i>FPT_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state</i></li><li>• <i>FRU_FLT.2 Limited fault tolerance</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_LIM.1 Limited capabilities</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_LIM.2 Limited availability</i></li><li>• <i>FAU_SAS.1 Audit storage</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions</i></li></ul> <p>Moreover, the following SFRs of the package authentication of the IC PP [9] and the loader packages PP [9] shall be addressed by the formal security policy model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>FIA_API.1</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_LIM.1/Loader</i></li><li>• <i>FMT_LIM.2/Loader</i></li><li>• <i>FPT_ITC.1</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_UCT.1</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_UIT.1</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_ACC.1/Loader</i></li><li>• <i>FDP_ACF.1/Loader</i></li></ul>
<b>ADV_SPM.1.2D</b>	For each policy covered by the formal security policy model, the model shall identify the relevant portions of the statement of SFRs that make up that policy.
<b>ADV_SPM.1.3D</b>	The developer shall provide a formal proof of correspondence between the model and any formal functional specification.
<b>ADV_SPM.1.4D</b>	The developer shall provide a demonstration of correspondence between the model and the functional specification.

#### ADV\_TDS TOE Design:

The assurance package ADV\_TDS.4 Semiformal modular design is extended to ADV\_TDS.5 Complete semiformal modular design requires the complete semiformal design description. As the package ADV\_TDS.5 is an enhancement of ADV\_TDS.4 the package and its refinements in the PP [9] remain valid. The assurance and evidence was provided accordingly.

### **ALC\_DEL Delivery Procedure**

Considering the GBIC requirement as outlined in section o Annex this assurance class is refined with the confirmation that the delivery process of the Flash Loader keys - as referenced in the section o Annex - is separated from the chip delivery to the user.

### **7.3.1.2 Life-cycle Support (ALC)**

#### **ALC\_CMS Configuration Management Scope**

The Security IC embedded firmware – regardless of the version in use - and the optional software are part of TOE and delivered together with the TOE as the firmware and optional software are stored in the ROM and/or SOLID FLASH™ NVM. The presence of the optional parts belongs to the user order. Both, the firmware and software delivered with the TOE are controlled entirely by Infineon Technologies. In addition, the TOE offers the possibility that the user can download his software at his own premises. These parts of the software are user controlled only and are not part of this TOE. The download of this solely user controlled software into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM is protected by strong authentication means. In addition, the download itself could also be encrypted. By the augmentation of ALC\_CMS.4 to ALC\_CMS.5 the configuration list includes additional the development tools. The package ALC\_CMS.5 is therefore an enhancement to ACL\_CMS.4 and the package with its refinement in the PP [9] remains valid. The assurance and evidence was provided accordingly.

#### **ALC\_CMC Configuration Management Capabilities**

The PP refinement from the assurance package ALC\_CMC.4 Production support, acceptance procedures and automation points out that the configuration items comprise all items defined under ALC\_CMS to be tracked under configuration management. In addition a production control system is required guaranteeing the traceability and completeness of different charges and lots. Also the number of wafers, dies and chips must be tracked by this system as well as procedures applied for managing wafers, dies or complete chips being removed from the production process in order to verify and to control predefined quality standards and production parameters. It has to be controlled that these wafers, dies or assembled devices are returned to the same production stage from which they are taken or they have to be securely stored or destroyed otherwise. The additionally covered extended package of ALC\_CMC.5 Advance Support requires advanced support considering the automatisms configuration management systems, acceptance and documentation procedures of changes, role separation with regard to functional roles of personnel, automatisms for tracking and version controlling in those systems, and includes also production control systems. The additional aspects of ADV\_CMC.5 constitute an enhancement of ACL\_CMC.4 and therefore the aspects and ACL\_CMC.4 refinements in the PP [9] remain valid. The assurance and evidence was provided.

#### **ALC\_DVS Development Security**

The assurance package ALC\_DVS.1 identification of security measures is extended to ALC\_DVS.2 requiring the evidence of sufficiency of security measures. The evidence was given and reviewed that the design and implementation and its development environment is protected with regard to confidentiality and integrity. The ALC\_DVS.2 package is an enhancement of ALC\_DVS.1. Therefore, this package and its refinement in the PP [9] remain valid. The assurance and evidence was provided accordingly.

Considering the GBIC requirements as outlined in section o this assurance class is refined with the confirmation that the:

- keys are generated with sufficient entropy
- the keys are stored within a HSM as integral part of the vendor environment
- the keys are stored in the non-volatile memory of the chip

All these requirements were subject of an audit; assurance and evidence was provided.

### **ALC\_DEL Delivery Procedure**

Considering the GBIC requirements, this assurance class is refined with the confirmation that the delivery process of the Flash Loader keys for the users - as referenced in the section o - is separated from the chip respectively goods delivery to the user.

### **ALC\_TAT Tools and Techniques**

The assurance package ALC\_TAT.2 Compliance with implementation standards is extended to ALC\_TAT.3 Compliance with implementation standards - all parts requiring that all implemented parts are compliant to implementation standards. The evidence has been given that all parts have been developed and implemented according to implementation standards, processes and rules.

## **7.3.1.3 Tests (ATE)**

### **ATE\_COV Test Coverage**

The PP refined assurance package ATE\_COV.2 Analysis of coverage addresses the extent to which the TSF is tested, and whether or not the testing is sufficiently extensive to demonstrate that the TSF operates as specified. It includes the test documentation of the TSFIs in the functional specification. In particular the refinement requires that The TOE must be tested under different operating conditions within the specified ranges. In addition, the existence and effectiveness of mechanisms against physical attacks should be covered by evidence that the TOE has the particular physical characteristics. This is furthermore detailed in the PP [9].

This assurance package ATE\_COV.2 has been enhanced to ATE\_COV.3 to cover the rigorous analysis of coverage. This requires the presence of evidence that exhaustive testing on rigorous entirely all interfaces as documented in the functional specification was conducted. By that ATE\_COV.2 and refinements as given in the PP [9] are enhanced by ATE\_COV.3 and remain as well. The TSFIs were completely tested according to ATE\_COV.3 and the assurance and evidence was provided.

### **ATE\_FUN Functional Tests**

The assurance package ATE\_FUN.1 Functional testing is extended to ATE\_FUN.2 Ordered functional testing requiring which means to include considerations of dependency aspects. The package ATE\_FUN.2 is an enhancement to ATE\_FUN.1 in terms of describing dependencies and sequences of the functional testing documented with ATE\_FUN.1. Therefore, the refinements in the PP [9] remain valid. The testing systems, processes and tooling have been analyzed and reviewed with regard to intrinsic dependencies.

## **7.3.1.4 AVA\_VAN Vulnerability Analysis**

The assurance package AVA\_VAN remains unchanged compared to the forerunner processes and requires advanced methodical vulnerability analysis.

## 7.4 Security Requirements Rationale

### 7.4.1 Rationale for the Security Functional Requirements

The objectives O.Authentication and OE.TOE\_Auth are discussed in the PP [9] chapter 7.2.1.  
 The objectives O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader and OE.Lim\_Block\_Loader and the covering security functional requirements FMT\_LIM.1/Loader and FMT\_LIM.2/Loader are discussed in the PP [9] chapter 7.3.1.  
 The policy P.Ctrl\_Loader and the objectives O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader and OE.Loader\_usage are discussed in the PP [9] chapter 7.3.2.

Additionally, the objective O.Add-Function includes the objectives implemented by each of the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library versions: O.Ctrl\_Auth\_CCL, O.Prot\_Integrity and O.Prot\_Confidentiality. These extended objectives are discussed in this ST in chapter 5.5 P.Add functions.

The additional objectives O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality is defined in chapter 5.1 and 5.8 in this document.  
 PP [9] chapter 6.1 includes also the definition of FDP\_SDI.2 „Stored data integrity monitoring and action“. While the above mentioned security functional requirements rationale of the TOE are defined and described in PP [9] section 6.3.1, the additional introduced SFRs are listed and discussed below:

**Table 15 Rational for additional SFR in the ST**

Objective	TOE Security Functional Requirements
O.Add-Functions	FCS_COP.1/RSA-1 Cryptographic operation for ACL-1 FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1 Cryptographic operation for ACL-1 FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1 Cryptographic operation for ACL-1 FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1 Cryptographic key generation for ACL-1 FCS_CKM.1/EC-1 Cryptographic key generation for ACL-1 FCS_COP.1/RSA-2 Cryptographic operation for ACL-2 FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2 Cryptographic operation for ACL-2 FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2 Cryptographic operation for ACL-2 FCS_CKM.1/RSA-2 Cryptographic key generation for ACL-2 FCS_CKM.1/EC-2 Cryptographic key generation for ACL-2 FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic key generation for CCL-1 FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic key generation for CCL-2 FCS_COP.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel for CCL-1 FCS_COP.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel for CCL-2 FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction for CCL-1 FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction CCL-2 FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1 Cryptographic operation CMAC for SCL-1 FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction CMAC for SCL-1
O.Phys-Manipulation	FPT_TST.2 Subset TOE security testing
O.Mem-Access	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

Objective	TOE Security Functional Requirements
O.Malfunction	FDP_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action
O.RND	FCS_RNG.1/TRNG Generation of Random Numbers -TRNG FCS_RNG.1/HPRG Generation of Random Numbers - HPRG FCS_RNG.1/DRNG Generation of Random Numbers -DRNG FCS_RNG.1/KSG Generation of Random Numbers - KSG
O.Prot_TSF_ Confidentiality	FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF-trusted channel FDP_ACC.1/Loader Subset access control –Loader FDP_ACF.1/Loader Security attribute based access control – Loader
O.TDES	FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1 Cryptographic operation – TDES by SCL-1 FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by SCL-1 FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2 Cryptographic operation – TDES by SCL-2 FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by SCL-2 FCS_COP.1/TDES Cryptographic operation – TDES by SCP FCS_CKM.4/TDES Cryptographic key destruction – TDES by SCP
O.AES	FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1 Cryptographic operation – AES by SCL-1 FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction – AES by SCL-1 FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2 Cryptographic operation – AES by SCL-2 FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction – AES by SCL-2 FCS_COP.1/AES Cryptographic operation – AES by SCP FCS_CKM.4/AES Cryptographic key destruction – AES by SCP

The table above gives an overview, how the security functional requirements are combined to meet the security objectives.

For clarity please find following assignment to versions:

- ACL-1 covers version v2.07.003
- ACL-2 covers version v2.06.003
- SCL-1 covers version v02.04.002
- SCL-2 covers version v02.02.010
- CCL-1 covers version v02.00.0004
- CCL-2 covers version v2.0.0002

### 7.4.1.1 Cryptographic Aspects

The justification related to the security objective "Additional Specific Security Functionality (O.Add-Functions)" is as follows:

The security functional requirement(s) "Cryptographic operation (FCS\_COP.1)" exactly require(s) those functions to be implemented which are demanded by O.Add-Functions:

- The ACL library – regardless of the version chosen - implements the SFRs FCS\_CKM.1/RSA, supporting the generation of RSA keys, and the FCS\_CKM.1/EC, supporting the generation of EC keys, needed for these cryptographic operations.

To sum up, the ACL versions implement the SFRs as follows:

- FCS\_COP.1/RSA-1, FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-1, FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-1, FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-1, and FCS\_CKM/EC-1 respectively
- FCS\_COP.1/RSA-2, FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-2, FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-2, FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-2, and FCS\_CKM/EC-2.
- The SCL library of version v02.04.002 implements the function of cipher based message authentication code CMAC, supporting the authenticity and integrity of data blocks for example used for messages.
- The CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library – regardless of the versions - implements the SFRs FCS\_COP.1/CCL Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel, establishing the trusted channel between two authenticated entities and FCS\_CKM.1/CCL Cryptographic key generation, supporting the generation of the keys used for authentication and confidentiality. The CIPURSE™ CL operation requires the presence of the SCP.

The implementation covers the functional requirements and meets the objective O.Add-Functions.

The use of the supporting library Toolbox has no impact on any security functional requirement nor does the use generate additional requirements.

All these requirements have to be fulfilled to support OE.Resp-Appl for the SFRs implemented by the:

- SCP:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES
- SCL for version v02.04.002:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1
- SCL for version v02.02.010:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-2
- CCL for version v02.00.0004:
  - FCS\_COP.1/CCL Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel,
  - FCS\_CKM.1/CCL Cryptographic key generation
  - FCS\_CKM.4/CCL Cryptographic key destruction
- CCL for version v2.0.0002:
  - FCS\_COP.1/CCL Cryptographic Operation CCL Trusted Channel,
  - FCS\_CKM.1/CCL Cryptographic key generation
  - FCS\_CKM.4/CCL Cryptographic key destruction

Nevertheless, the developer of the Smartcard Embedded Software must ensure that the additional functions are used as specified and that the User data of the Composite TOE processed by these functions are protected as defined for the application context. These issues are addressed by the specific security functional requirements:

- [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data of the Composite TOE without security attributes or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data of the Composite TOE with security attributes or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation],
- FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

The security functional requirements required to meet the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced define how to implement the specific security functionality. However, key-dependent functions could be implemented in the Smartcard Embedded Software.

The usage of cryptographic algorithms requires the use of appropriate keys. Otherwise these cryptographic functions do not provide security. The keys have to be unique with a very high probability, and must have a certain cryptographic strength etc. In case of a key import into the TOE (which is usually after TOE delivery) it has to be ensured that quality and confidentiality are maintained. Keys for DES and AES are provided by the environment. Keys for RSA and EC algorithms can be provided either by the TOE or the environment.

The justification of the security objective and the additional requirements (both for the TOE and its environment) show that they do not contradict to the rationale already given in the Protection Profile for the assumptions, policy and threats defined there.

#### **7.4.1.2 Hardware related Aspects**

The security functional component Subset TOE security testing (FPT\_TST.2) has been newly created (Common Criteria Part 2 extended). This component allows that particular parts of the security mechanisms and functions provided by the TOE can be tested after TOE Delivery. This security functional component is used instead of the functional component FPT\_TST.1 from Common Criteria Part 2. For the user it is important to know which security functions or mechanisms can be tested. The functional component FPT\_TST.1 does not mandate to explicitly specify the security functions being tested. In addition, FPT\_TST.1 requires verification of the integrity of TSF data and stored TSF executable code which might violate the security policy.

The tested security enforcing functions are SF\_DPM Device Phase Management, SF\_CS Cryptographic Support and SF\_PMA Protection against modifying attacks.

The justification related to the security objective "Protection against Physical Manipulation (O.Phys-Manipulation)" is as follows:

The security functional requirement FPT\_TST.2 will detect attempts to conduct a physical manipulation on the monitoring functions of the TOE. The objective of FPT\_TST.2 is O.Phys-Manipulation. The physical manipulation will be tried to overcome security enforcing functions.

The security functional requirement "Subset access control (FDP\_ACC.1)" with the related Security Function Policy (SFP) "Memory Access Control Policy" exactly require the implementation of an area based memory access control as required by O.Mem-Access. The related TOE security functional requirements FDP\_ACC.1, FDP\_ACF.1, FMT\_MSA.3, FMT\_MSA.1 and FMT\_SMF.1 cover this security objective. The implementation of these functional requirements is represented by the dedicated privilege level concept.

The justification of the security objective and the additional requirements show that they do not contradict to the rationale already given in the Protection Profile for the assumptions, policy and threats defined there. Moreover, these additional security functional requirements cover the requirements by the PP [g] user data of the Composite TOE protection of chapter 1.2.5 claim 35 and 36 which are not refined by the PP [g].



Nevertheless, the developer of the Smartcard Embedded Software must ensure that the additional functions are used as specified and that the User data of the Composite TOE processed by these functions are protected as defined for the application context. The TOE only provides the tool to implement the policy defined in the context of the application.

The justification related to the security objective Protection against Malfunction due to Environmental Stress (O.Malfunction) is as follows:

The security functional requirement Stored data integrity monitoring and action (FDP\_SDI.2) requires the implementation of an integrity observation and correction which is implemented by the Error Detection (EDC) and Error Correction (ECC) measures. The EDC is present throughout all memories of the TOE while the ECC is realized in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. These measures detect and inform about one and more bit errors. In case of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM 1 bit errors of the data are corrected automatically. The ECC mechanism protects the TOE from the use of corrupt data.. Therefore FDP\_SDI.2 is suitable to meet the security objective O.Phys-Manipulation.

The presence of true random numbers is the security goal 4 (SG4) which is formalized in the objective O.RND Random Numbers. This objective must be covered by fulfillment of the security functional requirement FCS\_RNG. This is defined in the PP [9] chapter 5.1. The requirement implements a quality metric which is defined by national regulations. The implemented random number generation fulfils the definitions of AIS 31 [13] in the quality classes as outlined in chapter 7.1.1.1. Therefore the SFR FCS\_RNG and the objective O.RND are covered.

The CC part 2 defines the component FIA\_SOS.2, which is similar to FCS\_RNG.1, as follows:

<b>FIA_SOS.2</b>	<b>TSF Generation of secrets</b>
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
<b>FIA_SOS.2.1</b>	The TSF shall provide a mechanism to generate secrets that meet [assignment: <i>a defined quality metric</i> ].
<b>FIA_SOS.2.2</b>	The TSF shall be able to enforce the use of TSF generated secrets for [assignment: <i>list of TSF functions</i> ].

### 7.4.1.3 Flash Loader related Aspects

The justification related to the Flash Loader security objectives are as follows. Note that the following objectives and related rationales apply only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for software or data download by the user. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user data download is completed. Depending on the capabilities of the user software these security functional requirements may then reoccur as subject of the composite TOE.

The Flash Loader related objectives are:

The objective O.Authentication requires the presence of an authentication mechanism proving the identity of a given security IC to an external entity. This objective is covered by the functional requirement FIA\_API Authentication Proof of Identity. The Flash Loader implements this functionality and outputs identification data to external requesting entity. The user guidance describes in more detail how this authentication request is applied and conducted by the external entity. As the functional requirements are met by the Flash Loader the objective is covered.

The objective O.Cap\_Avail\_Loader requires limited capabilities of the Loader functionality and irreversible termination of the Loader. First, this is covered by the functional requirement FMT\_LIM.1/Loader which implements protection against data manipulation and disclosure by unauthorized users after permanent deactivation of the Flash Loader. Second, the functional requirement FMT\_LIM.2/Loader limits the Flash Loader

**Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)**

availability after the download has been finished by the user. The Flash Loader provides a final locking command which irreversibly terminates the Flash Loader availability. This command execution must be applied after user has finalized his download. As the functional requirements are met by the Flash Loader the objective is covered.

The objectives O.Ctrl\_Auth\_Loader and O.Prot\_TSF\_Confidentiality require that a trusted communication channel with an authorized user, a confidentiality protection during the download and authentication of the user data and access control for the usage of the Loader functionality are provided by the Loader.

Without successfully passing the authentication functionality of the Flash Loader no usage of the Flash Loader is possible. Passing the authentication successfully assigns also a user role to the current user.

The Flash Loader implements mutual authentication functionality and if successfully passing this mutual authentication, the TOE and the external user are established as trusted entities. Furthermore, the Flash Loader enforces the exchange of the download key by the user which provides clear separation from the hardware vendor and preserves confidentiality of the user data download. In addition, the Flash Loader preserves the integrity of the downloaded data against for example induced errors by hashing functionality.

As the functional requirements are met by the Flash Loader the objective is covered.

Note regarding the Flash Loader:

The algorithm strength of the Flash Loader has not been cryptographic-analytically evaluated by the BSI, as Infineon has implemented a proprietary extension.

End of note.

**7.4.1.4 Dependencies of Security Functional Requirements**

The dependencies of the security functional requirements are defined and described in PP [9] section 6.3.2, with FDP\_SDI.2, and with regard to the Flash Loader related security functional requirements, the description is given at the individual package chapters 7.2.3, 7.3.1 and 7.3.2.

FDP_ITT.1	FDP_IFC.1	FPT_ITT.1	FPT_PHP.3	FPT_FLS.1
FRU_FLT.2	FMT_LIM.1	FMT_LIM.2	FCS_RNG.1	FAU_SAS.1
FDP_SDI.2	FDP_SDC.1	FMT_LIM.1/Loader	FMT_LIM.2/Loader	FDP_ACC.1/Loader
FDP_ACF.1/Loader				

The security functional requirements FIA\_API.1 and FTP\_ITC.1 have no dependencies.

The security functional requirements FIA\_API.1, FMT\_LIM.1/Loader, FMT\_LIM.2/Loader, FTP\_ITC.1, FDP\_UCT.1, FDP\_UIT.1, FDP\_ACC.1/Loader and FDP\_ACF.1/Loader apply only at TOE products which are delivered with activated Flash Loader.

Further dependencies of security functional requirements are given in following table:

**Table 16 Dependency for cryptographic operation requirement**

Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Requirements
FCS_COP.1/RSA-1 and FCS_COP.1/RSA-2	FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 (if not FCS_CKM.1)	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1 and FCS_CKM.1/RSA-2	FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1	Yes
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1 and FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2

**Confidential Security Target**  
**Common Criteria v3.1 - EAL6 augmented / EAL6+**  
**Security Requirements (ASE\_REQ)**



Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Requirements
FCS_CKM.1/EC-1 and FCS_CKM.1/EC-2	FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1	Yes
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1 and FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/TDES (by SCP)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_CKM.4/TDES (by SCP)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/AES (by SCP)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_CKM.4/AES (by (SCP)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1 and FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1 and FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1 and FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1 and FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1 (by SCL-1 only, v02.04.002)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM1]	Yes, see comment 2
	FCS_CKM.4	
FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL (by SCL-1 only, v02.04.002)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 2
FCS_COP.1/CCL-1 and FCS_COP.1/CCL-2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	Yes, see comment 4
	FCS_CKM.4	
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1 and FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2	None	Yes, see comment 4
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1 and FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2	FCS_CKM.1	Yes, see comment 4
FPT_TST.2	No dependencies	Yes
FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACF.1	Yes
FDP_ACF.1	FMT_MSA.3	Yes
	FDP_ACC.1	Yes
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	Yes
	FMT_SMR.1	Not required, see comment 1
FMT_MSA.1	FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1	Yes
	FMT_SMR.1	Not required, see comment 1
	FMT_SMF.1	Yes

Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Fulfilled by Security Requirements
FMT_SMF.1	None	N/A
FMT_LIM.1/Loader	FMT_LIM.2/Loader	Yes
FMT_LIM.2/Loader	FMT_LIM.1/Loader	Yes
FTP_ITC.1	None	Yes, see comment 3
FDP_UCT.1	[FTP_ITC.1 or FTP_TRP.1]	Yes
	[FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1]	Yes
FDP_UIT.1	[FTP_ITC.1 or FTP_TRP.1]	Yes
	[FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1]	Yes
FDP_ACC.1/Loader	FDP_ACF.1/Loader	Yes
FDP_ACF.1/Loader	FMT_MSA.3	Yes, see comment 3
	FDP_ACC.1/Loader	Yes, see comment 3

**Comment 1:**

The dependency FMT\_SMR.1 introduced by the two components FMT\_MSA.1 and FMT\_MSA.3 is considered to be satisfied because the access control specified for the intended TOE is not role-based but enforced for each subject. Therefore, there is no need to identify roles in form of a security functional requirement FMT\_SMR.1. End of comment.

**Comment 2:**

These requirements all address the appropriate management of cryptographic keys used by the specified cryptographic function and are not part of the PP [9]. Most requirements concerning key management shall be fulfilled by the environment since the Smartcard Embedded Software is designed for a specific application context and uses the cryptographic functions provided by the TOE.

For the security functional requirements:

- SCP:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES and
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES
- SCL-1:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1
- SCL-2:
  - FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2
  - FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-2

the respective dependencies FCS\_CKM.1, FDP\_ITC.1 or FDP\_ITC.2 have to be fulfilled by the environment, because the TOE does not provide the accompanying functionality; i.e. generate and import keys.

That means that the environment shall meet the requirements FCS\_CKM.1, FDP\_ITC.1 or FDP\_ITC.2 as defined in Common Criteria Part 2 [11], section 10.1 and 11.7.

The cryptographic key destruction can be done by overwriting the key register interfaces or by software reset of the SCP which provides immediate zeroing of all SCP key registers. Please refer also to the application notes 41 and 42 in the PP [9].

These requirements all address the appropriate management of cryptographic keys used by the specified cryptographic function and are not part of the PP [9]. Most requirements concerning key management shall be

fulfilled by the environment since the Smartcard Embedded Software is designed for a specific application context and uses the cryptographic functions provided by the TOE.

For the security functional requirements:

- ACL-1:
  - FCS\_COP.1/RSA-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-1
  - FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-1
- ACL-2:
  - FCS\_COP.1/RSA-2
  - FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-2
  - FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-2

and the respective dependency FCS\_CKM.1 has to be fulfilled by the TOE with the security functional requirements:

- FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-1 (for FCS\_COP.1/RSA-1),
- FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-2 (for FCS\_COP.1/RSA-2),
- FCS\_CKM.1/EC-1 (for FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-1 and
- FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-1) and
- FCS\_CKM.1/EC-2 (for FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-2 and FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-2)

as defined in section 7.1.4.

The respective dependency FCS\_CKM.4 has to be fulfilled by the environment because the TOE does not provide the functionality to delete keys. That mean, that the environment shall meet the requirement FCS\_CKM.4 as defined in Common Criteria Part 2 [11], section 10.1.

Additionally, the requirement FCS\_CKM.1 can be fulfilled by the environment as defined in Common Criteria Part 2 [11], section 10.1.

For the security functional requirements FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-1, FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-2, FCS\_CKM.1/EC-1 and FCS\_CKM.1/EC-2 the respective dependency FCS\_COP.1/RSA-1 respectively FCS\_COP.1/RSA-2 is fulfilled by the TOE.

Again, the respective dependency FCS\_CKM.4 has to be fulfilled by the environment because the TOE does not provide this functionality. Therefore, the environment shall meet the requirement FCS\_CKM.4 as defined in Common Criteria Part 2 [11], section 10.1.

The cryptographic libraries RSA, EC and the Toolbox library are delivery options, regardless of the version chosen. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations of or even without these libraries. In the case of coming without one or any combination of the cryptographic libraries RSA and EC, the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC). The Toolbox is no cryptographic library and provides no additional specific security functionality.

The IT environment has to fulfill the requirements of this section depending if the TOE comes with or without a/the library/ies.

End of comment.

### **Comment 3:**

The inter-TSF trusted channel SFR FTP\_ITC.1 has no dependency and is provided as main purpose by the Flash Loader. The Flash Loader provides a distinct and independent communication channel with authenticated end points and protection from modification or disclosure.

The dependency FMT\_MSA.3 introduced by the component FDP\_ACF.1/Loader is considered to be not required, because the security attributes enforcing the Loader SFP are fixed by the IC manufacturer and no new objects

under the control of the Loader SFP are created. Claim 371 of PP [9] applies.  
End of comment.

**Comment 4:**

The security of the cryptographic functions FCS\_CKM.1/CCL, FCS\_CKM.4/CCL and FCS\_COP.1/CCL relies on the secure use of each of the CIPURSE™ CL versions: This means that it is essential on user side that the common secret is generated and stored in an appropriate way and that integrity and confidentiality of this user secret is maintained. These preconditions are treated in the PP [9] section 3.1 claims 67 and 68.

The key destruction FCS\_CKM.4/CCL applies only for the keys generated during and for a session and not for the common secret.

End of comment.

## **7.4.2 Rationale of the Assurance Requirements**

The chosen assurance level EAL6 is augmentation with the requirements coming from ALC\_FLR.1. In Table 17 the different assurance levels are shown as well as the augmentations. The augmentations are in compliance with the Protection Profile [9].

An assurance level EAL6 with the augmentations ALC\_FLR.1 is required for this type of TOE since it is intended to defend against **highly sophisticated attacks** without protective environment over a targeted long life time. Thereby, the TOE must withstand attackers with high attack potential, which is achieved by fulfilling the assurance class AVA\_VAN.5.

In order to provide a meaningful level of assurance and that the TOE provides an adequate level of defense against such high potential attacks, the evaluators have access to all information regarding the TOE including the TSF internals, the low level design and source code including the testing of the modular design. Additionally the mandatory technical document "Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards" [14] shall be taken as a basis for the vulnerability analysis of the TOE.

Due to the targeted long life time of the Infineon Technologies products, a comprehensive flaw remediation process and database is in place to maintain the TOE also in future. Reported flaws of any kind, meaning, regardless whether the flaws reported have a more directed towards quality, functional or security, are tracked by a dedicated database and related processes.

And more, in order to continuously improve also future products reported flaws are analyzed whether they could affect also future products. Due to its overall importance for future development, the assurance class ALC\_FLR.1 is included in this certification process.

This evaluation assurance package was selected to permit a developer gaining maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial practices as well as the assurance that the TOE is maintained during its targeted life time. The evaluation assurance package follows the EAL6 assurance classes as given in Common Criteria Part 3 [12].

### **7.4.2.1 ALC\_FLR.1 Basic Flaw Remediation**

Flaws of any kind are entered into a dedicated database with related processes to solve those.

At the point in time where a flaw is entered, it is automatically logged who entered a flaw and who is responsible for solving it. In addition, it is also documented if, when and how an individual flaw has been solved.

Flaws are prioritized and assigned to a responsibility.

The assurance class ALC\_FLR.1 has no dependencies.

## 8 TOE Summary Specification (ASE\_TSS)

The product overview is given in section 2.1. In the following the Security Features are described and the relation to the security functional requirements is shown.

The TOE is equipped with following Security Features to meet the security functional requirements:

- SF\_DPM Device Phase Management
- SF\_PS Protection against Snooping
- SF\_PMA Protection against Modification Attacks
- SF\_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks
- SF\_CS Cryptographic Support

The following description of the Security Features is a complete representation of the TSF.

### 8.1 SF\_DPM: Device Phase Management

The life cycle of the TOE is split-up in several phases: Chip development and production (covering phases 2, 3 and 4) and the final use (phase 4 to 7). This is a rough split-up from TOE point of view. These phases are implemented in the TOE as test mode (phase 3) and user mode (phase 4-7).

In addition, chip identification modes are implemented being active in all TOE life cycle phases. The chip identification data (O.Identification) is stored in a in the not changeable and access protected configuration page area of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. In the same area further TOE configuration data is stored. In addition, user initialization data can be stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM during the production phase as well. During this first data programming, the TOE is still in the secure environment and in Test Mode.

The covered security functional requirement is FAU\_SAS.1 "Audit storage".

During start-up of the TOE the decision for one of the various operation modes is taken in dependency of the corresponding phase identifiers. The decision of accessing a certain mode is defined as phase entry protection as defined conditions have to be met. The phases follow also a defined and flow protected sequence. The sequence of the phases is protected by means of authentication.

The covered security functional requirements are FMT\_LIM.1 "Limited Capabilities" and FMT\_LIM.2 "Limited availability".

During the production phase (phase 3 and 4) or after the delivery to the user (phase 5 or phase 6), the TOE provides the possibility to download and finalize the user data. Using the download functionality of the Flash Loader requires passing a successful authentication process and a key exchange. The key exchange ensures that only the user defines the encryption key which is used during the download. If the conditions are met, user code and data can be flashed into the SOLID FLASH™ NVM area as specified by the associated control information of the Flash Loader software. The download into the chip is done in an encrypted way only.

The usage of the Flash Loader is only possible after a successful mutual authentication process of the external entity and the TOE itself.

In case the user has ordered TOE derivatives without Flash Loader, the software download by the user (phase 5 or phase 6) is disabled and all user data of the Composite TOE has been flashed on the TOE at Infineon premises. In both cases the integrity of the loaded data is checked with a hashing process. The data to be loaded is transferred always in encrypted form.

After finalizing the load operation and prior delivery to the end-user, the Flash Loader shall be permanently deactivated. The permanent deactivation is named locking and is a user obligation documented in the user guidance. This locking removes any possibility to use or reactivate the Flash Loader and provides a clear separation between the firmware-domain – regardless of the version in use - and the user software domain regarding downloads: Software updates after delivery to the end user are exclusively in the responsibility of the

user software.

The covered security functional requirements are FMT\_LIM.1/Loader "Limited capabilities", FMT\_LIM.2/Loader "Limited availability-Loader", FIA\_API.1 "Authentication Proof of Identity", FTP\_ITC.1 "Inter-TSF trusted channel", FDP\_UCT.1 "Basic data exchange confidentiality", FDP\_UIT.1 "Data exchange integrity", FDP\_ACC.1/Loader "Subset Access Control – Loader" and FDP\_ACF.1/Loader "Security Attribute based Access Control – Loader".

These Flash Loader related security functional requirements apply only at TOE products coming with activated Flash Loader enabled for user data download by the user. In other cases the Flash Loader is not available anymore and the user data download is completed.

During operation within a selected life cycle phase the accesses to memories are granted by the MMU controlled access rights and related privilege levels. The TOE operates always in a dedicated life cycle phase.

The covered security functional requirements are FDP\_ACC.1 "Subset Access Control", FDP\_ACF.1 "Security Attribute based Access Control" and FMT\_MSA.1 "Management of Security Attributes".

In addition, during each start-up of the TOE the address ranges, belonging memory keys and access rights are initialized by the BOS with predefined values. The covered security functional requirement is FMT\_MSA.3 "Static Attribute Initialization".

The TOE clearly defines access rights and privilege levels in conjunction with the appropriate key management in dependency of the firmware – regardless of the version in use - or software to be executed. By this clearly defined management functions are implemented, enforced by the MMU, and the covered security functional requirement is FMT\_SMF.1 "Specification of Management Functions".

During the testing phase in production within the secure environment the entire SOLID FLASH™ NVM is deleted. The covered security functional requirement is FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack".

Each operation phase is protected by means of authentication and encryption. The covered security functional requirements are FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal Transfer Protection" and FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF Data Transfer Protection".

### 8.1.1 Listing of SFRs implemented by SF\_DPM Device Phase Management

**Table 17 Security Functional Requirements covered by SF\_DPM Device Phase Management**

1. FAU\_SAS.1 Audit storage
2. FMT\_LIM.1 Limited Capabilities
3. FMT\_LIM.2 Limited availability
4. FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control
5. FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
6. FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes
7. FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization
8. FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions
9. FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack
10. FDP\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection
11. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection
12. FMT\_LIM.1/Loader Limited capabilities
13. FMT\_LIM.2/Loader Limited availability-Loader
14. FIA\_API.1 Authentication Proof of Identity
15. FTP\_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel
16. FDP\_UCT.1 Basic data exchange confidentiality



17. FDP\_UIT.1 Data exchange integrity
18. FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control
19. FDP\_ACC.1/Loader Subset access control – Loader
20. FDP\_ACF.1/Loader Security attribute based access control – Loader

## 8.2 SF\_PS: Protection against Snooping

### Memories

All contents of all memories of the TOE are encrypted on chip to protect against data analysis on stored data as well as on internally transmitted data. There is no plain data on the chip and the encryption of the memories cover also the stored error detection values, and with regard to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, also the error correction values. Induced errors will lead with very high probability to an encryption and/or decryption fail in the MED and to the appropriate action.

In contrast to the linear virtual address range, the physical SOLID FLASH™ NVM pages are transparently mapped to different physical address ranges and controlled by the MMU. Thus the data is continuously protected during transfer and storage by encryption and the mapping means of the address ranges. On top this, the address scrambling provides a completely user transparent chip-individual physical memory layout of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. By that even in the unlike event of two equal TOE derivatives coming with equal software, equal MMU settings and equal MMU mapping, finding an equal piece of data – for example a previously identified target - at the equal physical location in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM on the second chip is extremely unlikely and from attackers perspective not practical. This address scrambling is entirely independent from the user software and the MMU.

### MED

The encryption of the memories is performed by the MED with a proprietary cryptographic algorithm and with a complex and dynamic key management providing protection against cryptographic analysis attacks. This includes also the possibility of user chosen keys for SOLID FLASH™ NVM areas. The only key remaining static over the product life cycle is the specific ROM key, changing in case of a mask change only. The few keys which have to be stored on the chip, for example the user chosen key and the chip specific ROM key, are protected against read out. Note that the ROM contains the firmware, but exclusively only one of the two alternative versions and no user data of the Composite TOE.

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FDP\_IFC.1 "Subset information flow control", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection", FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection" FPT\_FLS.1, "Failure with preservation of secure state" and FDP\_SDC.1 "Stored data confidentiality".

### Peripheral Bus

In addition the data transferred over the memory bus to and from (bi-directional encryption) the CPU, Co-processors, the special SFRs and selected peripheral devices connected to the peripheral bus are encrypted automatically with a dynamic key change. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8]. The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FDP\_IFC.1 "Subset information flow control", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection", FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

### CPU

The TOE computes and handles data even in the core only encrypted respectively masked. At no time plain data is processed – except when communicating to the outer world. By this plain data is only available at the interface modules. The dual CPU computes entirely masked. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FDP\_IFC.1 "Subset information flow control", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection", FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

### SCP/Cache

The symmetric cryptographic co-processor (SCP) is also entirely masked. The Cache being in ongoing use during core operation is also entirely encrypted. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8]. The encryption covers the data processing policy and FDP\_IFC.1 "Subset information flow control". The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3, FDP\_IFC.1, FPT\_ITT.1 and FDP\_ITT.1.

#### MMU

In addition to their protection during processing of code and data, their storage in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM is protected with a further mean too: Even if users operate with direct and static addressing for storing their secrets, the addresses are always translated to virtual addresses. More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attacks", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection", FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection" and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

#### Proprietary CPU

A proprietary dual CPU implementation with a non-public bus protocol renders analysis very complicated and time consuming. Besides the proprietary structures also the internal timing behavior is proprietary and by this aggravating significantly the analysis in addition. Important parts of the chip are especially designed to counter leakage or side channel attacks like DPA/SPA or EMA/DEMA. Therefore, even the physical data gaining is difficult to perform, since timing and current consumption is almost independent of the dynamically encrypted, respectively masked and/or randomized data.

Important parts of the chip are especially designed to counter leakage or side channel attacks like DPA/SPA or EMA/DEMA. Therefore, even identifying and collecting physical data is difficult to perform, since timing and current consumption are almost independent of the processed data, as those are protected by a bunch of other internal protecting means.

#### Synthesis

In the design a number of components are automatically synthesized and mixed up to disguise and complicate analysis. The covered security functional requirement is FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack".

#### Secure Wiring/I<sup>2</sup> shield

A further protective design method used is secure wiring. All security critical wires have been identified and protected by special routing measures against probing. Additionally, artificial shield lines are implemented and mixed up with normal signal lines required for chip operation, rendering probing attacks with high feasibility to not practical. This provides the so called intelligent implicit active shielding "I<sup>2</sup>-shield".

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection" and FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection".

#### FSE

A low system frequency sensor FSE is implemented to prevent the TOE from single stepping.

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack" and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

## 8.2.1 SF\_S Protection against Snooping

**Table 18 Security Functional Requirements covered by SF\_PLA Protection against Snooping**

1. FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack
2. FDP\_SDC.1 Stored data confidentiality
3. FDP\_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
4. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection
5. FDP\_ITT.1 Resistance to physical attack
6. FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state

### 8.3 SF\_PMA: Protection against Modifying Attacks

First of all we can say that all security mechanisms effective against snooping **SF\_PS** apply also here since a reasonable modification of data is almost impossible on dynamically encrypted, masked, scrambled, transparently relocated, randomized and topologically protected hardware. Due to this the covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3, FDP\_SDC.1, FDP\_IFC.1, FPT\_ITT.1, FDP\_ITT.1 and FPT\_FLS.1.

The TOE is equipped with an error detection code (EDC) which covers the memory system of RAM, ROM and SOLID FLASH™ NVM and includes also the MED and MMU. Thus introduced failures could be detected and the appropriate action is taken. In terms of single bit errors in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM, the errors are also automatically corrected. This contributes to FDP\_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action and FRU\_FLT.2 Limited fault tolerance. In order to prevent accidental bit faults during production in the ROM an EDC value is calculated and stored as well.

The error detection and partly correction means protect against physical and provide the appropriate reaction in terms of induced errors and faults. The covered security functional requirements are FRU\_FLT.2 Limited fault tolerance, FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack, and FDP\_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action.

The Cache integrity is protected by a different method as the other memories and provides also error detection and appropriate reaction in case of induced errors. This contributes to FDP\_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action.

The TOE is protected against fault and modifying attacks. The core provides the functionality of double-computing and result comparison of all tasks to detect incorrect calculations. The detection of an incorrect calculation is stored and the TOE enters a defined secure state which causes the chip internal reset process. The implementation of the dual CPU computing on the same data is by this one of the most important security features of this platform. As also the results of both CPU parts are compared at the end, a fault induction of modifying attacks would have to be done on both CPU parts at the correct place with the correct timing – despite all other countermeasures like dynamic masking, encryption and others. The comparison and the register files are also protected by various measures. The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state, FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack, FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection and FDP\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection.

During start up, the BOS performs various configurations and subsystem tests. After the BOS has finished, the operating system or application can call the RMS function User Mode Security Life Control (UMSLC) test. This function provides the testing of the security features enabled to generate an alarm and can be released actively by the user software during normal chip operation any time. Attempts to modify the security features will be detected from this test and lead to the appropriate reaction. The covered security functional requirement is FPT\_TST.2 Subset TOE security testing.

In the case that a physical manipulation or a physical probing attack is detected, for example by the intelligent intrinsic shield (I<sup>2</sup>), the processing of the TOE is immediately stopped and the TOE enters a secure state called security reset. The covered security functional requirements are Failure with preservation of secure state, FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state, FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack and FPT\_TST.2 Subset TOE security testing.

As physical effects or manipulative attacks may also address the program flow of the user software, a watchdog timer and a check point register are implemented. These features allow the user to check the correct processing time and the integrity of the program flow of the user software.

Another measure against modifying and perturbation respectively differential fault attacks (DFA) is the implementation of backward calculation in the SCP. By this induced errors are discovered.

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state, FDP\_IFC.1

Subset information flow control, FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection, FDP\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection and FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack.

All communication via the busses is in addition protected by a monitored hardware handshake. If the handshake was not successful an alarm is generated.

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state and FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack.

The virtual memory system and privilege level model are enforced by the MMU. This controls the access rights throughout the TOE. There is a clear differentiation within the defined privilege levels. Addresses and privilege level must match and induced errors and/or manipulation lead to appropriate error message and action. The covered security functional requirements are FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control, FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes, FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization and FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions.

All the measures of controlling the access rights, checking the integrity of data and code, the coverage of the integrity protecting values by means of encryption, the continuously masked calculation and operation stands for the Integrity Guard. The implemented measures interact like a gearing mechanism and by that an induced error will be discovered with very high feasibility followed by the appropriate reaction. While single bit faults may be corrected automatically, other faults which cannot be corrected lead to an alarm, and in case of security critical detections a security alarm and reset is generated. The covered security functional requirement is FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state.

If the hardware support library HSL - in all versions - comes with the TOE and the Low Level Driver (LLD) and/or the In-Place-Update (IPU) functionality are used in certain configurations as outlined in the version specific user guidance document HSL [15], the TOE behavior is protected against sudden power off events and its behavior is tearing safe. This protection is additionally claimed for the IWR method as offered in the version v03.11.8339. This means in detail that the SFRs Failure with preservation of secure state FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-1, FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-2 and Resistance to physical attacks FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-1, FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-2 are covered for the methods LLD and IPU for the HSL versions v02.01.6634 and v01.22.4346 if the user follows the recommendation and configuration of the user guidance.

In addition, the equal SFRs Failure with preservation of secure state and Resistance to physical attacks FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-3 and FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-3 are claimed for version v03.11.8339. The version v03.11.8339 claims the SFRs for the methods LLD, IPU and also IWR if the user follows the recommendation and configuration of the user guidance.

In this case, tearing safe implements an atomicity in the concerned operations resulting that if the process of writing to the SOLID FLASH™ NVM is interrupted by an accidental or intentional power loss or reset, the SOLID FLASH™ NVM data will be either the original data or will be in the new data. The interruption possibly involves some recovery steps that have to be taken before the data is accessed. After successful completion of the concerned operations the relevant data are always in a defined status. If errors are detected during the processing a secure state is entered.

The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state and FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attacks.

### **8.3.1 Listing of SFRs implemented by SF\_PMA Protection against Modifying Attacks**

**Table 19 Security Functional Requirements covered by SF\_PMA Protection against Modifying Attacks**

1. FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack
2. FDP\_IFC.1 Subset information flow control

3. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection
4. FDP\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection
5. FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes
6. FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization
7. FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions
8. FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control
9. FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
10. FRU\_FLT.2 Limited fault tolerance
11. FPT\_TST.2 Subset TOE security testing
12. FDP\_SDI.2 Stored data integrity monitoring and action
13. FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state
14. FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-1 Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-1
15. FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-2 Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-2
16. FPT\_FLS.1/HSL-3 Failure with preservation of secure state by HSL-3
17. FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-1 Resistance to physical attack by HSL-1
18. FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-2 Resistance to physical attack by HSL-2
19. FPT\_PHP.3/HSL-3 Resistance to physical attack by HSL-3

## **8.4 SF\_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks**

The memory access control of the TOE uses a memory management unit (MMU) to control the access to the available physical memory by using virtual memory addresses and to segregate the code and data to a privilege level model. The MMU controls the address permissions of up to seven privileged levels and gives the software the possibility to define different access rights for the user available privileged levels. The address permissions of the privilege levels are controlled by the MMU. In case of an access violation the MMU will trigger a reset and then a trap service routine can react on the access violation. The policy of setting up the MMU and specifying the memory ranges for the privilege levels – with the exception of the IFX level - is defined from the user software (OS). More information is given in the confidential Security Target [8].

Therefore, the TOE provides support for secure separation of memory areas covering the security functional requirements FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FDP\_ACC.1 "Subset access control", FDP\_ACF.1 "Security attribute based access control", FMT\_MSA.1 "Management of security attributes", FMT\_MSA.3 "Static attribute initialisation" and FMT\_SMF.1 "Specification of Management functions".

The TOE provides the possibility to protect the property rights of user code and data by the encryption of the SOLID FLASH™ NVM areas with a specific key defined by the user. Due to this key management FDP\_ACF.1 is fulfilled. In addition, each memory present on the TOE is encrypted using either mask specific or chip individual or even session keys, assigned by a complex key management. Induced errors are recognized by the Integrity Guard concept and lead to an alarm with high feasibility. In case of security critical errors a security alarm is generated and the TOE ends up in a secure state. The covered security functional requirements are FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection", FDP\_IFC.1 "Subset information flow control" and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

Beside the access protection and key management, also the use of illegal operation code is detected and will release a security reset. The covered security functional requirements FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection" and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

## 8.4.1 Listing of SFRs implemented by SF\_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks

**Table 20 Security Functional Requirements covered by SF\_PLA Protection against Logical Attacks**

1. FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control
2. FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
3. FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes
4. FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
5. FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack
6. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection
7. FDP\_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
8. FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state
9. FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management functions

## 8.5 SF\_CS Cryptographic Support

The TOE is equipped with several hardware accelerators and software modules to support the standard symmetric and asymmetric cryptographic operations. This security function is introduced to include the cryptographic operation in the scope of the evaluation as the cryptographic function respectively mathematic algorithm itself is not used from the TOE security policy. On the other hand these functions are of special interest for the use of the hardware as platform for the software. The components are a cryptographic co-processor supporting the DES and AES algorithms and a combination of a co-processor and software library modules to support RSA cryptography, RSA key generation, ECDSA signature generation and verification, ECDH key agreement and EC public key calculation and public key testing.

Note that the additional function of the EC library, ECC\_ADD, providing the primitive elliptic curve operations, does not add specific security functionality and that the according user guidance abbreviates the Elliptic Curve cryptographic functions with ECC.

### Note 44:

The symmetric cryptographic library SCL in two alternative versions is a delivery option. Therefore the TOE may come with or without one of the SCLs. In the case of coming without any of the alternative SCLs, the TOE does not provide the related AES and TDES security functional requirements. The equal case applies if the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor is blocked. Then neither the SCL versions nor the SCP implement the related AES and TDES security functional requirements. The SCL requires the presence of the SCP.

End of note.

### Note 45:

The cryptographic libraries RSA, EC and the Toolbox library are delivery options, regardless of the version chosen. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations of or even without these libraries. In the case of coming without one or any combination of the cryptographic libraries RSA and EC, the TOE does not provide the Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC). The Toolbox Library is no cryptographic library and provides no additional specific security functionality.

End of note.

### Note 46:

This TOE can come with both crypto co-processors accessible, or with a blocked SCP or with a blocked Crypto2304T, or with both crypto co-processors blocked. The blocking depends on the customer demands prior to the production of the hardware. In case the SCP is blocked, no AES and DES computation supported by hardware is possible. In case the Crypto2304T is blocked, no RSA and EC computation supported by hardware is

possible. No accessibility of the deselected cryptographic co-processors is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user decides just not to use the cryptographic co-processors.

End of note.

Note 47:

The presence of one of the alternative cryptographic library CIPURSE™ CL version is a delivery option. Therefore the TOE may come with free combinations with the other libraries of or without these libraries. In the case of coming with none of the CIPURSE™ CL versions the TOE does not provide the specific security functionality implemented by this software.

End of note.

## **8.5.1 Implementation of AES and TDES by the Symmetric Cryptographic Coprocessor SCP**

### **8.5.1.1 Triple DES**

The TOE supports the encryption and decryption in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES) with cryptographic key sizes of 168 bit meeting the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), SP 800-67 [20]*  
*ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]*

The TOE implements the following alternative block cipher modes for the user:

- the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC),
- the Blinding Feedback Mode (BLD),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode Message Authentication Code (CBC-MAC),
- the CBC-MAC- encrypt-last-block (CBC-MAC-ELB) and
- the Recrypt Mode.

The CBC-MAC and CBC-MAC-ELB complies with the standard:

*ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 [32]*

The Recrypt Mode and the BLD are described in the hardware reference manual HRM [1], while the implementation of ECB, CBC and CFB follow the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), SP 800-38A [21]*

Note that the BLD follows also the standard, but in a masked way.

The key destruction as required by FCS\_CKM.4 can be done by overwriting the key register interfaces or by software reset of the SCP which provides immediate zeroing of all SCP key registers.

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

The covered security functional requirements are FCS\_COP.1/TDES and FCS\_CKM.4/TDES.

### **8.5.1.2 AES**

The TOE supports the encryption and decryption in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) and cryptographic key sizes of 128 bit or 192 bit or 256 bit that meet the standard:

*ISO/IEC 18033-3 [30]*  
*FIPS 197 [31]*

The TOE implements the following alternative block cipher modes for the user:

- the Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC),
- the Blinding Feedback Mode (BLD),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode Message Authentication Code (CBC-MAC),
- the CBC-MAC- encrypt-last-block (CBC-MAC-ELB) and
- the Recrypt Mode.

The CBC-MAC and CBC-MAC-ELB complies with the standard:

*ISO/IEC 9797-1 Mac Algorithm 1 and 2 respectively [32]*

The Recrypt Mode and the BLD are described in the hardware reference manual HRM [1], while the implementation of ECB and CBC follow the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]*

The key destruction as required by FCS\_CKM.4 can be done by overwriting the key register interfaces or by software reset of the SCP which provides immediate zeroing of all SCP key registers.

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

The covered security functional requirement is FCS\_COP.1/AES and FCS\_CKM.4/AES.



## 8.5.2 Implementation of TDES, AES and CMAC by the Symmetric Cryptographic Library SCL

### 8.5.2.1 Triple DES for both versions

The SCL of the TOE supports the encryption and decryption in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm Triple Data Encryption Standard (TDES) with cryptographic key sizes of 128 or 192 bit meeting the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), SP 800-67 [20]*

The TOE implements the following alternative block cipher modes for the user:

- The Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC),
- the Counter Mode (CTR),
- the Cipher Block -Feedback Mode (CFB) and the
- Propagating Cipher Block Chaining (PCBC) mode.

ECB, CBC, CTR and CFB modes refer to the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]*

The PCBC mode refers to the standard:

*Bruce Schneier, Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1996 [36].  
This standard should be implemented considering the Security Guidelines only.*

The covered security functional requirement is FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1, FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2, FCS\_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1 and FCS\_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2.

### 8.5.2.2 AES for both versions

The SCL of the TOE supports the encryption and decryption in accordance with the specified cryptographic algorithm Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) and cryptographic key sizes of 128 bit or 192 bit or 256 bit that meet the standard:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]*

The TOE implements the following alternative block cipher modes for the user:

- The Electronic Codebook Mode (ECB),
- the Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC),
- the Counter Mode (CTR),
- the Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB) and
- the Propagating Cipher Block Chaining (PCBC) mode.

The AES advanced encryption standard refers to:

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)  
FIPS PUB 197 [31]*

ECB, CBC, CTR and CFB modes refer to the standard

*National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38A [21]*

The PCBC mode refers to the standard:

*Bruce Schneier, Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1996 [36].  
This standard should be implemented considering the Security Guidelines only.*

The covered security functional requirement is FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-1, FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-2, FCS\_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1 and FCS\_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2.

### **8.5.2.1 CMAC only for version v02.04.002**

The SCL of the TOE supports the computation of cipher based message authentication codes CMAC that meet the standard:

*CMAC: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SP 800-38B [39]*

The CMAC algorithm uses for the computation either the AES or TDES algorithms according to following standards:

- **AES**
  - *Federal Information Standards Publication 197 [31]*
  - *National Institute of Standards and Technology SP800-38A [21]*
  - *ISO/IEC 18033 [30]*
- **TDES**
  - *National Institute of Standards and Technology 800-67 Rev.1 [20]*
  - *National Institute of Standards and Technology SP800-38A [21]*
  - *ISO/IEC 18033 [30]*

The covered security functional requirement is FCS\_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1 and FCS\_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1.

## 8.5.3 RSA Cryptographic Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003

### Encryption, Decryption, Signature Generation and Verification

The TSF shall perform encryption and decryption in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) and cryptographic key sizes 1024 - 4096 bits that meet the following standards:

<p><b>Encryption:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 5.1.1 RSAEP in PKCS [22]:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 5.1.1(1) not supported</li></ul></li><li>2. According to section 8.2.2 IFEP-RSA in IEEE [29]: Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li></ol>
<p><b>Decryption (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 5.1.2 RSADP in PKCS [22] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i)</math>, <math>i &gt; 2</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 5.1.2(1) not supported</li><li>• 5.1.2(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li><li>• 5.1.2(2.b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 5.1.2(2.b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li></ul></li><li>2. According to section 8.2.3 IEEE [29]:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li><li>• 8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 8.2.1(III) not supported</li></ul></li></ol>
<p><b>Signature Generation (with or without CRT):</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 5.2.1 RSASP1 in PKCS [22] for <math>u = 2</math>, i.e., without any <math>(r_i, d_i, t_i)</math>, <math>i &gt; 2</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 5.2.1(1) not supported</li><li>• 5.2.1(2.a) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li><li>• 5.2.1(2b) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 5.2.1(2b) (ii)&amp;(v) not applicable due to <math>u = 2</math></li></ul></li><li>2. According to section 8.2.4 IFSP-RSA1 in IEEE [29]:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 8.2.1(I) supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{2048 + 64}</math></li><li>• 8.2.1(II) supported for <math>p \times q &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 8.2.1(III) not supported</li></ul></li></ol>
<p><b>Signature Verification:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. According to section 5.2.2 RSAVP1 in PKCS [22]: supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 5.2.2(1) not supported</li></ul></li><li>2. According to section 8.2.5 IEEE [29]:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Supported for <math>n &lt; 2^{4096 + 128}</math></li><li>• 8.2.5(1) not supported</li></ul></li></ol>

Please consider also the statement of chapter 7.1.4.1.

## Asymmetric Key Generation

The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm *RSA specified in PKCS#1 [22]* and specified cryptographic key sizes of 65 – 4096 bits that meet the following standard:

### ***RSA key generation:***

1. According to sections 3.1 and 3.2 in PKCS [22], for  $u = 2$ , i.e. without any  $(r_i, d_i, t_i)$ ,  $i > 2$ :

3.1 supported for  $n < 2^{4096 + 128}$

3.2.(1) supported for  $n < 2^{2048 + 64}$

3.2.(2) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{4096 + 128}$

According to section 8.1.3.1 in IEEE [29]:

8.1.3.1(1) supported for  $n < 2^{2048 + 64}$

8.1.3.1(2) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{4096 + 128}$

8.1.3.1(3) supported for  $p \times q < 2^{2048 + 128}$

**FCS\_CKM.1/RSA** is covered by the standards as stated above.

Note 48:

Following the national BSI recommendations, RSA key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate. Please note that the BSI expects this key length as appropriate until 2022 and recommends for longer usage times key lengths of 3000 bits or higher.

End of note.

The covered security functional requirements are **FCS\_COP.1/RSA** and **FCS\_CKM.1/RSA**.

## 8.5.4 Elliptic Curves Cryptographic Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003

The security functional requirement covers the standard Brainpool [19] and NIST [26] Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 Bits, due to national AIS32 regulations by the BSI. Note that numerous other side channel attack resistant curve types exist, which the user optionally can add in the composition certification process.

All curves are based on finite field  $GF(p)$  with value  $p \in [2^{41-1}; 2^{521}[$  as well as curves based on a finite field  $GF(2^n)$  with size  $n \in [41-1; 521]$  are supported.

### Signature Generation and Verification

The TSF shall perform signature generation and signature verification in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm ECDSA and cryptographic key sizes 192 - 521 bits that meet the following standard:

#### **ECDSA Signature Generation:**

1. According to section 7.3 Signing Process in ANSI [23]

- Step d) and e) are not supported
- The output of step e) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.
- Deviation of step c) and f):
  - The jumps to step a) were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function.

2. According to sections 6.4.3 Signature Process in ISO/IEC [27]

- Chapter 6.4.3.3 is not supported
- Chapter 6.4.3.5 is not supported
  - The hash-code of  $H$  of the message has to be provided by the caller as input for our function.
- Chapter 6.4.3.7 is not supported
- Chapter 6.4.3.8 is not supported

3. According to section 7.2.7 ECSP-DSA in IEEE [29]

- Deviation of step (3) and (4):
  - The jumps to step 1 were substituted by a return of the function with an error code, the jumps are emulated by another call to our function

#### **Signature Verification:**

1. According to section 7.4.1 in ANSI [23]

- Step b) and c) are not supported.
- The output of step c) has to be provided as input to our function by the caller.
- Deviation of step d):
  - Beside noted calculation, our algorithm adds a random multiple of BasepointerOrder  $n$  to the calculated values  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

2. According to sections 6.4.4 Signature Verification Process in ISO/IEC [27]

- Chapter 6.4.4.2 is not supported
- Chapter 6.4.4.3 is not supported:
  - The hash-code  $H$  of the message has to be provided by the caller as input to our function

3. According to section 7.2.8 ECVP-DSA in IEEE [29].

### Asymmetric Key Generation

The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm Elliptic Curve EC specified in [23], [27] and [29] and specified cryptographic key sizes 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits that meet the following standard:

**ECDSA Key Generation:**

1. According to the appendix "A.4.3 Elliptic Curve Key Pair Generation" in ANSI [23]:
  - The optional cofactor  $h$  is not supported.
2. According to section "6.4.2 Generation of signature key and verification key" in ISO/IEC [27].
3. According to appendix "A.16.9 An algorithm for generating EC keys" in IEEE [29]

### Asymmetric Key Agreement

The TSF shall perform elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm ECDH and cryptographic key sizes 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits that meet the following standard:

1. According to section "5.4.1 Standard Diffie-Hellman Primitive" in ANSI [24]
  - Unlike section 5.4.1(3) our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.
2. According to "Appendix D.6 Key agreement of Diffie-Hellman" type in ISO/IEC [28]
  - The function enables the operations described in appendix D.6
3. According to section "7.2.1 ECSVHDP-DH" in IEEE [29]
  - Unlike section 7.2.1 our implementation not only returns the x-coordinate of the shared secret, but rather the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate.

The covered security functional requirements are FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA, FCS\_CKM.1/EC and FCS\_COP.1/ECDH.

### 8.5.5 Toolbox Library for versions v2.07.003 and v2.06.003

The Toolbox provides the following basic long integer arithmetic and modular functions in software, supported by the cryptographic coprocessor: Addition, subtraction, division, multiplication, comparison, reduction, modular addition, modular subtraction, modular multiplication, modular inversion and modular exponentiation. No security relevant policy, mechanism or function is supported. The Toolbox library is deemed for software developers as support for simplified implementation of long integer and modular arithmetic operations. The Toolbox does not cover security functional requirements.

## 8.5.6 CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library for both versions

The order option in two alternative versions of the CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) provides cryptographic functionality to implement a CIPURSE™ V2 conformant protocol [35-1].

This protocol provides a secure mutual authentication of two entities, namely the terminal (denoted as PCD = Proximity Coupling Device (CIPURSE™-compliant terminal)) and a smart card or a token in other form factors which is called PICC. PICC stands for Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (CIPURSE™-compliant card).

Beside the mutual authentication, the protocol implements cryptographic measures to maintain the integrity of the transferred data and preserves in parallel the confidentiality of the transferred data.

The alternative versions of the CIPURSE™ CL cover the following cryptographic security functional requirements as follows:

CCL in version v02.00.0004

- FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic key generation,
- FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction - AES
- FCS\_COP.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic Operation - CCL Trusted Channel

CCL in version v2.0.0002

- FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic key generation,
- FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction - AES
- FCS\_COP.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic Operation - CCL Trusted Channel

The implemented cryptographic operation is applies following standards:

- Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197 [31]
- NIST Special Publication SP 800-38A [21]
- CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 5.2 Session key Derivation
- CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.2 Key Derivation for the first frame
- CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.3 Integrity Protection
- CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol [35-1] chapter 6.4 Confidential Communication

## 8.5.7 Hybrid PTRNG

Random data is essential for cryptography as well as for security mechanisms. The TOE is equipped with a Hybrid Physical True Random Number Generator (HPTRNG, FCS\_RNG.1). The random data can be used from the Smartcard Embedded Software and is also used from the security features of the TOE, i.e. masking. The HPTRNG implements various topological means, masked bus interface and is self-checking.

The produced genuine random numbers are available as a security service for the user and are also used for internal purposes. Together with the security guidelines in [6] the hybrid PTRNG operates in the following modes of operation and is conformant to the named classes:

- True Random Number Generation, meeting AIS31 PTG.2
- Hybrid Random Number Generation, meeting AIS31 PTG.3
- Deterministic Random Number Generation (DRNG) AIS31 DRG.3
- Key Stream Generation (KSG), stream cipher generation AIS31 DRG.2

The details of AIS31 are given in [13].

The Hybrid PTRNG implements protected a protected peripheral bus interface is a synthesized module and covers therefore FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack". The transferred random data are masked as well as other configuration data transferred over the peripheral bus. This covers FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer

protection" and FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection". The correct function of the Hybrid PTRNG is subject of internal self-testing and in case of errors a secure state is achieved to protect the user from random data with bad entropy. Therefore, the output of the Hybrid PTRNG is conformant to the above claimed classes or there is no random data output. This covers FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

The hybrid PTRNG covers the security functional requirements FCS\_RNG.1 "Random Number Generation", FPT\_PHP.3 "Resistance to physical attack", FDP\_ITT.1 "Basic internal transfer protection", FPT\_ITT.1 "Basic internal TSF data transfer protection" and FPT\_FLS.1 "Failure with preservation of secure state".

## 8.5.8 Listing of SFRs implemented by SF\_CS "Cryptographic Support"

**Table 21 Security Functional Requirements covered by SF\_CS "Cryptographic Support"**

1. FCS\_COP.1/TDES Cryptographic Operation
2. FCS\_CKM.4/TDES Cryptographic key destruction TDES
3. FCS\_COP.1/AES Cryptographic Operation
4. FCS\_CKM.4/AES Cryptographic key destruction AES
5. FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1 Cryptographic Operation by SCL-1
6. FCS\_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2 Cryptographic Operation by SCL-2
7. FCS\_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction TDES by SCL-1
8. FCS\_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction TDES by SCL-2
9. FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-1 Cryptographic Operation by SCL-1
10. FCS\_COP.1/AES-SCL-2 Cryptographic Operation by SCL-2
11. FCS\_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction AES by SCL-1
12. FCS\_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction AES by SCL-2
13. FCS\_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1 Cryptographic Operation by SCL-1
14. FCS\_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction by SCL-1
15. FCS\_COP.1/RSA-1 Cryptographic Operation RSA the ACL version v2.07.003
16. FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-1 Cryptographic key generation RSA for ACL version v2.07.003
17. FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-1 Cryptographic Operation ECDSA for ACL version v2.07.003
18. FCS\_CKM.1/EC-1 Cryptographic key generation Elliptic Curve for ACL version v2.07.003
19. FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-1 Cryptographic Operation ECDH for ACL version v2.07.003
20. FCS\_COP.1/RSA-2 Cryptographic Operation RSA the ACL version v2.06.003
21. FCS\_CKM.1/RSA-2 Cryptographic key generation RSA for ACL version v2.06.003
22. FCS\_COP.1/ECDSA-2 Cryptographic Operation ECDSA for ACL version v2.06.003
23. FCS\_CKM.1/EC-2 Cryptographic key generation Elliptic Curve for ACL version v2.06.003
24. FCS\_COP.1/ECDH-2 Cryptographic Operation ECDH for ACL version v2.06.003
25. FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to physical attack
26. FDP\_ITT.1 Basic internal transfer protection
27. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection
28. FPT\_FLS.1 Failure with preservation of secure state
29. FCS\_RNG.1/TRNG True Random Number Generation
30. FCS\_RNG.1/HPRG Hybrid Random Number Generation
31. FCS\_RNG.1/DRNG Deterministic Random Number Generation
32. FCS\_RNG.1/KSG Key Stream Generation
33. FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic key generation for version v02.04.002
34. FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-1 Cryptographic key destruction – AES for version v02.04.002



- 35. FCS\_COP.1/CCL-1 Cryptographic operation CCL Trusted Channel for version v02.04.002
- 36. FCS\_CKM.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic key generation for version v2.0.0002
- 37. FCS\_CKM.4/CCL-2 Cryptographic key destruction – AES for version v2.0.0002
- 38. FCS\_COP.1/CCL-2 Cryptographic operation CCL Trusted Channel for version v2.0.0002

## 8.6 Assignment of Security Functional Requirements to TOE’s Security Functionality

The justification and overview of the mapping between security functional requirements (SFR) and the TOE’s security functionality (SF) is given in sections the sections above. The results are shown in Table 20. The security functional requirements are addressed by at least one relating security feature.

The various functional requirements are often covered manifold. As described above the requirements ensure that the TOE is checked for correct operating conditions and if a not correctable failure occurs that a stored secure state is achieved, accompanied by data integrity monitoring and actions to maintain the integrity although failures occurred. An overview is given in the table below.

Table 22 Mapping of SFR and SF

Security Functional Requirement	SF_DPM	SF_PS	SF_PMA	SF_PLA	SF_CS
<b>By the ACLs</b>					
FCS_CKM.1/EC-1					X
FCS_CKM.1/EC-2					X
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-1					X
FCS_CKM.1/RSA-2					X
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-1					X
FCS_COP.1/ECDH-2					X
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-1					X
FCS_COP.1/ECDSA-2					X
FCS_COP.1/RSA-1					X
FCS_COP.1/RSA-2					X
<b>By the SCLs</b>					
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-1					X
FCS_CKM.4/AES-SCL-2					X
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-1					X
FCS_CKM.4/TDES-SCL-2					X
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-1					X
FCS_COP.1/AES-SCL-2					X
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-1					X
FCS_COP.1/TDES-SCL-2					X
FCS_COP.1/CMAC-SCL-1					X
FCS_CKM.4/CMAC-SCL-1					X

Security Functional Requirement	SF_DPM	SF_PS	SF_PMA	SF_PLA	SF_CS
<b>By the CIPURSE™ CLs</b>					
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-1					X
FCS_COP.1/CCL-1					X
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-1					X
FCS_CKM.1/CCL-2					X
FCS_COP.1/CCL-2					X
FCS_CKM.4/CCL-2					X
<b>By the HSL versions</b>					
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-1			X		
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-1			X		
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-2			X		
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-2			X		
FPT_PHP.3/HSL-3			X		
FPT_FLS.1/HSL-3			X		
<b>By the hardware and firmware</b>					
FCS_CKM.4/AES (by SCP)					X
FCS_CKM.4/TDES (by SCP)					X
FCS_COP.1/AES (by SCP)					X
FCS_COP.1/TDES (by SCP)					X
FAU_SAS.1	X				
FCS_RNG.1/TRNG					X
FCS_RNG.1/HPRG					X
FCS_RNG.1/DRNG					X
FCS_RNG.1/KSG					X
FDP_ACC.1	X		X	X	
FDP_ACC.1/Loader	X				
FDP_ACF.1	X		X	X	
FDP_ACF.1/Loader	X				
FDP_IFC.1		X	X	X	
FDP_ITT.1	X	X	X	X	X
FDP_SDC.1		X	X		
FDP_SDI.2			X		
FDP_UCT.1	X				
FDP_UIT.1	X				

Security Functional Requirement	SF_DPM	SF_PS	SF_PMA	SF_PLA	SF_CS
FIA_API.1	X				
FMT_LIM.1	X				
FMT_LIM.1/Loader	X				
FMT_LIM.2	X				
FMT_LIM.2/Loader	X				
FMT_MSA.1	X		X	X	
FMT_MSA.3	X		X	X	
FMT_SMF.1	X		X	X	
FPT_FLS.1		X	X	X	X
FPT_ITT.1	X	X	X		X
FPT_PHP.3	X	X	X	X	X
FPT_TST.2			X		X
FRU_FLT.2			X		X
FTP_ITC.1	X				X

## 8.7 Security Requirements are internally Consistent

For this chapter the PP [9] section 6.3.4 can be applied completely.

In addition to the discussion in section 6.3 of PP [9] the security functional requirement FCS\_COP.1 is introduced. The security functional requirements required to meet the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced also protect the cryptographic algorithms implemented according to the security functional requirement FCS\_COP.1. Therefore, these security functional requirements support the secure implementation and operation of FCS\_COP.1.

As disturbing, manipulating during or forcing the results of the test checking the security functions after TOE delivery, this security functional requirement FPT\_TST.2 has to be protected. An attacker could aim to switch off or disturb certain sensors or filters and preserve the detection of his manipulation by blocking the correct operation of FPT\_TST.2. The security functional requirements required to meet the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced also protect the security functional requirement FPT\_TST.2. Therefore, the related security functional requirements support the secure implementation and operation of FPT\_TST.2.

The requirement FPT\_TST.2 allows testing of some security mechanisms by the Smartcard Embedded Software after delivery. In addition, the TOE provides an automated continuous user transparent testing of certain functions.

The implemented privilege level concept represents the area based memory access protection enforced by the MMU. As an attacker could attempt to manipulate the privilege level definition as defined and present in the TOE, the functional requirement FDP\_ACC.1 and the related other requirements have to be protected themselves. The security functional requirements required to meet the security objectives O.Leak-Inherent, O.Phys-Probing, O.Malfunction, O.Phys-Manipulation and O.Leak-Forced also protect the area based memory access control function implemented according to the security functional requirement described in the security functional requirement FDP\_ACC.1 with reference to the Memory Access Control Policy and details given in FDP\_ACF.1. Therefore, those security functional requirements support the secure implementation and operation of FDP\_ACF.1 with its dependent security functional requirements.

The requirement FDP\_SDI.2 enables for detection of integrity errors of data stored in memory and for the correction of one bit errors and/or taking further action. This meets the security objective O.Malfunction. The requirements FRU\_FLT.2, FPT\_FLS.1, and FDP\_ACC.1 which also meet this objective are independent from FDP\_SDI.2 since they deal with the observation of the correct operation of the TOE and not with the memory content directly.

## 9 Literature and References

Note that the final versions of these documents are defined at the end of the evaluation process and that the documents are listed in the certification report as well.

No.	Vers.	As of	Document Title
1	4.2	2016-11-15	16-bit Security Controller Family - V02, Hardware Reference Manual (HRM)
2	Rev. 3.3	2018-03-23	Production and Personalization, 16-bit Security Controller in 65nm
3	Rev. 9.7	2017-09-14	16-bit Security Controller, 65-nm Technology, Programmer's Reference Manual (PRM)
4-1	v2.07.003	2018-05-24	CL52 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T, RSA/ECC/Toolbox, 16-bit Security Controller, User Interface
4-2	v2.06.003	2016-12-12	CL52 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T, RSA/ECC/Toolbox, 16-bit Security Controller, User Interface with additional errata section
		2017-05-10	Additional errata section
5	1.4.1	2014-11-10	16-bit Security Controller, Crypto@2304T V3, User Manual
6	1.00-1856	2018-06-15	16-bit Security Controller - V02, Security Guidelines (SG)
7	Rev. 4.0	2017-08-17	16-bit Security Controller - V02, Errata Sheet
8	This document		The confidential Security Target for this TOE.
9	1.0	2014-01-13	Security IC Protection Profile PP-0084 "Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages", BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, available at <a href="https://www.bund.bsi.de">https://www.bund.bsi.de</a>
10	3.1 Rev 5	2012-09	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 1: Introduction and General Model; Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017, CCMB-2017-04-001
11	3.1 Rev 5	2012-09	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security Functional Requirements; Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017, CCMB-2017-04-002
12	3.1 Rev 5	2012-09	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security Assurance Requirements; Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017, CCMB-2017-04-003
13	3.0	2013-05-15	Functionality classes and evaluation methodology for physical random number generators AIS31, Version 3.0, 2013-05-15, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik and belonging "A proposal for: Functionality classes for random number generators", Version 2.0, 2011-09-18, Wolfgang Killmann, T-Systems GEI GmbH, Werner Schindler, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik
14	2.9	2013-01	Application of Attack Potential to Smartcard, mandatory technical document, CCDB-2013-05-002, <a href="http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org">http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</a>
15-1	v03.11.8339	2018-07-12	Hardware Support Library for SLCx2 (HSL) recent version, User Guidance
15-2	v02.01.6634	2017	Hardware Support Library for SLCx2 (HSL), User Guidance
15-3	v01.22.4346	2016	Hardware Support Library for SLCx2 (HSL), User Guidance
16-1	v2.04.002	2018-01-15	SCL52-SCP-v4-C65 Symmetric Crypto Library for SCP-v4 DES / AES, 16-bit Security Controller, User Interface
16-2	v2.02.010	2016-12-09	SCL52 Symmetric Cryptographic Library for DES / AES, 16-bit Security Controller User Interface
17-1	Rev. 1.4	2017-06-16	CIPURSE™ Crypto Library, CCL52_SCP_v4 v2.0.0002, CIPURSE™ V2, Compliant to OSPT™ Alliance CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol, User Interface
17-2	Rev. 1.6	2018-02-02	CIPURSE™ Crypto Library, CCLX2xCIP v02.00.0004, CIPURSE™ V2, Compliant to OSPT™ Alliance CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol, User Interface

Literature and References

No.	Vers.	As of	Document Title
18	2.0	n.a.	The CIPURSE™ V2 Revision 2.0 standard issued by the OSPT™ alliance. The standard consists of a set of documents as given in the CIPURSE™V2 Revision 2.0 Documentation Overview. <a href="http://www.osptalliance.org/resources/documentation">Http://www.osptalliance.org/resources/documentation</a>
19	RFC 5639	2010-03	RFC 5639, Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation, IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors, March 2010, <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5639.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5639.txt</a>
20	800-67 Rev. 1	2012-01	National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Special Publication 800-67, Recommendation for the Triple Data Encryption Algorithm (TDEA) Block Cipher, Revised January 2012, Technology Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce
21	SP800-38A	2001-12	National Institute of Standards and Technology(NIST), Technology Administration, US Department of Commerce, NIST Special Publication SP 800-38A (for AES and DES)
22	PKCS #1 v2.2	2012-10-27	PKCS #1 v2.2: RSA Cryptography Standard, RSA Laboratories
23	ANSI X.9.62	2005-11-16	American National Standard for Financial Services ANSI X9.62-2005, Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry, The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), American National Standards Institute
24	ANSI X.9.63	2011-12-21	American National Standard for Financial Services X9.63-2011, Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: Key Agreement and Key Transport Using Elliptic Curve Cryptography, American National Standards Institute
25	German law	2009-08-14	Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSiG), Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821; BSiG Section 9, Para.4, Clause 2
26	FIPS Pub 186-4	2013-07	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication, FIPS PUB 186-4, Digital Signature Standard (DSS), U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)
27	ISO/IEC 14888-3	2006, published 2009-02-15	INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO/IEC 14888-3:2006, TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 2, Published 2009-02-15, Information technology — Security techniques — Digital signatures with appendix — Part 3: Discrete logarithm based mechanisms
28	ISO/IEC 11770-3	2008, published 2009-09-15	INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ISO/IEC 11770-3:2008, TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1, Published 2009-09-15, Information technology — Security techniques —Key management — Part 3: Mechanisms using asymmetric techniques
29	IEEE 1363	2000-01-30 (approved)	IEEE Standard Specification for Public key Cryptography, IEEE Standards Board. The standard covers specification for public key cryptography including mathematical primitives for secret value deviation, public key encryption and digital signatures and cryptographic schemes based on those primitives.
30	ISO/IEC 18033	2005	ISO/IEC 18033-3: 2005, Information technology – Security techniques - Encryption algorithms - Part 3: Block ciphers [18033]

Literature and References

No.	Vers.	As of	Document Title
31	FIPS 197	2001-11-26	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197, ADVANCED ENCRYPTION STANDARD (AES), U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / National Institute of Standards and Technology, November 26, 2001
32	ISO/IEC 9797-1	2011-03-01	ISO/IEC 9797-1: 2011, Information technology – Security techniques - Message Authentication Codes (MACs) Part 1 Mechanisms using a block cipher
33	1.0	2015-02-13	Nachweis der Einhaltung der Sicherheitsanforderungen für Chipkarten im Zulassungsverfahren der Deutschen Kreditwirtschaft (DK) (German only)
34	ISO/IEC 9798-2	2015-02-14	Information Technologies - Security Techniques - Entity Authentication, part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric encipherment algorithms, 3rd edition 2008-12-15
35-1	1.0	2012-09-28	CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol issued by the OSPT™ Alliance
35-2	1.1	2014-09-19	CIPURSE™ V2 Cryptographic Protocol issued by the OSPT™ Alliance with Errata and Precision List
36	PCBC mode	1996	Bruce SCHNEIER, Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1996
37	PKCS #1 v2.2	2010-10-27	PKCS #1 v2.2: RSA Cryptography Standard, RSA Laboratories
38	SP 800-38B	2005 with updates 2016-10-06	National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Technology Administration, US Department of Commerce, NIST Special Publication SP 800-38B (the CMAC Mode for Authentication)

## 10 Annex: Consideration of additional Requirements by the GBIC Approval Scheme

After an alignment and harmonization phase between BSI and the German Banking Industry Committee (GBIC)<sup>1</sup> in 2014/2015, the Common Criteria certificates are accepted also by GBIC, if the certification process considers additional specific process requirements. These additional GBIC requirements are defined in the GBIC document [33] chapter 7 (in German language only) which are considered in the following.

Translation:

1. The hardware vendor has to support confidentiality and integrity protected processes that
  - a. Generate keys with sufficient entropy
  - b. Store those keys in a HSM within the vendor environment
  - c. Store those keys in the non-volatile memory of the chip
  - d. Deliver these keys separated from the chip delivery to the user
2. The loading of software and data into the chip memories is only possible after passing a secure authentication

These security requirements are especially affective for the security functionality of the key  $K_{\text{chip}}$  respectively  $K_c$  coming from the personalization concept of the Publishing Houses (Verlage). For the complete coverage following the Common Criteria requirements it is essential that already the firmware of the TOE provides the security functionality for  $K_{\text{chip}}$ .

In the course of migration to Common Criteria these security requirements must be modelled in the Security Target.

End of translation.

### Regarding requirement 1:

GBIC issues therewith an additional requirement for sufficient entropy of the used keys. This requires the presence of a dedicated device and process in order to provide evidence that the keys used have been generated appropriately. The keys used in this context are generated by a dedicated hardware security module (HSM) with related certification according to FIPS 140-2. This covers requirement 1.a.

The requirement 1.b is sufficiently addressed by the refinements regarding development security (ALC\_DVS) taken from PP [9].

All data loaded into the chip is encrypted and integrity protected stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM. This covers the requirement 1.c.

The requirement 1.d implies the presence of a dedicated GBIC process with additional protection means for the key handling and management after its generation in the HSM. Infineon Technologies has implemented a dedicated process with role separation, access protection, transport protection during the internal different instances and implements a separate specific process for protected delivery of the used keys to the user. Therefore, the requirement 1.d. is sufficiently addressed by refinements regarding delivery procedure (ALC\_DEL) if the security functionality of the corresponding key is part of the TOE.

On request at Infineon Technologies, the user can receive under NDA information about the flow implemented with the document "DK-SECCOSv7 Flow for  $K_{\text{chip}}$  Injection by Infineon, Customer Information" in version 1.0, 2017-09-26.

---

<sup>1</sup> In German: Die Deutsche Kreditwirtschaft



**Regarding requirement 2:**

This requirement implements the authentication aspects of the chip against the external world and vice versa, which is covered by following packages taken from the PP [9]:

- FIA\_API "Authentication of the Security IC",
- "Package 2: Loader dedicated for usage by authorized users only" and additionally the TOE implements the
- "Package 1: Loader dedicated for usage in secured environment only" of the PP [9].

The rational shows that all GBIC specific requirements are met by the TOE.

**Note for the additional objectives for GBIC respectively DK**

- The requirement for sufficient entropy requires the presence of dedicated device and process in order to provide evidence that the key  $K_{chip}$  has been generated appropriately. As the key  $K_{chip}$  is generated by a dedicated hardware security module (HSM) with appropriate certification this requirement is fulfilled.
- The used HSM is certified by:  
FIPS 140-2 Consolidated Validation Certificate, consolidated certificate No. 0006. 2011/06/30, by the National Institute of Standards and Technology of the United States of America and the Communications Security Establishment of the Government of Canada.
- The additional requirements imply the presence of a dedicated GBIC process with additional protection means for the key handling and management after its generation in the HSM. Infineon Technologies has implemented a dedicated process with role separation, access protection, transport protection during the internal different instances and implements a separate specific process for protected delivery of the key  $K_{chip}$  to the user.
- The GBIC requirement 2 is covered by the flash loader package 1 of PP [9] as justified in section 5.3.

**Additional requirements issued by the general GBIC directive in September 2016**

The related directive with file number 80-11 affects the software vendors respectively personalization step and implements specific requirements. The rational is given by the fact that it can occur that an exclusively contact based product, deemed for LCCS of MF for SECCOS ICC and ICC products referring to SECCOS 7, is based on a dual interface controller. Since not all contact-based-only applications block the access to the contactless interface, specific requirements are set immediately effective.

These requirements affect user software developers and the product personalization only and thus they are not repeated here.

Anyhow, the given requirements target the complete disabling of the contactless interfaces if the product is used contact based only and define the specific configuration of the LCCS-Byte of the MF with the hexadecimal value "35" for those contact based products, referring on SECCOS ICC and ICC products for SECCOS 7.

When using this TOE, the user can easily follow these requirements since this dual interface controller can permanently block the contactless interface by user applicable configuration means. And, in addition, dedicated product derivatives are available by order option coming with the contact based interface only.

## 11 Hash Signatures of Cryptographic Libraries

Following listings document the hash signatures of the respective optional cryptographic library software version. For convenience purpose several hash algorithms were used.

### 11.1 ACL - RSA, EC, Toolbox Version v2.07.003

Cl52-LIB-base-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	fe199c3d6b8e01e9300f691acf9e0075
SHA-1	fo7c984adbb384398ccab1978bof1668d63e68e7
SHA-256	44772e8c9c3b48b6bde447dae82c2coedfb77290e20197dd5eoffc2e3f8e2986

Cl52-LIB-ecc-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	a03744bc9fdd2fc4dd539c596a819e19
SHA-1	a9d8caaa001e997fafa2db6f19a3ba7e711b2fof
SHA-256	afdabfo71d78e9050a66d36001aaf419aeba43faga1doea6d3808b16172a2ed2

Cl52-LIB-2k-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	20dbb4ae6aa7a6d71325934a80844ca2
SHA-1	9aebb94a560eagbf1e1598382922ca2419932492
SHA-256	245ba379f76c89257c8a513e66d5c717e35f53333f904b43ee9a8a69d76cofco

Cl52-LIB-4k-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	92eca33b51b33728383e1fe92d3d279e
SHA-1	56438e742cff29345271fe9c91b572c30cf9fbeb
SHA-256	046847acf2c76629odf8f8b176d163521205e5of8f3ea704f745991cf8c4foaf

Cl52-LIB-toolbox-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	cc6f403b6654458ff9f9b69f9ddo243c
SHA-1	8a07735b9c5570dbcab1f151e6f28a48b7a3957b
SHA-256	2d376496eed7afe19a0289a487d20bod8621a1b1f82obda5842cdb3f51d5bo67

Hash Signatures of Cryptographic Libraries

**11.2 ACL - RSA, EC, Toolbox Version v2.06.003:**

Cl52-LIB-base-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	165554e1b2c2a3918fedd4ccaf4756ef
SHA-1	6a47aeba0840a29b9dcf8e09b1662d9e110767c4
SHA-256	e172936204dc4d2a3b79bb27a915017f7b5c49366b8333a7b19d0345aff3c9d8

Cl52-LIB-ecc-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	23f70c52fe712ff9f71d1ed7d31e338a
SHA-1	5f52a8802dff6e29c754f71f62b55915efcd293e
SHA-256	3bf8e9d79578c94163dfe7f751e2ebd917adcbadea45338b1cd48f9417907584

Cl52-LIB-2k-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	df1e79efd5a8d8c05f70c68f165e0606
SHA-1	e939a32b841edf991d69e97373afb3ea541f7b09
SHA-256	6d85ee8b56118602a9803cd7d829c55af08of4d96c2c4b014c7df64173f4c564

Cl52-LIB-4k-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	747955e3945069be053f001foc4071cd
SHA-1	e4cbb3ab4371df6de99d21fd5c5a95dd217456bc
SHA-256	cc928ac2c86d342098961a6cb4c74bf229de317ccf73994df719f6d91ad69042

Cl52-LIB-toolbox-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	efdf2ced3d3a5e0ed729d6284276ccdo
SHA-1	61995654bdebc4d4a72b80e1ea5729ab437acc1f
SHA-256	odb886bac26b47927e1966d4394fdbb4e72fbd74d1ea1738d7cb7470b7463b96

**11.3 SCL– Symmetric Cryptographic Library Version v02.04.002**

Cipher.lib:

MD5	869a4fae95080e50cb134b5c1b34ab39
SHA-1	1b575581e0cbdaaa086fb4b282fae30666fc9ab8
SHA-256	c58aa197b7bb6985af4b9e5bbcaeo54abe561b4583e866e504596aaga99dbe5

MAC.lib:

MD5	f2c4679d135d6d0360c4ba41df61a7bb
SHA-1	dabo6d56c47aad509b4e8a20a5f86d779705482a
SHA-256	505c8282ef006ae5963f1a8e5b657c086a9c94176eb3fob863aa4f857d5c3321

DES.lib:

MD5	d29c627d53cfe0018a13914d4521b015
SHA-1	edo8a9a84b14eeeb3cade8f28c8422981a419d35
SHA-256	cc752a94ccb975a33d22767809e6843edf815a009c3a971161754da62ee9113f

AES.lib:

MD5	835ea07f2cofc1cdeae4b0813048d77
SHA-1	48a26e897cf4a6d65379f7db93f2910a7ee8d549
SHA-256	874988aa92fb136fed01e837d2cd14c94f872ebb1d456e7d043c9cecb59odfa3

#### 11.4 SCL– Symmetric Cryptographic Library Version v02.02.010

Scl52-SCP-v4-LIB-cipher-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	12e51ffacbo8931712c117f57968bde6
SHA-1	83a686cb6c764f28b1boe40e79bao6653f37ffde
SHA-256	9fabbccof4489ecf29f1c911ee942e705c1b5ae957134dob36ea6ec41fd1608a

Scl52-SCP-v4-LIB-des-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	5e91ba71co508fbfa6c4d22cec6f45b8
SHA-1	6b9e5baa4abb473c284d5b7e778c3127ab57e410
SHA-256	5fe552d6ba052021b4a5ab270e36e4b8d2936e85f049cf26ba8b1fa44a05fcf6

Scl52-SCP-v4-LIB-aes-XSMALL-HUGE.lib:

MD5	8ce6d5a11dod8825d4ce5a6be58e3d40
SHA-1	4dce11074db7368dab85526586f56ed9fcd12fbb
SHA-256	dbd4011fo282616d33b1df23b39bba278587708ad747a473ee515dca2cdd4aco

#### 11.5 HSL - Hardware Support Library v03.11.8339

MD5	39183d4e35a7a87006a9oab1d962c65e
SHA-1	623b62fco03acdb0765a3f524bbc055e72e0760a
SHA-256	d54e43f6c977d17a1b4af1bf6fada7325891a31e5a73f124dco116a90e84ec40

#### 11.6 HSL - Hardware Support Library v02.01.6634

MD5	fce6789dea3d49codf32co5930ed3ff7
SHA-1	c6d2d3be94fdd539835cf2fb229a4e478a144b8e
SHA-256	12bf22478b88acf16344a970e4a42f5ebc2fe71d85c36a2be4895a1318862114

#### 11.7 HSL - Hardware Support Library v01.22.4346

MD5	57boeb1f5e54922be46218431da53e4d
SHA-1	3cb599186885e3e167295bf7db583652d9e143b9
SHA-256	f898ac7ad4ba43732eco5e9ab7de752811c2a9553c078b5187ccba8b2a67843b

#### 11.8 CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) in version v02.00.0004

Dual mode library files hash details:

MD5 hash value	30CDE00190AF243ACFE19E6D7BDA0907
SHA1 hash value	A74A592FA5EC162C3CA01B038AB77ABD316123AF
SHA256 hash value	921DA4663A0A231152704A46FAB5F567309791585E1C06D6517162F7E45D7742

## 11.9 CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library (CCL) in version v2.0.0002

Dual mode library files hash details:

MD5 hash value	80B511A866E9F90D506FC9E0DCB40DE8
SHA1 hash value	071068EE0489CE7B266D4ACF23C71413EA4ECEE7
SHA256 hash value	830A128A050DE6616C496BA353F347196CE0281C4AB4146E251EE9A2E3DA5356

## 12 List of Abbreviations

ACL	Asymmetric Cryptographic Library
AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
AIS <sub>31</sub>	Anwendungshinweise und Interpretationen zu ITSEC und CC: Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
API	Application Programming Interface
APDU	Application Protocol Data Unit
BOS	Boot-up Software
BSI	German: Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik English: Federal Office for Information Security
CC	Common Criteria
CI	Chip Identification Mode (BOS-CI)
CIM	Chip Identification Mode (BOS-CI), same as CI
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CMAC	Cipher-bases Message Authentication Code
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check
Crypto2304T	Asymmetric Cryptographic Processor
CRT	Chinese Remainder Theorem
DES	Data Encryption Standard
DPA	Differential Power Analysis
DFA	Differential Failure Analysis
DTRNG	Deterministic Random Number Generator
EC	Elliptic Curve Cryptography
ECC	Error Correction Code and Elliptic Curve Cryptography depending on the context
EDC	Error Detection Code
SOLID FLASH™ NVM	Nonvolatile memory based on flash cell technology
EMA	Electromagnetic analysis
FL	Flash Loader
Flash	SOLID FLASH™ Memory
HW	Hardware
HSL	Hardware Support Library
IC	Integrated Circuit
ICO	Internal Clock Oscillator
ID	Identification
IMM	Interface Management Module
ITP	Interrupt and Peripheral Event Channel Controller
I/O	Input/Output
IRAM	Internal Random Access Memory
ITSEC	Information Technology Security Evaluation Criteria
M	Mechanism
MCS	Mifare compatible software

List of Abbreviations

MED	Memory Encryption and Decryption
MMU	Memory Management Unit
NVM	Non Volatile Memory
O	Object
OS	Operating system
PEC	Peripheral Event Channel
PFD	Post Failure Detection Unit
PICC	Proximity Integrated Circuit Card (can be of any form factor)
PCD	Proximity Coupling Device
PRNG	Pseudo Random Number Generator
PROM	Programmable Read Only Memory
PTRNG	Physical Random Number Generator
RAM	Random Access Memory
RFI	Radio Frequency Interface
RMS	Resource Management System
RNG	Random Number Generator
ROM	Read Only Memory
RSA	Rives-Shamir-Adleman Algorithm
SCP	Symmetric Cryptographic Processor
SCL	Symmetric Cryptographic Library
SF	Security Feature
SFR	Special Function Register, as well as Security Functional Requirement. The specific meaning is given in the context
SPA	Simple power analysis
SW	Software
SO	Security objective
T	Threat
TM	Test Mode (STS)
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TRNG	True Random Number Generator
TSC	TOE Security Functions Control
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
UART	Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter
UM	User Mode (STS)
UMSLC	User mode Security Life Control
WDT	Watch Dog Timer
XRAM	eXtended Random Access Memory
TDES	Triple DES Encryption Standard also known as 3DES

## 13 Glossary

Application Program/Data	Software which implements the actual TOE functionality provided for the user or the data required for that purpose
Bill-Per-Use	Bill-Per-Use concept allowing the user to configure the chips
Central Processing Unit	Logic circuitry for digital information processing
Chip	Integrated Circuit]
Chip Identification Data	Data stored in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM containing the chip type, lot number (including the production site), die position on wafer and production week and data stored in the ROM containing the STS version number
Chip Identification Mode	Operational status phase of the TOE, in which actions for identifying the individual chip by transmitting the Chip Identification Data take place
Controller	IC with integrated memory, CPU and peripheral devices
Crypto2304T	Cryptographic coprocessor for asymmetric cryptographic operations (RSA, Elliptic Curves)
Cyclic Redundancy Check	Process for calculating checksums for error detection
End User	Person in contact with a TOE who makes use of its operational capability
Firmware	Is software essential to put the chip into operation. The firmware is located in the ROM and parts of it in the SOLID FLASH™ NVM
Flash Loader	Software enabling to download software after delivery
Hardware	Physically present part of a functional system (item)
Integrated Circuit	Component comprising several electronic circuits implemented in a highly miniaturized device using semiconductor technology
Internal Random Access Memory	RAM integrated in the CPU
Mechanism	Logic or algorithm which implements a specific security function in hardware or software
Memory Encryption and Decryption	Method of encoding/decoding data transfer between CPU and memory
Memory	Hardware part containing digital information (binary data)
Microprocessor	CPU with peripherals
Object	Physical or non-physical part of a system which contains information and is acted upon by subjects
Operating System	Software which implements the basic TOE actions necessary to run the user application
Programmable Read Only Memory	Non-volatile memory which can be written once and then only permits read operations
Random Access Memory	Volatile memory which permits write and read operations
Random Number Generator	Hardware part for generating random numbers
Read Only Memory	Non-volatile memory which permits read operations only
Resource Management System	Part of the firmware containing SOLID FLASH™ NVM programming routines, AIS <sub>31</sub> test bench etc.
SCP	Is the symmetric cryptographic coprocessor for symmetric cryptographic operations (TDES, AES).



Glossary

Security Function	Part(s) of the TOE used to implement part(s) of the security objectives
Security Target	Description of the intended state for countering threats
Smart Card	Is a plastic card in credit card format with built-in chip. Other form factors are also possible, i.e. if integrated into mobile devices
Software	Information (non-physical part of the system) which is required to implement functionality in conjunction with the hardware (program code)
Subject	Entity, generally in the form of a person, who performs actions
Target of Evaluation	Product or system which is being subjected to an evaluation
Test Mode	Operational status phase of the TOE in which actions to test the TOE hardware take place
Threat	Action or event that might prejudice security
User Mode	Operational status phase of the TOE in which actions intended for the user takes place

## 14 Revision History

Version	Description of change
0.1	Initial version
0.2	Update of asymmetric cryptographic library, update of ANSI X9.63 standard reference, removal of unused standard references
0.3	ACL user guidance comes with additional errata section
0.4	Inclusion of second alternative FW-Identifier, User Guidance documents update, correction in table 7.1.4.1
0.5	Inclusion of further optional and alternative software packages HSL, SCL, ACL and CIPURSE™ Cryptographic Library, update of standard reference [38], update of lower border RSA key length, update of wording in chapter 10 (annex for GBIC), update of user guidance reference (CCL), update of cryptographic table and declaration with footnotes in chapter 7.1.4.
0.6	Inclusion of further library alternatives, additional CMAC functionality of the SCL, user guidance documents and standard reference update, removal of summarizing cryptographic table from chapter 7.1.4.1 as this is now part of the certification report by BSI, correction of typo; update of the ACL user guidance in chapter 9 literature and references, update of user guidance references
0.7	Update of reference [6]
0.8	new HSL version
1.0	Corrected hash values of HSL, added Cipurse user guidance for v2.0.0004, update of user guidance chapter 9, editorial changes, additional wording at FCS_CKM.1/RSA on BSI request, changed wording; added additional Flash Loader information at chapters 5.8, 7.2 and 7.4.1.3.

All referenced product or service names and trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Edition 2018-07-20

Published by  
Infineon Technologies AG  
81726 Munich, Germany

© 2018 Infineon Technologies AG.  
All Rights Reserved.

Do you have a question about this document?

Email: [erratum@infineon.com](mailto:erratum@infineon.com)

Document reference

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

The information contained in this Security Target is given as a hint for the implementation of the product only and shall in no event be regarded as a description or warranty of a certain functionality, condition or quality of the product. Before implementation of the product, the recipient of this application note must verify any function and other technical information given herein in the real application. Infineon Technologies hereby disclaims any and all warranties and liabilities of any kind (including without limitation warranties of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party) with respect to any and all information given in this Security Target.

The data contained in this document is exclusively intended for technically trained staff. It is the responsibility of customer's technical departments to evaluate the suitability of the product for the intended application and the completeness of the product information given in this document with respect to such application.

For further information on the product, technology, delivery terms and conditions and prices please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office ([www.infineon.com](http://www.infineon.com)).

#### WARNINGS

Due to technical requirements products may contain dangerous substances. For information on the types in question please contact your nearest Infineon Technologies office.

Except as otherwise explicitly approved by Infineon Technologies in a written document signed by authorized representatives of Infineon Technologies, Infineon Technologies' products may not be used in any applications where a failure of the product or any consequences of the use thereof can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury.